Synthesis of New Hybrid Derivatives from Metronidazole and Eugenol Analogues as Trypanocidal Agents

Mônica Fraccarolli Pelozo¹, Giovanna Fiori Serpa Lima¹, Cleydson Finotti Cordeiro¹, Luana Sueli Silva², Ivo Santana Caldas², Diogo Teixeira Carvalho¹, Stefânia Neiva Lavorato³, Jamie Anthony Hawkes¹, Lucas Lopardi Franco¹

¹Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Brazil; ²Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Brazil; ³Centro de Ciências Biológicas e Saúde, Universidade Federal do Oeste da Bahia, Brazil

Corresponding author: Lucas Lopardi Franco, Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Departamento de Alimentos e Medicamentos, Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Rua Gabriel Monteiro da Silva 700, Alfenas, MG, 37130-001, Brazil; email: <u>lucas.franco@unifal-mg.edu.br</u>

Received, March 23, 2021; Revised July 3, 2021; Accepted, August 3, 2021; Published, August 18, 2021

ABSTRACT – Background: The search for new drug compounds is always challenging and there are several different strategies that involve the most varied and creative approaches in medicinal chemistry. One of them is the technique of molecular hybridisation: forming a hybrid compound from two or more pharmacophoric subunits. These hybrids may maintain the characteristics of the original compound and preferably show improvements to its pharmacological action, with reduced side effects and lower toxicity when compared to the original components. This study specifically focuses on synthesising hybrid molecules which demonstrate trypanocidal activity against the epimastigote and trypomastigote forms of Trypanosoma cruzi. Methods: In this context, this study centres on the synthesis of a novel structural scaffold via molecular hybridisation; by using a triazole species to link a metronidazole unit to a eugenol analogue unit, the objective being to combine their therapeutic properties into a new molecular structure. The resulting hybrid molecules were evaluated against T. cruzi which is responsible for high incidences of trypanosomiasis in tropical countries such as Brazil. Results: The results of this study showed an improvement in the anti-parasitic activity of the hybrid compounds with the best result coming from hybrid compounds [8] and [9], which present an activity similar to the control drug benznidazole. The new compounds, utilising a triazole species as a coupling connector, demonstrated promising results and has highlighted the path for planning similar structural patterns to investigate new compounds. Conclusions: In summary, we can conclude that the synthesised hybrid compounds demonstrate that using a triazole to link metronidazole with natural phenols, produces hybrid molecules that are promising as a new class of compounds of therapeutic interest for further investigation.

INTRODUCTION

In medicinal chemistry, molecular hybridisation is a process which combines two or more pharmacophoric subunits to form a new compound hybrid" which called "a maintains the characteristics of the original compound (1,2). It is expected that the new hybrid molecule may show improvements to its pharmacological action, reduced side effects and less toxicity when compared to the original components. The main effects expected through this strategy may be due to synergistic or additive effects (when they have the same pharmacological activity) but which act on different biochemical targets, or "doubleacting" when they present different biological activities on pathophysiology. Sometimes the new hybrid molecule has the effect where one of the subunits performs the therapeutic action and the other subunit will minimise or cancel the unwanted

side effect caused by the first; in this case, we refer to the hybrid as a modulator (1-3).

In this context, this study focuses on the synthesis of a novel structural scaffold via molecular hybridisation; by linking a metronidazole unit to a eugenol analogue unit with the objective being to combine their therapeutic properties in a new molecular structure. Metronidazole itself was the first 5-nitroimidazole drug to be introduced into human therapy for the treatment of trichomoniasis and later for treating infections caused by a variety of anaerobic and microaerophilic bacteria such as Giardia lamblia, Trichomonas vaginalis, Entamoeba histolytica and Blastocystis sp protozoa (4,5). Metronidazole is considered to be a prodrug, with the main mechanism of action involved with an intracellular bioreduction of the nitro group to a short-lived nitro anion radical, which is favourable in anaerobic or microaerophilic environments (6,7). Eugenol (4allyl-2-methoxyphenol) is a natural phenol which is present in the essential oils of plants such as cloves, walnuts, nutmeg, and cinnamon and has historically important pharmacological properties such as: antibacterial, antifungal, antiparasitic, cytotoxic and antioxidant (8,9).

Both metronidazole and substances of the eugenol family (with the same structural core) have therapeutic properties that can be applied in studies against trypanosomiasis, which are diseases caused by the parasitic protozoa of the genus Trypanosoma. An example of which is Trypanosoma cruzi (responsible for causing Chagas disease), a unicellular protozoan and obligate parasite that presents alternating cellular forms during its biological cycle; with the main ones being trypomastigote, epimastigote and amastigote (10). Benznidazole is the only drug approved in Brazil for the treatment of Chagas disease, however the existence of many strains that are resistant (either partially or fully) to this drug explains the therapeutic failures observed in the acute phase. For treatments carried out in the chronic phase (even in patients who are infected with a sensitive strain) it is observed that in most cases there is no cure – reinforcing the importance of researching new drugs to treat this disease (11).

A new structural nucleus containing a 5nitroimidazole unit, and a eugenol unit (or analogue) allows the projection of possible interactions with the various stages of the biological cycle of this parasite - which is of great interest and importance. There are several papers in the literature where researchers have synthesised metronidazole-triazole hybrids and tested their anti-amoebic properties (12,13) and anti-bacterial properties (14-16) with varying results, and there is also a patent application focussed on some specific metronidazole-triazole hybrid molecules for their antibacterial properties and therapeutic usage (17).

Herein we report the synthesis of novel hybrids containing a metronidazole unit coupled to a eugenol analogue unit as shown in Figure 1. We chose to use a triazole linker, in order to explore the field known as click chemistry, which gained prominence in medicinal chemistry through the founding work conducted by K. Barry Sharpless (18). The well-known CuAAC reaction (copper (I) catalysed azide alkyne cycloaddition) directly links pharmacophoric molecules by means of a rigid 1,2,3-triazole 1,4-disubstituted unit (18,19). This is an effective, quick and efficient method to produce bioactive molecules and this strategy is widely used in medicinal chemistry, as a method to efficiently connect units of therapeutic interest (20-22). In order to obtain the desired hybrid molecules the for this study, functionalisation of metronidazole was performed which resulted in

obtaining the key-intermediate azido species. In parallel, the preparation of the terminal propargyl of eugenol analogue phenols was carried out. These two units were subsequently coupled to form the new hybrid molecule, as shown in Figure 1, and described fully in the results section.



Figure 1. Molecular Structure of a Metronidazole-Eugenol Hybrid

This hybrid structure is expected to be a new prototype for studies against infectious agents such as anaerobic or microaerophilic microorganisms, parasites, and protozoa, many of which cause diseases which are considered to be "Neglected Tropical Diseases" (NTD's) – communicable diseases that prevail in tropical and subtropical conditions in 149 countries affecting more than one billion people (23).

METHODS AND MATERIALS

All reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and the *T. cruzi* strains were cultured and maintained in the Laboratory of Clinical & Experimental Pathology and Parasitology (Laboratório de Patologia e Parasitologia Clínica e experimental) at UNIFAL-MG.

Analytical Techniques

Fourier Transform InfraRed Spectroscopy.

Fourier Transform InfraRed Spectroscopy (FTIR) was performed on a Shimadzu[®] Affinity-1 spectrometer using a zinc selenide attenuated total reflectance (ATR) sampling accessory supplied by Pike Technologies[®] (USA). Readings were taken at room temperature, 32 scans per analysis, resolution of 4.0cm⁻¹, range from 4000-600cm⁻¹.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (NMR) was performed on a Bruker[®] 300 spectrometer. The ¹H and HSQC / HMQC experiments were recorded at 300 MHz and ¹³C experiments were recorded at 75 MHz. All samples were dissolved into CDCl₃ as a solvent apart from compounds [1], [2] and [6] which, due

to their low solubility in $CDCl_3$ required the use of $DMSO-d_6$. Chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million (ppm) based on the corresponding solvent. The abbreviations used for spin multiplicity were: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet.

Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry.

Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis was performed on a Waters Acquity UPLC H-Class system; comprising a quaternary solvent manager attached to a triple-quadrupole (Acquity TQD) mass spectrometer. The samples were prepared in acetonitrile, and the mobile phase was acetonitrile spiked with 0.1% formic acid. The flow rate and injection volume were 0.4 mL / min and 10.0 μ L, respectively. Electrospray ionisation (ESI) with positive ionisation mode was found to be suitable and optimised as follows: cone voltage between 3 and 40V, capillary at 3KV and extractor 3V, source block temperature 120 °C, desolvation line 500 °C, nitrogen was used as the nebulising gas at 1000 litres/hour. The output signals were monitored and processed using Empower 3 software.

