CASE STUDY

Cutaneous Immunology and Allergy

WILEY

Neutrophilic dermatosis with necrobiotic changes as an unusual manifestation after the first shot of a COVID-19 mRNA

vaccine together with a high fever and liver injury

Shigeruko Iijima MD, PhD^{1,2} | Chiaki Enami MD³ | Mikio Sato MD, PhD³ | Takahiko Tsunoda MD, PhD⁴ | Kazunobu Otoyama MD, PhD⁵

Abstract

¹Department of Dermatology, Ryugasaki Saiseikai Hospital, Ryugasaki, Japan

²Hanamizuki Clinic, Ushiku, Japan

³Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Ryugasaki Saiseikai Hospital, Ryugasaki, Japan

⁴Department of Dermatology, Yamagata City Hospital Saiseikan, Yamagata, Japan

⁵Otoyama Clinic, Yamagata, Japan

Correspondence

Shigeruko lijima, MD, PhD, Department of Dermatology, Ryugasaki Saiseikai Hospital, 1-1 Nakasato, Ryugasaki, Ibaraki 301-0854, Japan. Email: imacintosh@poppy.ocn.ne.jp

KEYWORDS

coronavirus disease-19, immunohistochemistry, mRNA vaccine, necrobiotic changes, neutrophilic dermatosis

Coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) mRNA vaccines sometimes cause various skin

rashes. We report an unusual case of erythema nodosum-like nodules with vesicu-

lar and pustular papules, which arose after the first shot of a COVID-19 mRNA vac-

cine. A skin biopsy showed marked neutrophilic infiltration with necrobiotic changes

throughout the dermis and subcutis. Immunohistochemically, CD8⁺ cells were much

more common than CD4⁺ cells in the dense neutrophilic infiltrates. Many CD68⁺ mac-

rophages were present around the CD8⁺ cells. No cases of neutrophilic dermatosis

with necrobiotic changes have been reported. Thus, our findings should be added to

1 | INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a potentially fatal infectious disease, which has caused a global pandemic. However, as there are several effective COVID-19 vaccines, worldwide herd immunity may soon be achieved. COVID-19 mRNA vaccines produce immune responses to the spike glycoprotein that binds to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which is required for viral entry. Recently, it has become clear that these vaccines may cause a wide spectrum of skin rashes.^{1,2} However, only a few studies have immunohistochemically analyzed these rashes.^{3,4} We experienced an unusual case, in which the patient developed impetiginous vesicular or pustular papules on his face and a deep-seated erythema nodosum (EN)-like rash on his lower extremities, together with a high fever and liver injury, after receiving the first dose of a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. Histologically, the EN-like rash involved dense neutrophilic

infiltration and necrobiotic changes to collagen bundles. We report this unique case in detail together with its immunohistochemical findings.

2 | CASE PRESENTATION

A 33-year-old Japanese male with no relevant medical history received his first shot of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (BNT162b2) intramuscularly in his left deltoid region. The next day (Day 1), he noticed a swollen lymph node on his neck, ipsilateral to the injection site. He developed a headache and nausea with a fever on Day 3 and a facial rash on Day 5. On Day 8, his liver enzyme levels started to increase, and he was admitted to our hospital because of persistent systemic symptoms. On Day 11, he was referred to our department to have his skin rash examined. He

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. *Journal of Cutaneous Immunology and Allergy* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of The Japanese Society for Cutaneous Immunology and Allergy.

the cutaneous adverse effects of the vaccines.

presented with generalized weakness; a high temperature of 39.3°C; and a generalized skin rash, consisting of impetiginous vesicular or pustular papules on his face, pustules around the injection site and at the blood-drawing site on his right cubital fossa, and deeply infiltrating EN-like nodules with vesicles or pustules on his lower extremities (Figure 1A-E). A histopathological examination of an EN-like lesion with a few pustules revealed dense perivascular and periglandular infiltrates throughout the dermis and subcutis, which were composed of neutrophils, histiocytes, lymphocytes, and a lot of cell debris with marked necrobiotic changes (Figure 2A,B). Some blood vessels showed lymphocytic vasculitis with scattered red blood cell extravasation (Figure 2C). The epidermis showed ballooning changes and vacuolar degeneration in the basal layer together with a subepidermal bulla. Necrobiotic changes were seen below the bulla (Figure 2D). There were no microthrombi in the blood vessels. Immunohistochemically, cytotoxic CD8⁺ cells were much more common than CD4⁺ cells in the dense neutrophilic infiltrates. Many CD68⁺ macrophages were present around the CD8⁺ cells (Figure 2E-H). Most of the CD4⁺ cells were thought to be macrophages. No CD79a, CD56, CD30, or Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-encoded small RNA immunoreactivity was evident.

