

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Fakhreddin Jamali, University of Alberta, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Hamed Salami, ⊠ hamed.saalami@gmail.com

RECEIVED 04 June 2024 ACCEPTED 30 August 2024 PUBLISHED 12 September 2024

CITATION

Dianatkhah M, Salami H, Soltani R and Hosseini A (2024) Evaluation of the rational prescription of linezolid, the prevalence of thrombocytopenia and major drug interactions in patients with cardiovascular diseases: are there any cautions?

J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci 27:13343. doi: 10.3389/jpps.2024.13343

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Dianatkhah, Salami, Soltani and Hosseini. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Evaluation of the rational prescription of linezolid, the prevalence of thrombocytopenia and major drug interactions in patients with cardiovascular diseases: are there any cautions?

Mehrnoush Dianatkhah^{1,2}, Hamed Salami³*, Rasool Soltani⁴ and Alireza Hosseini⁵

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ²Chamran Cardiovascular Medical and Research Hospital, Isfahan, Iran, ³Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ⁴Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, ⁵Department of Cardiac Surgery, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

The present study evaluated the rational prescription of linezolid, the prevalence of thrombocytopenia, and major drug interactions in patients with cardiovascular diseases. We conducted a retrospective cross-sectional study on linezolid-treated patients at Shahid Chamran Heart Hospital in Isfahan from March 21, 2021, to March 20, 2022. Our research involved 132 patients who received linezolid. We reported 43.18% of linezolid prescriptions as irrational. Linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia is more common than previous studies, with a prevalence of 47.9%. We found a significant relationship between thrombocytopenia and the concomitant use of aspirin. The duration of treatment was identified as predicting factor for linezolidinduced thrombocytopenia. Moreover, the prevalence of interactions in the X and D categories was determined. Serotonergic and catecholamine medications were associated with 56.1% and 47.7% medication interactions, respectively. Our study found a high prevalence of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia among patients with cardiovascular diseases. Based on this study, physicians should focus more closely on prescribing linezolid to patients with cardiovascular diseases. In addition to following rational antibiotic use, this susceptible group is also at an elevated risk of side effects (thrombocytopenia) and medication interactions.

KEYWORDS

linezolid, drug interaction, thrombocytopenia, cardiovascular disease, rational prescription

Introduction

Linezolid is one of the most effective antibiotics for treating Gram-positive organisms, including vancomycin-resistant species such as vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* (VISA), and vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* (VRSA). In comparison to vancomycin, the use of new-generation antibiotics such as linezolid is increasing due to their advantages, such as high bioavailability for oral administration, fewer allergic reactions, and better tissue penetration. However, linezolid has disadvantages, including thrombocytopenia, a high potential for interaction with catecholamines and serotonergic medications, and a high cost [1–3].

Patients with cardiovascular diseases may be at higher risk for linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia due to the use of anti-platelet and/or anti-coagulant medications [4]. Moreover, these patients may be more susceptible to complications caused by linezolid interactions with adrenergic and/or serotonergic medications, such as hypertension crises, arrhythmias, or heart failure exacerbations [5]. Based on the literature review, no comprehensive investigation has evaluated the prevalence of thrombocytopenia caused by linezolid and its safety in patients with cardiovascular diseases.

This study aims to address the following research questions: (i) What is the prevalence of the rational use of linezolid in people with cardiovascular diseases? (ii) What is the prevalence of significant drug interactions in this patient population? (iii) How prevalent is linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia in patients with cardiovascular diseases?

Materials and methods

Participants

We conducted a retrospective cross-sectional study including all the linezolid prescriptions in adult patients (aged >18 years) over a 1-year period at Chamran Heart Hospital in Isfahan from March 21, 2021, to March 20, 2022.

Data collection

The researchers developed a comprehensive checklist to collect data, which included the following elements: demographics, site and type of infection, microbiological data with related antibiogram, details on linezolid use (start and stop dates, duration of treatment, dose, and route of administration), laboratory data (including serum creatinine, baseline and daily platelet counts), request for consultation with an infectious disease specialist, simultaneous medications, occurrence of thrombocytopenia, and rationality of the prescription according to international guidelines.

Evaluation of the appropriateness of linezolid prescriptions

Based on international guidelines, including those from IDSA and ESC, two infectious disease specialists independently analyzed the rationality of each prescription. There were four possible reasons for an irrational prescription: no indication for any antibiotic, combinations with a similar spectrum of activity, narrow-spectrum antibiotics possible, and pathogens insensitive to antibiotics. In some cases, linezolid was the only option, while in others, vancomycin was available. Finally, we provided percentage terms for the evaluation of the irrational use of linezolid [6–8].