Antiparasitic Assay

Cultivation of Organisms

The epimastigote forms of strain Y were obtained by culture in liver infusion tryptose (LIT) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS). The parasites were stored in 15 mL Falcon tubes and kept in a Bio-Oxygen Demand (BOD) incubator at 28 °C; where every two days LIT medium was added to each Falcon tube containing the culture parasites, which due to the weekly breeding cycle, resulted in an exponential growth. For the anti-trypomastigote assay, tissue culturederived trypomastigotes were obtained from the first burst of H9c2 cells previously infected with blood trypomastigotes of the T. cruzi Y strain. The parasites were collected from the monolayer supernatant, purified as previously reported (24), and further resuspended in fresh culture medium. Parasites (1x10⁶) were incubated for 24 h in 96well plates, with different concentrations of the substances in 1:2 serial dilutions (200 at 1.56 μ g/mL). The rates of parasite death were quantified by light microscopy to determine the EC_{50} (25).

Construction of the Epimastigote Standard Curve.

The construction of the epimastigote standard curve was performed according to the protocol described by Gonçalves-Santos *et al.* (26) with some modifications. After counting the epimastigotes in a Neubauer chamber, the concentration was adjusted to 1.5x10⁶ parasites per ml. Seven serial dilutions (1:2) were made and subsequently pipetted into duplicate (200 µl per well) into 96-well plates. The plates were incubated in a BOD incubator at 28 °C for 72 hours. Soon after, 20 µl of resazurin (1mM previously standardised concentration) was added. In order to find the time interval where reading different concentrations was linear, the reaction was read at hourly intervals until the dye had totally reduced. Absorbance readings were taken at 570 nm for detecting the reduced form of the dye, and at 600 nm for detecting the oxidised form, in a Biochrom Anthos Zenyth 200rt microplate reader. The formula used was as follows: Resazurin reduction $= [A_{570} - (A_{600} \times R_0)]$. Where A_{570} and A_{600} are absorbance readings at 570 nm and 600 nm respectively, $R_0 =$ factor of correction. The R_0 factor was calculated from the absorbance values of the negative control (C), which contained LIT and resazurin in the absence of cells $[R_0 = (A_{570} / A_{570})]$ $A_{600}C$)]. To construct the standard curve, the number of parasites was plotted versus the percentage of dye reduction. Therefore, calculating the ideal amount of epimastigotes and the optimal incubation time in order to obtain a linear reaction.

Evaluation of Anti - T. cruzi Activity

In order to assess the trypanocidal potential of natural substances using Benznidazole as the reference drug; for each substance tested, 8 decreasing concentrations (1:2 dilution) were used in triplicate, with the initial concentration being 150 µg/ml. A volume of 150 µl per well was added for each dilution into a sterile 96 well plate. After cultivation and maintenance of epimastigote forms (as previously described), the number of parasites were counted in a Neubauer chamber so that the concentration was 1.5×10^6 / ml which was then added to the wells containing the dilution series of all compounds. Each plate also contained the following controls: negative control (containing only the LIT culture medium), positive control (containing the LIT and the parasite) and controls containing the culture medium and the drug in the absence of the parasite to evaluate the potential of the drug to reduce the dye.

The plates were incubated for 72 hours in a BOD incubator at 28 °C and then resazurin (20 μ L) was added to all wells and the plate was incubated for an additional 12 hours at 28 °C. After the incubation period, using the Biochrom Anthos Zenyth 200rt microplates, readings were taken at 570nm and 600nm and the formula used to calculate the inhibition was: % inhibition = [100 - A₅₇₀ - (A₆₀₀*R₀) Treated / A₅₇₀ - (A₆₀₀*R₀) Control+] *100

Where A_{570} & A_{600} = absorbance at 570nm & 600nm respectively, R_0 = correction factor. The R_0 correction factor was calculated from the absorbance values of the negative control (culture medium + resazurin in the absence of parasites) using the formula [R_0 = (A_{570} / A_{600})]. After calculating the percentage of inhibition of each drug concentration and the tested substances, the computer program "Graph Pad Prism 5" was used in order to calculate the inhibitory concentration at which 50% of the organism population is inhibited.

Additional Properties

The physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties, toxicity and druglikeness of the synthesised compounds were predicted using the OSIRIS Property Explorer (27) and SwissADME databases (28). The Bioactivity score of these compounds were predicted using the online "Molinspiration" property calculator (29).

RESULTS

Molecular Synthesis - Overview

The first step of this work involved the preparation of the key intermediate azido species of metronidazole [2] by classical organic synthesis (Scheme 1, Part 1), and the preparation of the propargyl derivatives from eugenol analogue phenols (Scheme 1, Part 2) to form compounds [3], [4] and [5].

As indicated in Scheme 2, the reaction was carried out in *t*-butanol, at room temperature, using copper sulfate as a catalyst which is an efficient method that provided all products with yields ranging from 80 to 92% yield. The compounds shown in Schemes 1 & 2 were synthesised using the following procedures. In addition to the analytical data shown below, a supplementary file containing all the analytical data is also available online.

Synthesis of Compound [2] Step a: In a round bottom flask containing metronidazole (5.34 anhydrous pyridine (5 mmol) and ml), methanesulfonyl chloride (21.36 mmol) was added whilst kept in an ice bath, and the mixture was kept at -4 °C for 24 hours. The crude product was treated with HCl 12M, dropwise, at 0 °C (ice bath), until reaching pH = 0 as measured using pHindicator strips (Merck colorpHast[®]). The white precipitate that was formed, was filtered under vacuum resulting in a pure white solid which is the intermediary compound [1].

Step b: In a round bottomed flask containing dimethylformamide (3 ml), compound [1] (4.02 mmol) and sodium azide (24.12 mmol) was added. The mixture was kept under magnetic stirring and heating (80 °C) overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was suspended in cold distilled water and then filtered under vacuum to produce 0.67g of pure compound [2]. From a safety perspective, it is worth highlighting that sodium azide must be handled appropriately due to its hazardous properties. Sodium azide itself is highly toxic to both humans and the environment and can react to form potentially explosive compounds and may have incompatibilities with some metals, strong acids, and certain chlorinated solvents. Before attempting to use the synthesis route described above, these authors recommend researching the safe usage guidelines for sodium azide before attempting to repeat the reactions described herein.

Analytical Data:

- Compound [1] IUPAC Name: 2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl methanesulfonate. Appearance: White solid. Yield: 95%. m.p.: 165.2 167.1 °C; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3058 (C4-H), 2805 (C6,7,8-H), 1351 (S=O), 1118 (S=O), 1285 (N=O), 1108 (N=O), 1055 (C-O). ¹H NMR [300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆, (ppm)]: 8.07 [s, 1H, H4], 4.65 [t, ³J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, H8], 4.55 [t, ³J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, H7], 3.15 [s, 3H, -SO₂C<u>H₃</u>], 2.46 [s, 3H, H6]. ¹³C NMR [100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆ (ppm)]: 152.18 [C1], 138.89 [C5], 133.51 [C4], 68.95 [C8], 45.54 [C7], 37.14 [-SO₂CH₃], 13.46 [C6].
- [2] Compound IUPAC 1 - (2 -Name: azidoethyl)-2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazole. Appearance: White solid. Yield: 85%; m.p.: 171.8 – 172.5 °C; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3055 (C4-H), 2811 (C6,7,8-H), 2108 (N=N=N), 1285 (N=O), 1108 (N=O), 1051 (C-O). ¹H NMR [300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, (ppm)]: 8.02 [s, 1H, H4], 4.44 [t, ${}^{3}J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H, H7], 3.73 [t, ${}^{3}J =$ 6.3 Hz, 2H, H8], 2.44 [s, 3H, H6]. ¹³C NMR [100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆ (ppm)]: 151.99 [C2], 138.79 [C5], 133.60 [C4], 50.47 [C8], 45.39 [C7], 14.43 [C6].

Synthesis of the Propargyl Derivatives [3-5].

In a round bottomed flask containing dimethylformamide (5 ml), the corresponding phenol (1.83 mmol), sodium carbonate (5.49 mmol), propargyl bromide (5.49 mmol) and 5% w/v of molecular sieve (4Å) were added. The mixture reaction was kept under magnetic stirring

and heating (100 °C) for 24 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product obtained was dissolved in ethyl acetate (20 ml) and washed 3 times with distilled water (20

ml). Then the resulting organic phase was dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The average yield of the pure products obtained was ~70%.

<u>Part 1</u>





Part 2 Step c: propargyl bromide, potassium carbonate, dimethylformamide, 80°C, 24h



Scheme 1 General Reaction Scheme for the Preparation of the Hybrid Molecules. Intermediary azido species of metronidazole [2] was obtained with an 81% overall yield, and the natural phenols (eugenol, dihydroeugenol and guaiacol) were converted into their respective propargyl derivatives (compounds [3], [4] and [5]) by reaction with propargyl bromide and potassium carbonate with satisfactory yields (~70%). In the second step to synthesise the "hybrid" compounds, we utilised a 1,2,3-triazole,1,4-disubstituted unit as a linker via a CuAAC reaction, to couple compound [2] with compounds [3], [4] and [5] to form compounds [7], [8] and [9] respectively as shown in Scheme 2 (18-22). Compound [6] which was synthesised by coupling propargyl alcohol with compound [2], was selected in order to allow us to better understand the importance of phenolic units linked to the nitroimidazole nucleus.