The following laboratory data were obtained: white blood cell count (WBC): 4430/ μ l (neutrophils: 85.1%), C-reactive protein (CRP): 11.76 mg/dl, aspartate aminotransferase (AST): 422 U/L, alanine aminotransferase (ALT): 765 U/L, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH): 482 U/L, alkaline phosphatase (ALP): 826 U/L, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (γ -GTP): 543 U/L, prothrombin time (PT): 15.9 s, prothrombin time-international normalized ratio (PT-INR): 1.34, activated partial thromboplastin time (ATPP): 27.9 s, fibrinogen: 749 mg/dl, fibrin degradation products (FDP): 8.0 μ g/ml, and D-dimer: 5.2 μ g/ml. Tests for SARS-CoV-2 (polymerase chain reaction-based); hepatitis A, B, and C; herpes simplex virus; EBV; cytomegalovirus;

and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) were all negative. Tests for anti-nuclear and anti-mitochondrial antibodies and blood cultures were negative. Abdominal computed tomography did not reveal any infection foci.

He was treated with diflucortolone valerate ointment for his extremities and hydrocortisone butyrate ointment for his face. Then, most of the rash resolved within a week. However, it was suspected that the rash had resolved because it had a self-limiting course, rather than due to the treatment. However, the patient's fever, elevated WBC, and increased CRP and liver enzyme levels persisted, and, thus, 200mg/day oral minocycline was started on Day 19, which was effective within a few days. He was discharged on Day 25 and refused a second vaccine shot because he feared his symptoms would recur. We diagnosed him with COVID-19 vaccine-induced adverse cutaneous effects; however, we did not establish a clear causal relationship between the vaccine and the liver injury.

3 | DISCUSSION

Many cases of cutaneous reactions to COVID-19 vaccinations have been reported, including over 400 reactions from the USA¹ and Spain.² These reports described various morphological reaction patterns, including local injection site reactions; delayed large local reactions (COVID arm); urticaria/angioedema; and morbilliform, papulovesicular, pityriasis rosea-like, and purpuric (petechiae) rashes. Histologically, the most common patterns were eczematous dermatitis, interface dermatitis, interstitial granulomatous features, and lymphocytic vasculitis.⁴ No cases of severe neutrophilic infiltration with marked necrobiotic changes following the administration of a COVID-19 vaccine have been reported in the English language literature.



FIGURE 1 Clinical findings of the patient at the initial consultation. The patient showed impetiginous vesicular or pustular papules on his face (A), some pustules around the injection site (B) and at the blood-drawing site on his right cubital fossa (C), and deeply infiltrating EN-like nodules (dotted circles) with some vesicles or pustules on his lower extremities (D, E).



FIGURE 2 Histopathological and immunohistochemical findings of an EN-like nodule with some pustules on the patient's thigh. Histopathology showed dense perivascular and periglandular infiltrates throughout the dermis and subcutis (A; hematoxylin-eosin [HE], ×10), which were composed of neutrophils, histocytes, lymphocytes, and a lot of cell debris with marked necrobiotic changes (B; HE, ×100). Some blood vessels showed lymphocytic vasculitis with scattered extravasation of red blood cells (C; HE, ×400). The epidermis showed ballooning changes and vacuolar degeneration in the basal layer, together with a subepidermal bulla, and necrobiotic changes were seen below the bulla (D; HE, ×200). Immunohistochemical studies involving CD3 (E), CD4 (F), CD8 (G), and CD68 (H) staining showed that cytotoxic CD8⁺ cells were much more common than CD4⁺ cells in the dense neutrophilic infiltrates. Many CD68⁺ cells were present around the CD8⁺ cells. Most of the CD4⁺ cells were thought to be macrophages.

In a study of 22 patients with COVID-19 vaccine-induced skin rashes, Magro et al.⁴ described a case of necrotizing neutrophilic and granulomatous folliculitis that arose after the administration of the Pfizer vaccine and involved very striking vesicular pustular eruptions. It was not possible to obtain further detailed information about this case; however, it may be similar to ours because the rashes that appeared on the patient's face and upper extremities

involved impetiginous vesicular or pustular papules. Conversely, our patient did not show perifollicular neutrophilic infiltration, and the correct diagnostic label for his condition remains to be established.