Evaluation of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia

All patients who were treated with linezolid for a minimum duration of 3 days were included in the study. Patients with the following conditions were excluded: patients with a baseline platelet count less than 100×10^{9} cells/L prior to therapy, known to have haemato-oncologic diseases or myelosuppression, who had received bone marrow-suppressing medication within 2 weeks before the study, presence of other diagnoses rather than linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia such as diffuse intravascular disorders (DIC), sepsis, and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) with a HIT score above 3. Linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia was defined as a decrease in the patient's platelet count to less than 100×10^{9} cells/L or a reduction of more than 25% from their baseline value [9, 10].

To evaluate the relationship between kidney function and the incidence of thrombocytopenia, we divided the patients into three groups according to their creatinine clearance rates (CrCl \geq 60 mL/min, 30 mL/min \leq CrCl <60 mL/min, CrCl <30 mL/min) and compared the prevalence of thrombocytopenia of each group.

Evaluation of the prevalence of drug interactions

This part of the study included all patients who received linezolid treatment for a minimum of 24 h. Prescriptions with at least two medications, including linezolid were selected for detecting potential drug-drug interactions (DDI) using the UpToDate drug reference database. This database provides information on the mechanism, clinical outcome, and management of possible DDI. The severity of DDI is classified as "(X) avoid combination," "(D) consider therapy modification,"

Variables	N (%) or Mean ± SD
Sex	
Male	91 (68.9)
Female	41 (31.1)
Culture request	
No	45 (34.1)
Yes	87 (65.9)
Rout of administration	
РО	55 (41.7)
IV	77 (58.3)
Duration of treatment	6.67 ± 5.78
CrCl (mL/min)	48.61 ± 26.43
Baseline platelet count (×10 ⁹ cells/L)	223.6 ± 107.0
Time to thrombocytopenia (days)	6.25 ± 2.93

TABLE 1 Demographic	and	clinical	characteristics	of	the included
patients.					

"(C) monitor therapy," "(B) no action is needed." Besides, this reference has classified DDIs based on the clinical severity of the interaction (major, moderate, or minor) and reliability (good and fair). Since potential DDIs in the X and D categories cover most potential DDIs with clinical relevance, we included only these two categories in our analysis [11].

Statistical analyses

We conducted data analysis using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 26.0 software for Windows (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp., United States). Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were employed to assess the normal distribution of continuous variables. We presented the results as mean, standard deviation (SD), median (min-max) for continuous variables, and numbers (percentages) for categorical variables. Furthermore, a chi-square test was used to assess the possible relationship between thrombocytopenia and medications. Logistic regression was used to determine the relationship between thrombocytopenia, age, creatinine clearance (CrCl), baseline platelet counts, and duration of treatment. The possible relationship between basic platelet level and nadir platelet count was assessed by linear regression. The significance level for all tests was set at $\alpha < 0.05$.

Results

At Chamran Heart Hospital, 132 patients received linezolid prescriptions during the study period. The demographic characterization of patients including age, gender, route of administration and baseline creatinine clearance are shown in Table 1. The mean age of participants was 65.62 ± 15.82 years (range: 21–98 years), and 71.2% of patients had a CrCl of less than 60 mL/min. The mean linezolid treatment duration was 6.67 ± 5.78 days.

Appropriateness of linezolid prescriptions

A total of 132 patients receiving linezolid were included in the evaluation of the rationality of the prescription. Linezolid was most frequently prescribed by infectious specialists (n = 93, 70.5%) and nephrologists (substitution of vancomycin with linezolid in order to avoid vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity in patients with renal failure) (n = 28, 21.2%), respectively. Linezolid is most commonly used to treat pneumonia. Table 2 shows the prevalence of appropriateness of linezolid prescription in this study. 43.18% of linezolid prescriptions were reported as irrational. There are several reasons for the irrational use of linezolid; however, ignoring culture results and using this antibiotic against insensitive pathogens (such as gram-negative pathogens) was the main one. The antibiotic regimen was not deescalating in the majority of cases, as indicated by the culture results. Moreover, linezolid was the primary treatment option in 13 cases of VRE or VRSA, while vancomycin was another option in 62 cases.

Prevalence of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia

This section of the study included 73 patients out of 132 who were receiving linezolid. The following patients were excluded: 28 patients did not have a baseline platelet count, 24 patients were taking linezolid for less than 3 days, 4 patients had a diagnosis of DIC, 2 patients had an HIT score of 4 or higher, and 1 patient was taking carbamazepine concurrently. Out of 73 patients, 35 (47.9%) experienced linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia. Table 3 shows the crude and adjusted models. The results indicated that there was a significant relationship between the occurrence of thrombocytopenia and the duration of treatment (P-value <0.05). Furthermore, upon adjustment, we observed a significant association between the administration of aspirin and the occurrence of thrombocytopenia. According to Table 4, no significant relationship was found between thrombocytopenia and the use of clopidogrel, warfarin, direct oral anticoagulants, enoxaparin, heparin, sex, age, baseline platelet count, and CrCl <60 mL/min.

Prevalence of drug interactions

According to UpToDate drug references, 16.7% of patients had only an X-category interaction, 20.7% had only a D-category

TABLE 2 Rational and irrational prescriptions of Linezolid.