Scheme 2. Coupling Reaction Scheme to Form Compounds [6] to [9].

Analytical Data:

- Compound [3] IUPAC Name: 4-allyl-2-methoxy-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)benzene. Appearance: Brown oil. Yield: 72%; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3311 (C10-H), 3010 (CAr-H), 2105 (C=C), 1504 (C=C), 1035 (C-O). ¹H NMR [300 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 6.95 [m, 4H, H3'-6'], 4.77 [s, 2H, H8'], 3.87 [s, 3H, H7'], 2.51 [s, 1H, H10]. ¹³C NMR [100 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)]: 149.7 [C1'], 146.7 [C2'], 122.3 [C4'], 120.7 [C5'], 114.4 [C6'], 111.8 [C3'], 78.5 [C9], 75.7 [C10], 56.6 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'].
- Compound [4] IUPAC Name: 2-methoxy-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)-4-propylbenzene. Appearance: Brown oil. Yield: 68%; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3308 (C10-H), 3005 (CAr-H), 2111 (C=C), 1511 (C=C), 1045 (C-O). ¹H NMR [300 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 6.93 [d, ^{3}J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H6'], 6.72 [m, 2H, H3', H5'], 4.72 [s, 2H, H8'], 4.11 [s, 1H, H10], 3.65 [s, 3H, H7'], 2.51 [t, ^{3}J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-CH₂], 1.61 [s, ^{3}J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-CH₂], 1.61 [s, ^{3}J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-CH₂], 1.61 [s, ^{3}J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, -CH₂], 0.93 [t, ^{3}J = 7.6 Hz, 3H, -CH₃]. ¹³C NMR [100 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)]: 149.9 [C2'], 149.4 [C1'], 136.9 [C4'], 120.1 [C5'], 114.5 [C6'], 112.2 [C3'], 78.9 [C9], 75.5 [C10], 56.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 37.7 [Ar-CH₂], 29.7 [<u>C</u>H₂'], 14,2 [<u>C</u>H₃].
- Compound [5] IUPAC Name: 1-methoxy-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)benzene. Appearance: Brown oil. Yield: 71%; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3299 (C10-H), 3007 (CAr-H), 2115 (C=C), 1499 (C=C), 1045 (C-O). ¹H NMR $[300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, (\text{ppm})]: 6.97 \text{ [d}, {}^3J = 8.6 \text{ Hz},$ 1H, H6'], 6.74 [m, 2H, H3', H5'], 5.96 [m, 1H, C<u>H</u>=CH₂], 5.08 [m, 2H, CH=C<u>H₂</u>], 4.74 [d, ${}^{3}J$ = 2.4 Hz, 2H, H8'], 3.86 [s, 3H, H7'], 3.34 [d, ${}^{3}J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-C<u>H</u>₂'], 2.49 [t, ${}^{3}J = 2.4$ Hz, 2H, H10]. ¹³C NMR [100 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)]: 149.6 [C2'], 145.1 [C1'], 137.5 [<u>C</u>H=CH₂], [C4'], 133.2 120.3 [C5'], 115.8 [CH=<u>CH</u>₂],114.5 [C6'], 112.3 [C3'], 78.8 [C9], 75.7 [C10], 56.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 39.9 [Ar-CH₂].

Synthesis of Hybrid Compounds [6-9].

In a round bottomed flask containing of *tert*butanol (3 ml), the appropriate propargyl derivative (1 mmol) was added, followed by of sodium ascorbate (0.8 mmol), copper sulfate (0.08 mmol) and 1 mmol of compound [1]. The reaction mixture was kept under magnetic stirring at room temperature for 24 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the obtained crude product was dissolved in chloroform (20 ml) and washed three times with a 2% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid aqueous solution. The resulting organic phase was dried over sodium sulfate, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The average yield of the pure compounds by this method ranged between 80% to 92% yield. <u>Analytical Data:</u>

• Compound [6] IUPAC Name: (1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3triazol-4-yl)methanol.

Appearance: Pale yellow solid. Yield: 92%; m.p.: 147.5 – 149.1 °C; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3059 (C10-H), 3015 (CAr-H), 1504 (C=C), 1284 (N=O), 1118 (N=O), 1051 (C-O). LC-MS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₉H₁₂N₆O₃ = 252.10, m/z + H⁺ = 253.0, found 253.00. ¹H NMR [300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆, (ppm)]: 8.04 [s, 1H, H4], 7.87 [s, 1H, H10'], 5.25 [t, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H, OH], 4.79 [t, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H, H8], 4.68 [t, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H, H7], 4.47 [d, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H, H11], 1.83 [s, 3H, H6]. C¹³ NMR [100 MHz, DMSO*d*₆, (ppm)]: 151.8 [C2], 149.9 [C9'], 138.9 [C5], 133.7 [C4], 124.1 [C10'], 53.3 [C8], 49.0 [C8'], 46.7 [C7], 13.3 [C6].

• Compound **[7]** IUPAC Name: 4-((2methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3triazole.

Appearance: Pale yellow solid. Yield: 82%; m.p.: 117.1 - 117.9 °C; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3055 (C10-H), 3011 (CAr-H), 1507 (C=C), 1294 (N=O), 1201 (N=O), 1032 (C-O). LC-MS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₁₆H₁₈N₆O₄ = 358.14, m/z + H⁺ = 359.14, found 359.08. ¹H NMR [300 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 7.97 [s, 1H, H4], 7.40 [s, 1H, H10'], 6.91 [m, 4H, H3'-H6'], 5.25 [s, 2H, H8'], 4.77 [s, 4H, H7, H8], 3.85 [s, 3H, H7'], 1.92 [s, 3H, H6]. ¹³C NMR [100 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 151.3 [C2], 149.6 [C9'], 147.2 [C1'], 145.3 [C2'], 138.1 [C5], 133.8 [C4], 123.8 [C10'], 122.1 [C5'], 120.1 [C6'], 114.1 [C4'], 111.9 [C3'], 62.7 [C8'], 55.9 [C7'], 49.6 [C8], 46.4 [C7], 13.3 [C6].

• Compound **[8]** IUPAC Name: 4-((4-allyl-2methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3triazole.

Appearance: Pale yellow solid. Yield: 80%; m.p.: 113.1 – 114.5 °C; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3033 (C10-H), 3014 (CAr-H), 1518 (C=C), 1255 (N=O), 1237 (N=O), 1035 (C-O). LC-MS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₁₉H₂₂N₆O₄ = 398.17, m/z + H⁺ = 399.17, found 399.13. ¹H NMR [300 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 7.97 [s, 1H, H4], 7.40 [s, 1H, H10'], 6.87 [d, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H6'], 6.72 [m, H3', H5'], 5.92 [m, 1H, C<u>H</u>=CH₂], 5.22 [s, 2H, H8'], 5.07 [m, 2H,

- C=C<u>H</u>₂], 4.76 [m, 4H, H7, H8], 3.85 [s, 3H, H7'], 3.31 [d, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-C<u>H</u>₂], 1.94, [s, 3H, H6]. 13 C NMR [100 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 151.3 [C2], 149.5 [C9'], 145.5 [C2'], 145.41 [C1'], 137.5 [C5], 134.4 [C4'], 133.8 [C4], 133.5 [C <u>C</u>H=CH₂], 123.8 [C10'], 120.5 [C5'], 115.8 [CH=<u>C</u>H₂]], 114.5 [C6'], 112.4 [C3'], 62.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 49.6 [C8], 46.4 [C7], 39.8 [Ar-<u>C</u>H₂], 13.4 [C6].
- Compound **[9]** IUPAC Name: 4-((2-methoxy-4-propylphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3triazole.

Appearance: Pale yellow solid. Yield: 88%; m.p.: 118.8 – 120.3 °C; IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3049 (C10-H), 3010 (CAr-H), 1508 (C=C), 1285 (N=O), 1215 (N=O), 1033 (C-O). LC-MS (ESI) m/z calculated for $C_{19}H_{24}N_6O_4 = 400.19$, $m/z + H^+ = 401.19$, found 401.16. ¹H NMR [300 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 7.97 [s, 1H, H4], 7.39 [s, 1H, H10'], 6.84 [d, ${}^{3}J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H, H6'], 6.67 [m, H3', H5'], 5.21 [s, 2H, H8'], 4.75 [s, 4H, H7, H8], 3.81 [s, 3H, H7'], 2.50 [t, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, Ar-C<u>H</u>₂], 1.95, [s, 3H, H6], 1.60 [s, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, -CH₂], 0.94 [t, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H, -CH₃]. ¹³C NMR [100 MHz, CDCl₃, (ppm)]: 149.3 [C2], 145.5 [C9'], 145.2 [C2'], 136.8 [C1'], 133.9 [C5], 133.6 [C4'], 133.5 [C4], 123.8 [C10'], 120.3 [C5'], 114.3 [C6'], 112.3 [C3'], 62.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 49.6 [C8], 46.4 [C7], 37.7 [Ar-CH2], 24.7 [CH2], 13.8 [CH₃], 13.4 [C6].