Behçet's disease may be one of the diseases we should differentiate. A woman in late her 20s was recently reported, who was diagnosed as new-onset Behçet's disease or Behçet's disease-like adverse event following the second shot of mRNA Moderna vaccine.⁵

-W		alof aneous Immunology and Alle	rgy	@ —		
	Reference no.	10	11	13	4	
	Second inoculation	Refused by patient	N.S.	N.S.	N. N	Refused by patient
	Effective treatment	Oral PSL (0.5 mg/kg/ day), 5 days	Intravenous dexamethasone, 1 week	Methylprednisolone (1 g/day, 4 days) →PSL 60 mg/day	si. Z	The skin rash was self-limiting Minocycline for systemic symptoms
	Diagnosis	Sweet syndrome	Sweet syndrome	Sweet syndrome	Necrotizing neutrophilic and granulomatous folliculitis	Neutrophilic dermatosis with necrobiotic changes
COVID-19 vaccination	Histology	Dense infiltrates of neutrophils, eosinophils, and lymphocytes throughout the dermis	Dense infiltrates of neutrophils in the dermis	A band-like infiltrate of neutrophils and histiocytes with nuclear debris in the superficial dermis Intracorneal microabscess	Extensive infiltration of the outer root sheath epithelium by mixed inflammatory cell infiltrates	Dense infiltrates of neutrophils, histiocytes, and lymphocytes throughout the dernis with cell debris and severe necrobiotic changes Ballooning epidermal changes
	Laboratory data	Neutrophilia AST: 67 U/L ALT: 116 U/L	WBC: 14,000/µl (neut.: 82%) CRP: highly positive	WBC: 18,500/µl (neut.: 83.8%) AST: 67 U/L ALT: 93 U/L	N.S.	WBC: 4430/µl (neut:: 85.1%) CRP: 11.76 mg/dl AST: 422 U/L ALT: 765 U/L
associated with C	Other systemic symptoms	None	Fever	Acute encephalitis (headache, dizziness, double vision) Myoclonus, fever	Joint pain History of MCTD	Fever, headache, nausea
hilic skin disorder a	Location of skin rash	Trunk, extremities	Hands, feet	Trunk, extremities	Chest	Face, extremities (lower>upper)
e of neutropl	Onset time of skin rash after vaccination	1 day	7 days	3 days	2 weeks	5 days
our cas	Dose	First	First	First	First	First
sported cases and	Type of vaccine	mRNA (Pfizer- BioNTech)	Viral vector (Oxford- AstraZeneca)	mRNA (Moderna)	mRNA (Pfizer- BioNTech)	mRNA (Pfizer- BioNTech)
E 1 Re	Age, sex	45, F	65, F	77, M	27, F	х з
TABL	Case	1	7	m	4	Ours

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive protein; MCTD, mixed connective tissue disease; N.S., not stated; neut., neutrophils; PSL, prednisolone; WBC, white blood cell count.

Journal of

ria of Behçet's disease.⁷

Panuveitis was also reported in a case of new-onset Behçet's disease after the vaccine.⁶ Our patient had EN-like nodules on his lower extremities, pustules on his face, and a positive pathergy reaction on the injection site; however, in his whole clinical course, neither recurrent oral and genital ulcers nor ocular lesions were observed.

Furthermore, our case had to be differentiated from palisading neutrophilic granulomatous dermatitis (PNGD),⁸ which is related to connective tissue diseases, mostly rheumatoid arthritis. However, we ruled out PNGD clinically because the cutaneous eruptions caused by PNGD are most commonly found on the extensor surfaces of the extremities, and our patient was a healthy individual without any underlying diseases, and his histopathology did not show a palisading appearance. On the contrary, necrobiotic changes at the injection site have been reported after a shot of hepatitis B vaccine in two cases, and these changes were diagnosed as necrobiotic palisading granuloma.⁹

These symptoms of our patient did not meet the international crite-

There have been three cases of neutrophilic dermatosis without necrobiotic changes, which were diagnosed as Sweet syndrome.¹⁰⁻¹² Those cases (cases 1-3), Magro's case (case 4),⁴ and our case are summarized in Table 1. All of the patients developed a skin rash within 2 weeks of receiving the first shot of a COVID-19 vaccine, four of which were mRNA-type vaccines (Pfizer in 3 cases, Moderna in one case), and the remaining one was a viral vector-type vaccine (Oxford-AstraZeneca). Marked necrobiotic changes were detected in collagen bundles after the vaccination in our case, but not any of the others.

Immunohistochemically, the perivascular and intraepidermal lymphocytes found in a previous case of a morbilliform rash caused by a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine were reported to be predominantly composed of CD8⁺ cells rather than CD4⁺ cells.³ Our patient showed the same immunohistochemical findings. Furthermore, cytotoxic T cells (CD8⁺) were characteristically seen in the neutrophilic infiltrates, which may have attacked neutrophils, leading to necrobiotic changes in collagen bundles. Furthermore, there were many CD68⁺ cells surrounding the CD8⁺ cells, which may have phagocytized the necrobiotic material.