To further confirm the successful formation of the desired hybrid compounds, FTIR (ATR), LCMS (ESI) and NMR spectrometry were used; Figure 2 shows the ¹H NMR spectra of the keyintermediate azido compound [2], the propargylguaiacol [3] and the hybrid molecule obtained from this coupling [7] to demonstrate the success of the reaction pathway. The key point from this ¹H NMR analysis is the presence of the signal at 7.45 ppm which is characteristic of the triazole hydrogen (H10), and its presence corroborates the formation of the hybrid structure. The remaining signals are marked in the spectrum.

Figure 3 shows the 2D ¹H NMR spectrums of compound [7] using the well-known HSQC and HMBC experiments which, when the data is combined, enables the confirmation that the substituent unit is present on carbon 9'. The HSQC (Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence) experiment is used to confirm the presence of proton-carbon single bonds, whereas the HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation) experiment confirms the presence of proton-carbon bonds separated by 2-4 bonds, such as those in conjugated systems (30).

In the HSQC experiment, the one bond interaction of H10' x C10' allows confirmation that the C10' (at 123.9 ppm), is the only hydrogenated carbon of the triazole ring. The HMBC spectrum gives the long distance (three bonds) interactions, and the observed correlation of C10' x H8 allows the verification, as expected, of the triazole obtained.

From the FTIR (ATR), LCMS (ESI), ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrometry data obtained, the structures of compounds **[6]** to **[9]** were therefore concluded to be as expected and previously shown in Scheme 2. These compounds were then assessed in a number of ways as explained in the following sections.

Antiparasitic Assay

We evaluated the bioactive potential of the hybrid compounds (compared the original to pharmacophores of metronidazole & eugenol) against the epimastigote form of *T. cruzi* as shown in Figure 4 and discussed in the next section. This assay is the most common in biological studies of this protozoa, but the epimastigote form is present in the vector. Although it is possible to make a comparison of these results against this form, the answer is even more compatible when evaluated against trypomastigote forms of the protozoan. Therefore, the study was also carried out against trypomastigote forms, and the results are shown in Figure 5 and discussed in the next section.

DISCUSSION

As previously mentioned, from the FTIR (ATR), LCMS(ESI), ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrometry data obtained, the structures of compounds **[6]** to **[9]** were concluded to be as expected and previously shown in Scheme 2.

Evaluation of Anti - T. cruzi Activity

Testing the hybrids molecules against the Epimastigote form of T. cruzi.

From the results shown in Figure 4, it is possible to conclude that metronidazole and eugenol have a moderate anti-trypanosomal action against the epimastigote form of *T. cruzi*, with IC₅₀ values of 191 μ M ± 8 μ M and 161 μ M ± 7 μ M respectively. For reference, Santoro *et al.* (31) published an IC₅₀ value for eugenol of 246 μ g/ml (no error reported) which is roughly comparable to our findings. The triazole compound **[6]**, can be considered to not possess any activity with an IC₅₀ value of 602 μ M ± 23 μ M. The hybrid molecules**[7-9]** showed an



Figure 2. Comparative ¹H NMR Spectra (300 MHz, CDCl₃) for compounds [2] (top), [3] (middle) and [7] (bottom).



Figure 3. Expanded 2D Spectra ¹H NMR (HSQC and HMBC) (300 MHz, CDCl₃) of Compound [7].

improvement in antiparasitic action compared to compound [6] with IC₅₀ values of 115 μ M ± 24.6 μ M, 52 μ M ± 14 μ M and 33 μ M ± 3 μ M for compounds [7], [8] and [9] respectively. Obviously, the best result was that from hybrid compound [9] which presents similar activity to the control drug benznidazole (IC₅₀ value of 29 μ M ± 5 μ M).

Testing the hybrids molecules against the Trypomastigote form of T. cruzi.

From the results shown in Figure 5, it is possible to observe a pattern similar to the previous assay with compound [6] showing no activity (IC₅₀ value of 601 μ M \pm 1 μ M), and the hybrid compounds showing an improved activity with IC₅₀ values of 110 μ M \pm 5 μ M, 10 μ M \pm 1 μ M and 17 μ M \pm 2 μ M for compounds [7], [8] and [9] respectively when compared to metronidazole and

eugenol alone (IC₅₀ values of 178 μ M ± 20 μ M and $160 \,\mu\text{M} \pm 14 \,\mu\text{M}$ respectively). For reference, a published IC₅₀ value of 76 µg/ml (no error reported) for eugenol (31) is slightly lower than we obtained, but still relatively comparable. There is a published IC_{50} value for metronidazole (32) of 1000 μ M \pm 164.5 μ M which is very high in comparison to the results obtained herein (and also very high compared to the published results against the epimastigote form as described in the previous section) leading to the suspicion that those authors may have used a resistant strain. Furthermore, Simões-Silva et al. (33) reported an EC₅₀ value of >200 µM against bloodstream trypomastigotes and an EC50 value >200 µM against amastigotes. Unfortunately, these EC₅₀ values were out of the range of interest for Simões-Silva et al. (33), therefore one cannot accurately speculate how much greater than 200 they were µM, but we consider it important to mention them here. It should be noted that in this assay, the activity of hybrid [8] was slightly higher than hybrid [9], and both were the best compounds in this study, presenting activity approaching that of the control drug benznidazole (IC₅₀ value of 7 μ M $\pm 1 \text{ uM}$).

These results give a new insight into the importance of the structural nucleus containing the two units (the metronidazole and eugenol analogue). The results demonstrated that the triazole compound [6] did not show any relevant activity in relation to any of the forms of the parasite tested, which reinforces the importance of the phenolic unit being present. A further observation is that the natural phenolic unit chosen (guaiacol) does not contain the "tail" in the *para* position, but it was present in the triazole compound [7] which presented the least activity.

Prediction of Toxicity Risk

The toxicity of drug candidates is a limiting factor in the drug discovery process and its determination is important in the initial stages of a drug design study. In this context, computational methods that support the screening of chemical toxicity risks allow an early and rapid recognition of these properties with low costs (34,35). Using OSIRIS Property Explorer (27) the the physicochemical and toxicological data of metronidazole, eugenol and the hybrid compounds were predicted based upon chemical structure, and structural fragments that may be well-known to have the potential to generate a certain toxic effect (Table 1).

Regarding the toxicological data, despite the well-known and diverse biological activities

already demonstrated by both metronidazole and eugenol (resulting in them being used commercially), it is observed that both compounds have specific toxic profiles which would limit them from being used in different situations.

It should be noted that some of the properties calculated by the OSIRIS program (27) (mutagenic, tumorigenic, irritant and reprotoxic properties) do not always match real experiment values and are only used as indicators in early development work. According to the OSIRIS program developers, "Toxicity risk alerts are an indication that the drawn structure may be harmful concerning the risk category specified. However, risk alerts are by no means meant to be a fully reliable toxicity prediction. Nor should be concluded from the absence of risk alerts that a particular substance is completely free of any toxic effect." In general, the results generated by the OSIRIS program are in line with experimental results, but occasionally certain papers highlight results which contradict this. For example, metronidazole is a commercial drug and considered to be safe for its prescribed usage (and the OSIRIS program predicts it is non-mutagenic), however there are several studies which describe metronidazole as having mutagenic properties against bacteria and mammalian cells (36-38).

The predicted parameters for the control drugs are generally in line with published experimental values, which gives confidence in the predicted values for the synthesised hybrid molecules (although obviously full testing would be required as part of the drug approval process). Regarding the predicted values for the synthesised hybrid compounds, the hydroxyl protection of metronidazole appears to reduce its toxic character on the reproductive system, whereas in eugenol, despite reducing its mutagenic effect using the same chemical transformation, the tumorigenic and irritant effects are only modulated with the modification of the allyl chain. From these results, the safest compounds (considering the toxic effects) would be the hybrid compounds [6], [7] and [8]. The low lipophilic nature of compounds [6] and [7] would perhaps make them the compounds with the best conditions to be used in liquid preparations for oral use (for example in a mouthwash). The Drug Score parameter allows us to assess whether the compound has the potential to become a drug by using a single number. The drug score itself is a combined value which is obtained from the druglikeness score, cLogP (an indicator for lipophilicity), solubility, molecular indicator for lipophilicity), solubility, molecular mass and toxicological risks into a single value. If



Figure 4. Anti-trypanosomal IC₅₀ values against the epimastigote form of *T. cruzi*.



Figure 5. Anti-trypanosomal IC₅₀ values (µM) against the trypomastigote form of *T. cruzi*.

we compare the synthesised hybrid compounds, it is possible to observe that compounds [6] and [7] present Drug Score values close to, or even higher than that observed for the metronidazole drug. These results indicate a great potential for these hybrids to evolve into drugs – when considering only the structural aspects and data provided by the program. The online "Molinspiration" property calculator (29) allows the calculation of molecular properties from chemical structures. The properties calculated include: GPCR ligand binding, ion channel modulator, kinase inhibitor, nuclear receptor ligand, protease inhibitor & enzyme inhibitor. Together these calculated properties form the predicted bioactivity score and Table 2 shows theses values for the synthesised compounds along with eugenol and metronidazole as comparisons, where the higher values indicate better performance.

It can be seen from these results that the compounds do not have enough structural fragments for the similarity in question to be proposed, since the majority showed negative values regarding the Bioactivity Score or very low positive values. The only compound that showed a Bioactivity Score value that allows the suggestion of a structural similarity to drugs was compound [6] for enzyme inhibitors. It is worth mentioning that the fact that the other compounds do not present such a relationship is not a limitation for them to evolve into drugs. This information only concerns the structural similarity and mechanism of action specified above. This low similarity was already indicated in the forecasts observed in the OSIRIS program (27) as previously mentioned, considering the negative values obtained in that analysis. The pharmacokinetic properties of all hybrid compounds were calculated using the SwissADME database (28) and are shown in Table 3. As the data in Table 3 shows, there is a prediction of high gastrointestinal absorption of the compounds, which could allow their use by the oral route to achieve systemic action. Considering the blood brain barrier (BBB) parameter that indicates the possibility of central action of the compounds, there is a prediction of limited action in this region of the organism, limiting only to the possibility of peripheral action. This characteristic would limit the use of the compounds in diseases that affect the central nervous system, but the possibility of adverse effects would be reduced, if the only peripheral effect of the compound is what is desired. Only hybrid compound [7] was predicted as a P-glycoprotein substrate. One of the main roles of this macromolecule is to act as an efflux pump,

its expression being related to many cases of drug resistance (39). In this sense, it can be predicted that with hybrid compound [7] being a substrate of this P-glycoprotein, greater resistance to the treatment of a disease with this compound if Pglycoproteins are present and active. Again, to demonstrate the general reliability of using the OSIRIS program to predict properties, published studies show that metronidazole does not act as a P-glycoprotein substrate (40), and that it can cross the blood brain barrier to access the central nervous system (41), confirming the predicted properties for the controls and giving confidence that the predicted properties for the synthesised hybrids are likely to be reliable.

Regarding the influence of the studied compounds in the inhibition of CYP450 enzymes, there is a prediction of interference in the metabolism of xenobiotics processed by the isoforms CYP1A2, CYP2A19, CYP2C9 and CYP3A4. With the exception of hybrid compound [6], the others could act as inhibitors of at least one of these enzymatic isoforms, thus reducing the metabolism of its substrates. Only the CYP2D6 isoform would not suffer interference from the action of the synthesised triazoles and ethers. The Kp parameter (cm/s) consists of the skin permeability coefficient, where the more negative its logarithmic (log Kp) number, the lower the skin permeability of the evaluated compound (42). For comparison against the predicted properties by the SwissADME database, a literature review by Roedler et al. (50) concluded that metronidazole does not inhibit CYP3A4/5 based on controlled in vitro and in vivo studies, although case reports suggest the inhibition. This gives us confidence that the predicted properties for the synthesised hybrids are likely to be reliable.

Properties	Metronidazole	Eugenol	Synthesised Hybrid Compounds				
			[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Mutagenic?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	
Tumorigenic?	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	
Irritant?	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	
Reprotoxic?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	
CLogP	-1.05	2.27	-2.03	-0.15	1.06	0.88	
Solubility	-0.20	-2.05	-0.01	-1.49	-2.26	-2.20	
Molecular Mass	171	164	252	358	400	398	
TPSA	83.87	29.46	114.50	112.80	112.80	112.80	
Drug-likeness	0.97	-2.78	-2.45	-1.94	-3.01	-3.62	
Drug Score	0.51	0.11	0.52	0.51	0.44	0.16	

 Table 1. Physicochemical and Toxicological Properties of Synthesised Compounds and Controls

Proportios	Metronidazole	Fuganal	Synthesised Hybrid Compounds				
Toperties		Eugenoi	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
GPCR ligand binding	-1.09	-0.86	-0.29	-0.16	-0.08	-0.16	
Ion channel modulator	-0.87	-0.36	-0.33	-0.32	-0.27	-0.30	
Kinase inhibitor	-0.59	-1.14	-0.07	0.04	-0.03	-0.10	
Nuclear receptor ligand	-1.74	-0.78	-1.15	-0.74	-0.55	-0.61	
Protease inhibitor	-1.68	-1.29	-0.83	-0.52	-0.41	-0.54	
Enzyme inhibitor	-0.32	-0.41	0.21	0.07	0.09	0.07	

Table 2. Calculated Bioactivity Scores for the Synthesised Compounds and Controls.

 Table 3. Pharmacokinetic Properties

Duonoution	Metronidazole	Eugenol	Synthesised Hybrid Compounds			
Properties			[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Gastrointestinal Absorption	High	High	High	High	High	High
Blood Brain Barrier	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
P-glycoproteins (P-gps)	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Inhibition of enzyme CYP1A2	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Inhibition of enzyme CYP2A19	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Inhibition of enzyme CYP2C9	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Inhibition of enzyme CYP2D6	No	No	No	No	No	No
Inhibition of enzyme CYP3A4	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Skin permeability coefficient Log Kp (cm/s)	-7.36	-5.69	-8.51	-7.51	-6.81	-6.99

CONCLUSION

From the results obtained in this study, we can conclude that hybrid compounds containing a metronidazole unit coupled to natural phenols represent a new class of compounds of therapeutic interest, which should be studied further. In this study we utilised a triazole species as a coupling connector, however exploring other options and their effects on their efficacy is of major importance in further work. There was also increased anti-parasitic activity of the hybrid compounds (against T. cruzi) versus the controls with the best result again coming from hybrid compounds [8] and [9] which presents an activity similar to the control drug benznidazole. The new compounds demonstrated an important activity against T. cruzi, which is an extremely promising result for new planning of similar structural patterns, as well as the investigation of these compounds against other neglected tropical diseases (NTDs).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST. None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This study was financed in part by the Brazilian Federal Agency – CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior Código de Financiamento 001). The authors also wish to thank the staff in the Laboratory of Clinical & Experimental Pathology and Parasitology (Laboratório de Patologia e Parasitologia Clínica e experimental) at UNIFAL-MG for maintaining the *T. cruzi* strai

REFERENCES

- Zhang S, Saathoff JM, He L. Chapter 8 Molecular Hybridization: An Emerging Tool for the Design of Novel Therapeutics for Alzheimer's Disease. 2017; 8:219-237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-101011-</u> <u>2.00008-8</u>. In: Design of Hybrid Molecules for Drug Development. Editor: Decker M. Elsevier. ISBN: 9780081010112. 2017.
- Junior CV, Danuello A, Bolzani VS, Barreiro EJ, Fraga CAM. Molecular Hybridization: A Useful Tool in the Design of New Drug Prototypes. *Curr Med Chem*. 2007; 14:1829-1852. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/092986707781058805</u>.
- Wermuth CG, Aldous D, Raboisson P, Rognan D. The Practice of Medicinal Chemistry. Academic Press. London. 2015. 4th Edition. ISBN: 9780124172050.
- 4. Wright PM, Seiple IB, Myers G. The Evolving Role of Chemical Synthesis in Antibacterial Drug Discovery. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2014; 53:8840-8869. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201310843</u>.

- Dunn LA, Burgess AG, Krauger KG, Ekmann L, Vanelle P, Crozette MD, Gillin FD, Upcroft P, Upcroft JA. A New-Generation 5-Nitroimidazole can Induce Highly Metronidazole-Resistant Giardia Lamblia In Vitro. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2010; 36:37-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.03.004.
- Oliveira AA, Oliveira APA, Franco LL, Ferencs MO, Ferreira JFG, Bachi SMPS, Speziali NL, Farias LM, Magalhães PP, Beraldo H. 5-Nitroimidazole-Derived Schiff Bases and Their Copper (II) Complexes Exhibit Potent Antimicrobial Activity Against Pathogenic Anaerobic Bacteria. *Biometals*. 2018; 31:571-584. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10534-018-0106-6.
- Ang CW, Jarrad AM, Cooper MA, Blaskovich MAT. Nitroimidazoles: Molecular Fireworks That Combat a Broad Spectrum of Infectious Diseases. *J Med Chem.* 2017; 60:7635-7657. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00143</u>.
- Marchese A, Barbieri R, Coppo E, Orhan IE, Daglia M, Nabavi SF, Izadi M, Abdollahi M, Nabavi SM, Ajami M. Antimicrobial Activity of Eugenol and Essential Oils Containing Eugenol: A Mechanistic Viewpoint. *Crit Ver Microbiol*. 2017; 43:668-689.

https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2017.1295225.