It should be noted that we were not able to diagnose the patient's liver injury with the elevated WBC count and CRP level and fever. The possible diagnoses included a drug-induced liver injury, a complication of a Rickettsial disease, or a COVID-19 vaccine-induced liver injury.¹³ As for the former possibility, a drug-induced lymphocyte stimulation test for moxifloxacin (Avelox®; Bayer Yakuhin, Ltd.), which he had taken orally only a day before admission, was positive (stimulation index: 228%); however, this would not have been sufficient to cause sensitization. Shroff et al.¹³ reported 16 patients with liver injury following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination. According to their report, a half of them had some underlining liver diseases. Ten of 16 patients required treatment; 2 of 10 received *N*-acetylcysteine infusion, and 8 received oral or intravenous steroids. None received minocycline. However, we tried to administer minocycline to our Cutaneous Immunology and Allergy

patient, because we suspected he might have Rickettsial diseases. The medicine was markedly effective, but a test for antibodies against Tsutsugamushi disease was negative. Minocycline has a wide variety of effects against many organ diseases other than its anti-microbial activity.¹⁴ We suggest that the patient's abnormal laboratory data and fever may have resolved due to the anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects of the drug.

DECLARATION SECTION

Approval of the research protocol: No human participant was involved in this study.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent for publication was obtained from the patient.

Registry and the Registration No.: N/A.

Animal Studies: N/A.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Shigeruko lijima ¹ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9945-7064 Mikio Sato ¹ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6088-8089

REFERENCES

- McMahon DE, Amerson E, Rosenbach M, Lipoff JB, Moustafa D, Tyagi A, et al. Cutaneous reactions reported after Moderna and Pfizer COVID-19 vaccination: a registry-based study of 414 cases. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2021;85(1):46–55.
- Català A, Muñoz-Santos C, Galván-Casas C, Roncero Riesco M, Revilla Nebreda D, Solá-Truyols A, et al. Cutaneous reactions after SARS-COV-2 vaccination: a cross-sectional Spanish nationwide study of 405 cases. Br J Dermatol. 2022;186(1):142–51.
- Ohsawa R, Sano H, Ikeda M, Sano S. Clinical and histopathological views of morbilliform rash after COVID-19 mRNA vaccination mimic those in SARS-CoV-2 virus infection-associated cutaneous manifestations. J Dermatol Sci. 2021;103(3):124–7.
- Magro C, Crowson AN, Franks L, Schaffer PR, Whelan P, Nuovo G. The histologic and molecular correlates of COVID-19 vaccineinduced changes in the skin. Clin Dermatol. 2021;39(6):966–84.
- Tagini F, Carrel L, Fallet B, Gachoud D, Ribi C, Monti M. Behçet'slike adverse event or inaugural Behçet's disease after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 vaccination? Rheumatology. 2022;61(5):e112–3.
- Bolletta E, Iannetta D, Mastrofilippo V, De Simone L, Gozzi F, Croci S, et al. Uveitis and other ocular complications following COVID-19 vaccination. J Clin Med. 2021;10(24):5960.
- International Team for the Revision of the International Criteria for Behçet's Disease (ITR-ICBD). The International Criteria for Behçet's Disease (ICBD): a collaborative study of 27 countries on the sensitivity and specificity of the new criteria. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2014;28(3):338–47.
- Kawakami T, Obara W, Soma Y, Mizoguchi M. Palisading neutrophilic granulomatous dermatitis in a Japanese patient with Wegener's granulomatosis. J Dermatol. 2005;32(6):487-92.
- Ajithkumar K, Anand U, Pulimood S, Chandi SM, George S, Jacob CK, et al. Vaccine-induced necrobiotic granuloma. Clin Exp Dermatol. 1998;23(5):222-4.
- Darrigade AS, Théophile H, Sanchez-Pena P, Milpied B, Colbert M, Pedeboscq S, et al. Sweet syndrome induced by SARS-CoV-2 Pfizer-BioNTech mRNA vaccine. Allergy. 2021;76(10):3194–6.

54 Journal of Cutaneous Immunology and Allergy

- Majid I, Mearaj S. Sweet syndrome after Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine (AZD1222) in an elderly female. Dermatol Ther. 2021;34(6):e15146.
- Torralba-Acosta G, Martin JC, Huttenbach Y, Garcia CR, Sohail MR, Agarwal SK, et al. Acute encephalitis, myoclonus and Sweet syndrome after mRNA-1273 vaccine. BMI Case Rep. 2021;14(7):e243173.
- Shroff H, Satapathy SK, Crawford JM, Todd NJ, VanWagner LB. Liver injury following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination: a multicenter case series. J Hepatol. 2022;76(1):211–4.
- 14. Garrido-Mesa N, Zarzuelo A, Géálvez J. Minocycline: far beyond an antibiotic. Br J Pharmacol. 2013;169(2):337–52.

How to cite this article: lijima S, Enami C, Sato M, Tsunoda T, Otoyama K. Neutrophilic dermatosis with necrobiotic changes as an unusual manifestation after the first shot of a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine together with a high fever and liver injury. J Cutan Immunol Allergy. 2023;6:49–54. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1002/cia2.12279