- Hipólito TMM, Bastos GTL, Barbosa TWL, Souza TB, Coelho LFL, Dias ALT, Rodriguez IC, Santos MH, Dias DF, Franco LL, Carvalho DT. Synthesis, Activity, And Docking Studies of Eugenol-Based Glucosides as New Agents Against Candida Sp. *Chem Biol Drug Des.* 2018; 92:1514-1524. https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.13318.
- Cook GC, Zumla A. Editors. Manson's Tropical Diseases. 22nd Edition. Saunders Ltd. 2008. p1327-1340. ISBN: 9781416044703.
- Caldas IS, Santos EG, Novaes RD. An Evaluation of Benznidazole as a Chagas Disease Therapeutic. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2019: 20(15);1797-1807.

https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2019.1650915.

- Negi B, Raj KK, Siddiqui SM, Ramachandran D, Azam A, Rawat DS. In Vitro Anti-Amoebic Activity Evaluation and Docking Studies of Metronidazole–Triazole Hybrids. *Chem Med Chem.* 2014; 9:2439-2444. https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201402240.
- Negi B, Poonan P, Ansari MF, Kumar D, Aggarwal S, Singh R, Azam A, Rawat DS. Synthesis, Anti-Amoebic Activity and Docking Studies of Metronidazole-Triazole-Styryl Hybrids. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2018; 150:633-641. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.03.033</u>.
- Beena, Kumar N, Rohilla RK, Roy N, Rawat DS. Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity Evaluation of Metronidazole-Triazole Conjugates. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2009; 19(5):1396-1398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.01.037</u>.
- 15. Miyamoto Y, Kalisiak J, Korthals K, Lauwaet T, Cheung DY, Lozano R, Cobo ER, Upcroft P, Upcroft JA, Berg DE, Gillin FD, Fokin VV,

Sharpless KB, Eckmann L. Expanded Therapeutic Potential in Activity Space of Next-Generation 5-Nitroimidazole Antimicrobials with Broad Structural Diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2013; 201302664. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302664110</u>.

- Negi B, Kumar D, Kumbukgolla W, Jayaweera S, Ponnan P, Singh R, Agarwal S, Rawat DS. Anti-Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus Activity, Synergism with Oxacillin and Molecular Docking Studies of Metronidazole-Triazole Hybrids. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2016; 115:426-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.03.041.
- Fokin V, Sharpless KB, Eckmann L, Miyamoto Y. Expanded Therapeutic Potential in Nitro Heteroaryl Antimicrobials. From PCT Int Appl. (2014) WO2014205414. Espacenet database.
- 18. Kolb HC, Finn MG, Sharpless KB. Click Chemistry: Diverse Chemical Function from a Few Good Reactions. Angewandte Chemie Int Ed Engl. 2001; 40(11):2004-2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-</u> <u>3773(20010601)40:11<2004::aid-</u> <u>anie2004>3.3.co;2-x</u>.
- Jiang X, Hao X, Jing L, Wu H, Kang D, Liu X, Zhan P. Recent Applications of Click Chemistry in Drug Discovery. *Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery*. 2019; 14:779-789. https://doi.org/10.1080/17460441.2019.1614910.
- Ottoni FM, Gomes ER, Pádua RM, Oliveira MC, Silva IT, Alves RJ. Synthesis and Cytotoxicity Evaluation of Glycosidic Derivatives of Lawsone Against Breast Cancer Cell Lines. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2020; 30(2):126817. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.126817</u>.
- Almeida RG, Valença WO, Rosa LG, Simone CA, Castro SL, Barbosa JMC, Pinheiro DP, Paier CRK, Carvalho GGC, Pessoa C, Goulart MOF, Kharma A, Júnior ENS. Synthesis of Quinone Imine and Sulphur-Containing Compounds with Antitumor and Trypanocidal Activities: Redox and Biological Implications. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2020; 11:1145-1160. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D0MD00072H</u>.
- Junior EFC, Guimarães CFRC, Franco LL, Alves RJ, Kato KC, Martins HR, Filho JDS, Bemquerer MP, Munhoz VHO, Resende JM, Verly RM. Glycotriazole-Peptides Derived from The Peptide HSP1: Synergistic Effect of Triazole and Saccharide Rings on The Antifungal Activity. *Amino Acids*. 2017; 49:1389-1400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-017-2441-2.
- 23. World Health Organization website. <u>www.who.int/neglected_diseases/diseases/en/</u> Accessed on 17/07/2020.
- 24. Mazzeti AL, Diniz LDF, Gonçalves KR, WonDollinger RS, Assíria T, Ribeiro I, Bahia MT. Synergic Effect of Allopurinol in Combination with Nitroheterocyclic Compounds Against Trypanosoma cruzi. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019; 63:e02264-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02264-18.

25. Simões-Silva MR, De Araújo JS, Peres RB, Da Silva PB, Batista MM, De Azevedo LD, Bastos MM, Bahia MT, Boechat N, Soeiro MNC. Repurposing Strategies for Chagas Disease Therapy: The Effect of Imatiniband Derivatives Against Trypanosoma cruzi. *Parasitology*. 2019; 146:1006-1012.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182019000234.

- Gonçalves-Santos E, Vilas-Boas DF, Diniz LF, 26. Veloso MP, Mazzeti AL, Rodrigues MR, Oliveira CM, Fernandes VHC, Novaes RD, Chagas-Paula DA, Caldas IS. Sesquiterpene Lactone Potentiates the Immunomodulatory, Antiparasitic and Cardioprotective Effects on Anti-Trypanosoma cruzi Specific Chemotherapy. Int Immunopharmacol. 2019; 77:105961. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2019.105961.
- 27. OSIRIS Property Explorer. Available online: www.organic-chemistry.org/prog/peo/. Accessed 01/07/2021.
- 28. Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics SwissADME online database. <u>www.swissadme.ch</u>. Accessed 01/07/2021.
- 29. Molinspiration Property Calculator Program. Available online: <u>www.molinspiration.com</u>. Accessed 01/07/2021.
- Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, 7th Edition. 2005. Eds: Silverstein RM, Webster FX, Kiemle D. Wiley. ISBN: 1118311655.
- 31. Santoro GF, Cardoso MG, Guimarães LGL, Mendonça LZ, Soares MJ. Trypanosoma cruzi: Activity of Essential Oils from Achillea Millefolium L., Syzygium aromaticum L. and Ocimum basilicum L. on Epimastigotes and Trypomastigotes. *Exp Parasitol*. 2007; 116(3):283-290.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2007.01.018.

- Lopes M, Sales P, Lopes A, Yoshida M, Silva T, Romanha AJ, Alves R, Oliveira R. The Activity of a Metronidazole Analogue and its B-Cyclodextrin Complex Against Trypanosoma cruzi. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz.* 2011; 106(8):1055-1057. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762011000800027.
 </u>
- 33. Simões-Silva MR, De Araújo JS, Oliveira GM, Demarque KC, Peres RB, D'Almeida-Melo I, Batista DGJ, Da Silva CF, Cardoso-Santos C, Da Silva PB, Batista MM, Bahia MT. Drug Repurposing Strategy Against Trypanosoma cruzi Infection: In Vitro and In Vivo Assessment of The Activity of Metronidazole in Mono- and Combined Therapy. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2017; 145:46–53.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2017.08.025.

34. Roncaglioni A, Toropov AA, Toropova AP, Benfenati E. In Silico Methods to Predict Drug Toxicity. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2013; 13 (5):802-806. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2013.06.001</u>.

- Raies AB, Bajic VB. In Silico Toxicology: Computational Methods for the Prediction of Chemical Toxicity. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Mol Sci. 2016; 6(2):147–172. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1240.
- 36. Comprehensive Guide to the RTECS. 1997; Publication No. 97-119. Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS). The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) & the US Department of Health (DHHS). Available online: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/97-119/default.html</u>. Accessed 27 July 2021.
- 37. Buschini A, Ferrarini L, Franzoni S, Galati S, Lazzaretti M, Mussi F, Northfleet de Albuquerque C, Zucchi TMAD, Poli P. Genotoxicity Revaluation of Three Commercial Nitroheterocyclic Drugs: Nifurtimox. Benznidazole, and Metronidazole. J Parasitol Res. 2009: 2009:463575. https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/463575.
- Bendesky A, Menéndez D, Ostrosky-Wegman P. Is Metronidazole Carcinogenic? *Mutat Res.* 2002; 511(2):133-44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s1383-5742(02)00007-8</u>.
- Chen L, Li Y, Yu H, Zhang L, Hou T. Computational Models for Predicting Substrates or Inhibitors of P-Glycoprotein. *Drug Discov Today*. 2012; 17(7-8):343-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2011.11.003.
- 40. Tan SY, Kan E, Lim WY, Chay G, Law JHK, Soo GW, Bukhari NI, Segarra I. Metronidazole Leads to Enhanced Uptake of Imatinib in Brain, Liver and Kidney Without Affecting its Plasma Pharmacokinetics in Mice. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2011; 63(7):918-925. https://doi.org/10.1016/10.1111/j.2042-7158.2011.01296.x.
- Nau R, Sörgel F, Eiffert H. Penetration of Drugs Through the Blood-Cerebrospinal Fluid/Blood-Brain Barrier for Treatment of Central Nervous System Infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2010; 23(4):858-883.

https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00007-10.

- 42. Potts RO, Guy RH. Predicting Skin Permeability. *Pharm Res.* 1992; 9(5):663-669. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015810312465</u>.
- 43. Roedler R, Neuhauser MM, Penzak SR. Does Metronidazole Interact with CYP3A Substrates by Inhibiting Their Metabolism Through This Metabolic Pathway? Or Should Other Mechanisms be Considered? Ann Pharmacother. 2007; 41(4):653-658. https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.1H401.

Supplementary Information File

Synthesis of New Hybrid Derivatives from Metronidazole and Eugenol Analogues as Trypanocidal Agents

Mônica Fraccarolli Pelozo^a, Giovanna Fiori Serpa Lima^a, Luana Sueli Silva^b, Ivo Santana Caldas^b, Diogo Teixeira Carvalho^a, Stefânia Neiva Lavorato^c, Jamie Anthony Hawkes^a, Cleydson Finotti Cordeiro^a, Lucas Lopardi Franco^{a*}.

^a Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas. Universidade Federal de Alfenas, MG, 37130-001, Brazil,

^b Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas. Universidade Federal de Alfenas, MG, 37130-001, Brazil

^c Centro de Ciências Biológicas e Saúde, Universidade Federal do Oeste da Bahia, BA, 47810-047 Brazil

*Corresponding author: Lucas Lopardi Franco (lucas.franco@unifal-mg.edu.br). Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Rua Gabriel Monteiro da Silva, 700, Alfenas, MG, 37130-001, Brazil.

Fourier Transform InfraRed Spectroscopy (FTIR) was performed on a Shimadzu[®] Affinity-1 spectrometer using a zinc selenide attenuated total reflectance (ATR) sampling accessory supplied by Pike Technologies[®] (USA). Readings were taken at room temperature, 32 scans per analysis, resolution of 4.0cm⁻¹, range from 4000-600cm⁻¹.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (NMR) was performed on a Bruker[®] 300 spectrometer. All ¹H experiments (including the 2D HSQC & HMQC experiments) were recorded at 300 MHz and ¹³C experiments were recorded at 75 MHz. Samples were dissolved into CDCl₃ as a solvent apart from compounds [1], [2] and [6] which, due to their low solubility in CDCl₃ required the use of DMSO-*d*₆. Chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million (ppm) based on the corresponding solvent. The following abbreviations are used for spin multiplicity: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet.

Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis was performed on a Waters Acquity UPLC H-Class system; comprising a quaternary solvent manager attached to a triple-quadrupole (Acquity TQD) mass spectrometer. The samples were prepared in acetonitrile, and the mobile phase was acetonitrile spiked with 0.1% formic acid. The flow rate and injection volume were 0.4 mL / min and 10.0 μ L, respectively. Electrospray ionisation (ESI) with positive ionisation mode was found to be suitable and optimised as follows: cone voltage between 3 and 40V, capillary at 3KV and extractor 3V, source block temperature 120 °C, desolvation line 500 °C, nitrogen was used as the nebulising gas at 1000 litres/hour. The output signals were monitored and processed using Empower 3 software.

Contents

- 1. Structures and Chemical Data for Compounds [1]-[9]
- 2. Compound [1] = [2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl methanesulfonate]
- 2.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound 1
- 2.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [1]
- 2.3. ${}^{13}C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, DMSO-d_6 (ppm)] of Compound [1]$
- 3. Compound [2] = [1-(2-azidoethyl)-2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazole]
- 3.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound 2
- 3.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [2]
- 3.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [2]
- 4. Compound [3] = [4-allyl-2-methoxy-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)benzene]
- 4.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [3]
- 4.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [3]
- 4.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [3]
- 5. Compound [4] = [2-methoxy-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)-4-propylbenzene]
- 5.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [4]
- 5.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [4]

- 5.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [4]
- 6. Compound [5] = [1-methoxy-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)benzene]
- 6.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [5]
- 6.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [5]
- 6.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [5]
- 7. Compound [6] = [(1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol]
- 7.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [6]
- 7.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of Compound [6]
- 7.3. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, DMSO- d_6 (ppm)] of Compound [6]
- 7.4. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [6]
- 8. Compound [7] = [4-((2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole]
- 8.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [7]
- 8.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of Compound [7]
- 8.3. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [7]
- 8.4. ¹H NMR 2D HSQC Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] (complete) Spectrum of Compound [7]
- 8.5. ¹H NMR 2D HSQC Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] (expanded) Spectrum of Compound [7]
- 8.6. ¹H NMR 2D HMQC Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] (complete) Spectrum of Compound [7]
- 8.7. ¹H NMR 2D HMQC Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] (expanded) Spectrum of Compound [7]
- 8.8. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [7]
- $9. Compound \ [8] = [4-((4-allyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole]$
- 9.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [8]
- 9.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of Compound [8]
- 9.3. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [8]
- 9.4. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [8]
- 10. Compound [9] = [4-((2-methoxy-4-propylphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] = [4-((2-methoxy-4-propylphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] = [4-((2-methoxy-4-propylphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] = [4-((2-methoxy-4-propylphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] = [4-((2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] = [4-((2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl] = [4-((2-methyl-1H-imidazol-1+yl)ethyl] = [4-
- 10.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [9]
- 10.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of com Compound [9]
- 10.3. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [9]
- 10.4. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [9]

1. Structures and Chemical Data for Compounds [1]-[9]



$\begin{bmatrix} 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{O}_2 \mathbf{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{O}_2 \mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{CH}_3$	$[7] \qquad N \qquad O_2 N \qquad N \qquad CH_3$	$[8] \qquad N \qquad O \qquad O$	$[9] \qquad N \qquad O_2 N \qquad N \qquad O_2 N \qquad N \qquad CH_3$	
Chemical Formula : C ₉ H ₁₂ N ₆ O ₃	Chemical Formula : C ₁₆ H ₁₈ N ₆ O ₄	Chemical Formula : C ₁₉ H ₂₂ N ₆ O ₄	Chemical Formula : C ₁₉ H ₂₄ N ₆ O ₄	
Exact Mass: 252.10	Exact Mass: 358.14	Exact Mass: 398.17	Exact Mass: 400.19	
Molecular Weight: 252.23	Molecular Weight: 358.36	Molecular Weight: 398.42	Molecular Weight: 400.44	
Predicted m/z : 252.10 (100.0%),	Predicted m/z : 358.14 (100.0%),	Predicted m/z : 398.17 (100.0%),	Predicted m/z : 400.19 (100.0%),	
253.10 (9.7%), 253.09 (2.2%) 359.14 (17.3%), 359.14 (2.2		399.17 (20.5%), 399.17 (2.2%),	401.19 (20.5%), 401.18 (2.2%),	
Calculated Elemental Analysis:	360.15 (1.4%)	400.18 (2.0%)	402.19 (2.0%)	
C:42.86, H:4.80, N:33.32,	42.86, H:4.80, N:33.32, Calculated Elemental Analysis:		Calculated Elemental Analysis:	
C:53.63, H:5.06, N:23.45,		C:57.28, H:5.57, N:21.09,	C:56.99, H:6.04, N:20.99,	
	O:17.86	O:16.06	O:15.98	

Note: the data presented in this table was calculated using the Analysis tool within the ChemBioDraw[®] Program, by CambridgeSoft Corp.

2. Compound [1] = [2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl methanesulfonate]

2.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [1]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3058 (C4-H), 2805 (C6,7,8-H), 1351 (S=O), 1118 (S=O), 1285 (N=O), 1108 (N=O), 1055 (C-O).



2.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [1]

Assigned signals (ppm): 8.07 [s, 1H, H4], 4.65 [t, ${}^{3}J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H, H8], 4.55 [t, ${}^{3}J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H, H7], 3.15 [s, 3H, -SO₂C<u>H</u>₃], 2.46 [s, 3H, H6].



2.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [1]

Assigned signals (ppm): 152.18 [C1], 138.89 [C5], 133.51 [C4], 68.95 [C8], 45.54 [C7], 37.14 [-SO₂CH₃], 13.46 [C6].



3. Compound [2] = [1-(2-azidoethyl)-2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazole]

3.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [2]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3055 (C4-H), 2811 (C6,7,8-H), 2108 (N=N=N), 1285 (N=O), 1108 (N=O), 1051 (C-O).



3.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [2]

Assigned signals (ppm): 8.02 [s, 1H, H4], 4.44 [t, ${}^{3}J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H, H8], 3.73 [t, ${}^{3}J = 6.4$ Hz 2H, H7], 2.44 [s, 3H, H6].



3.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [2]

Assigned signals (ppm): 151.99 [C2], 138.79 [C5], 133.60 [C4], 50.47 [C8], 45.39 [C7], 14.43 [C6].



4. Compound [3] = [4-allyl-2-methoxy-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)benzene]

4.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [3]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3311 (C10-H), 3010 (CAr-H), 2105 (C=C), 1504 (C=C), 1035 (C-O).



4.2. ¹*H* NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [3]

Assigned signals (ppm): 6.95 [m, 4H, H3'-6'], 4.77 [s, 2H, H8'], 3.87 [s, 3H, H7'], 2.51 [s, 1H, H10].



4.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [3]

Assigned signals (ppm): 149.7 [C1'], 146.7 [C2'], 122.3 [C4'], 120.7 [C5'], 114.4 [C6'], 111.8 [C3'], 78.5 [C9], 75.7 [C10], 56.6 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'].



5. Compound [4] = [2-methoxy-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)-4-propylbenzene]

5.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [4]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3308 (C10-H), 3005 (CAr-H), 2111 (C=C), 1511 (C=C), 1045 (C-O).



5.2. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [4]

Assigned signals (ppm): 6.93 [d, ${}^{3}J = 8,1$ Hz, 1H, H6'], 6.72 [m, 2H, H3', H5'], 4.72 [s, 2H, H8'], 4.11 [s, 1H, H10], 3.65 [s, 3H, H7'], 2.51 [t, ${}^{3}J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-C<u>H</u>₂], 1.61 [s, ${}^{3}J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, -C<u>H</u>₂], 0.93 [t, ${}^{3}J = 7.6$ Hz, 3H, -C<u>H</u>₃].



5.3. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [4]

Assigned signals (ppm): 149.9 [C2'], 149.4 [C1'], 136.9 [C4'], 120.1 [C5'], 114.5 [C6'], 112.2 [C3'], 78.9 [C9], 75.5 [C10], 56.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 37.7 [Ar-<u>CH</u>₂], 29.7 [<u>C</u>H₂'], 14,2 [<u>C</u>H₃].



Compound [5] = [1-methoxy-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)benzene]

5.4. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [5]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3299 (C10-H), 3007 (CAr-H), 2115 (C=C), 1499 (C=C), 1045 (C-O).



5.5. ¹*H* NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [5]

Assigned signals (ppm): 6.97 [d, ${}^{3}J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H, H6'], 6.74 [m, 2H, H3', H5'], 5.96 [m, 1H, C<u>H</u>=CH₂], 5.08 [m, 2H, CH=C<u>H</u>₂], 4.74 [d, ${}^{3}J = 2.4$ Hz, 2H, H8'], 3.86 [s, 3H, H7'], 3.34 [d, ${}^{3}J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-C<u>H</u>₂'], 2.49 [t, ${}^{3}J = 2.4$ Hz, 2H, H10].



5.6. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [5]

Assigned signals (ppm): 149.6 [C2'], 145.1 [C1'], 137.5 [<u>C</u>H=CH₂], 133.2 [C4'], 120.3 [C5'], 115.8 [CH=<u>C</u>H₂],114.5 [C6'], 112.3 [C3'], 78.8 [C9], 75.7 [C10], 56.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 39.9 [Ar-<u>C</u>H₂].



6. Compound [6] = [(1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol]

6.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [6]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3059 (C10-H), 3015 (CAr-H), 1504 (C=C), 1284 (N=O), 1118 (N=O), 1051 (C-O).



6.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of Compound [6]





6.3. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound [6]

Assigned signals (ppm): 8.04 [s, 1H, H4], 7.87 [s, 1H, H10'], 5.25 [t, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H, OH], 4.79 [t, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H, H8], 4.68 [t, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H, H7], 4.47 [d, ³*J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H, H11], 1.83 [s, 3H, H6].



6.4. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, DMSO-d₆ (ppm)] of Compound **{6**]

Assigned signals (ppm): 151.8 [C2], 149.9 [C9'], 138.9 [C5], 133.7 [C4], 124.1 [C10'], 53.3 [C8], 49.0 [C8'], 46.7 [C7], 13.3 [C6]



7. Compound [7] = [4-((2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] 7.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [7]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3055 (C10-H), 3011 (CAr-H), 1507 (C=C), 1294 (N=O), 1201 (N=O), 1032 (C-O).



7.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of Compound [7]



LC-MS (ESI) m/z calculated for $C_{16}H_{18}N_6O_4 = 358.14$, m/z + H⁺ = 359.14. Found 359.08.

7.3. ¹*H* NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [7]

Assigned signals (ppm): 7.97 [s, 1H, H4], 7.40 [s, 1H, H10'], 6.91 [m, 4H, H3'-H6'], 5.25 [s, 2H, H8'], 4.77 [s, 4H, H7, H8], 3.85 [s, 3H, H7'], 1.92 [s, 3H, H6].









7.5. ¹H NMR 2D HSQC Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] (expanded) of Compound [7]



7.6. ¹H NMR 2D HMQC Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] (complete) of Compound [7]

7.7. ¹H NMR 2D HMQC Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] (expanded) of Compound [7]



7.8. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [7]

Assigned signals (ppm): 151.3 [C2], 149.6 [C9'], 147.2 [C1'], 145.3 [C2'], 138.1 [C5], 133.8 [C4], 123.8 [C10'], 122.1 [C5'], 120.1 [C6'], 114.1 [C4'], 111.9 [C3'], 62.7 [C8'], 55.9 [C7'], 49.6 [C8], 46.4 [C7], 13.3 [C6].



8. Compound [8] = [4-((4-allyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] 8.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [8]

Assigned signals (cm⁻¹): 3033 (C10-H), 3014 (CAr-H), 1518 (C=C), 1255 (N=O), 1237 (N=O), 1035 (C-O).



8.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of Compound [8]



LC-MS (ESI) m/z calculated for $C_{19}H_{22}N_6O_4 = 398.17$, m/z + H⁺ = 399.17. Found 399.13.

8.3. ¹*H NMR Spectrum* [300 *MHz*, *CDCl*₃ (*ppm*)] of Compound [8]

Assigned signals (ppm): 7.97 [s, 1H, H4], 7.40 [s, 1H, H10'], 6.87 [d, ${}^{3}J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, H6'], 6.72 [m, H3', H5'], 5.92 [m, 1H, C<u>H</u>=CH₂], 5.22 [s, 2H, H8'], 5.07 [m, 2H, C=C<u>H₂]</u>, 4.76 [m, 4H, H7, H8], 3.85 [s, 3H, H7'], 3.31 [d, ${}^{3}J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar-C<u>H₂]</u>, 1.94, [s, 3H, H6].



8.4. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [8]

Assigned signals (ppm): 151.3 [C2], 149.5 [C9'], 145.5 [C2'], 145.41 [C1'], 137.5 [C5], 134.4 [C4'], 133.8 [C4], 133.5 [C <u>C</u>H=CH₂], 123.8 [C10'], 120.5 [C5'], 115.8 [CH=<u>C</u>H₂]], 114.5 [C6'], 112.4 [C3'], 62.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 49.6 [C8], 46.4 [C7], 39.8 [Ar-<u>C</u>H₂], 13.4 [C6].



9. Compound [9] = [4-((2-methoxy-4-propylphenoxy)methyl)-1-(2-(2-methyl-5-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole] 9.1. FTIR (ATR) Spectrum of Compound [9]

Assigned bands (cm⁻¹): 3049 (C10-H), 3010 (CAr-H), 1508 (C=C), 1285 (N=O), 1215 (N=O), 1033 (C-O).



9.2. LCMS (ESI) Spectrum of Compound [9]



LC-MS (ESI) m/z calculated for $C_{19}H_{24}N_6O_4 = 400.19$, m/z + H⁺ = 401.19. Found 401.16.

9.3. ¹H NMR Spectrum [300 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [9]

Assigned signals (ppm): 7.97 [s, 1H, H4], 7.39 [s, 1H, H10'], 6.84 [d, ${}^{3}J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H, H6'], 6.67 [m, H3', H5'], 5.21 [s, 2H, H8'], 4.75 [s, 4H, H7, H8], 3.81 [s, 3H, H7'], 2.50 [t, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H, Ar-C<u>H</u>₂], 1.95, [s, 3H, H6], 1.60 [s, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H, -C<u>H</u>₂], 0.94 [t, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H, -C<u>H</u>₃].



9.4. ¹³C NMR Spectrum [75 MHz, CDCl₃ (ppm)] of Compound [9]

Assigned signals (ppm): 149.3 [C2], 145.5 [C9'], 145.2 [C2'], 136.8 [C1'], 133.9 [C5], 133.6 [C4'], 133.5 [C4], 123.8 [C10'], 120.3 [C5'], 114.3 [C6'], 112.3 [C3'], 62.9 [C8'], 55.8 [C7'], 49.6 [C8], 46.4 [C7], 37.7 [Ar-<u>C</u>H₂], 24.7 [<u>C</u>H₂], 13.8 [<u>C</u>H₃], 13.4 [C6].