Rational/Irrational indications N (%)	Indications	N (%)
Rational use	Based on culture (no other options available)	13 (9.84)
75 (56.81)	Other options available (mostly vancomycin)	62 (46.96)
Irrational use	No indication to any antibiotic	5 (3.87)
57 (43.18)	Combination with agents with similar spectrum of activity	3 (3.03)
	Narrower-spectrum antibiotic possible	32 (24.24)
	Insensitive pathogens	17 (12.87.4)

TABLE 3 Univariate Logistic Regression model for risk factors associated with thrombocytopenia.

	Crude Model		Adjusted Model		
Variables	Exp(B) (95%CI)	Р	Exp(B) (95%CI)	Р	
Age	1.01 (0.98–1.04)	0.58	1.02 (0.98–1.06)	0.29	
Sex (Female)	0.88 (0.33-2.35)	0.80	0.46 (0.14–1.59)	0.22	
Aspirin	2.81 (0.94-8.46)	0.064	4.38 (1.1–17.3)	0.035	
Duration of treatment (days)	1.22 (1.07-1.40)	0.002	1.27 (1.10–1.46)	<0.001	
Baseline platelet counts (×10 ⁹ cells/L)	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.93	1.00 (0.99, 1.00)	0.93	

TABLE 4 Risk factors for linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia.

Medications	Linezolid-induce thrombocytopenia (Yes) N = 35	Linezolid-induce thrombocytopenia (no) N = 38	Р
Sex (Female)	25 (65.8%)	24 (68.6%)	0.800
Aspirin	29 (82.8%)	24 (63.2%)	0.059
Clopidogrel	11 (31.4%)	15 (39.5%)	0.473
Heparin	11 (31.4%)	19 (50.0%)	0.107
Enoxaparin	4 (11.4%)	6 (15.8%)	0.588
DOAC			0.571
No	31 (88.6%)	35 (92.1%)	
Rivaroxaban	1 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	
Apixaban	3 (8.6%)	3 (8.6%)	
Warfarin	10 (28.6%)	9 (23.7%)	0.634
Age (years)	63.4 ± 15.9	61.3 ± 16.4	0.303
Baseline platelet count (×10 ⁹ cells/L)	225.9 ± 105.0	228.1 ± 120.4	0.93
CrCl (mL/min)	44.6 ± 24.4	47.7 ± 27.5	0.264
Duration (days)	10.7 ± 6.29	6.26 ± 4.01	< 0.001

Name	Risk	Severity	Reliability	N (%)		
Serotonergic						
Fentanyl	D	Major	Good	33 (25)		
Pethidine	D	Major	Good	12 (11)		
Methadone	Х	Moderate	Fair	13 (9.8)		
Acetaminophen codeine	Х	Major	Fair	16 (12.1)		
Dextromethorphan	Х	Major	Fair	5 (3.8)		
Morphine	Х	Moderate	Fair	31 (23.5)		
Sertraline	Х	Major	Good	2 (1.2)		
Citalopram	Х	Major	Good	4 (3)		
Escitalopram	Х	Major	Good	1 (0.8)		
Catecholamine						
Norepinephrine	D	Major	Fair	53 (40.2)		
Epinephrine	D	Major	Fair	11 (8.3)		
Dopamine	D	Major	Fair	13 (9.8)		
Dobutamine	D	Major	Fair	5 (3.8)		

TABLE 5 Interactions between Linezolid and serotonergic and catecholamine medications.

interaction, and 34.8% had both interactions concomitantly. The prevalence of drug interactions with serotonergic and catecholamine medications was 56.1% and 47.7%, respectively. A patient who received citalopram and linezolid concomitantly developed serotonin syndrome with fever, agitation, diaphoresis, and tremor that resolved 36 h after both agents were discontinued. The aforementioned patient was receiving 40 mg of citalopram daily, which can be considered high-dose and might justify the occurrence of serotonin syndrome in combination with linezolid. Additionally, one patient who was treated with dobutamine as an inotropic agent developed recurrent ventricular tachycardia, which was subsequently controlled by changing linezolid to vancomycin. The prevalence of interactions between linezolid and serotonergic or catecholamine medications is presented in Table 5.

Discussion

In the present study, 43.18% of prescribed cases of linezolid were found to be irrational. Dentan et al. reported this rate as 65% [1]. The majority of irrational use in our study was related to failure to comply with the antibiotic de-escalation strategy after preparation of the antibiogram results. It indicates that the

patient's microbial culture was not adequately considered during the antimicrobial regimen adjustment.

Linezolid was most frequently prescribed by infectious specialists (70.5%), followed by nephrologists (21.2%). Nephrologists substituted all of the prescribed linezolid for vancomycin to prevent vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity in kidney failure patients requesting nephrology consultations.

Vancomycin alone has a minimal risk of nephrotoxicity (without other risk factors), and recent studies consider this risk to be insignificant. Furthermore, recent review articles indicate the reversible nature of vancomycin-induced acute kidney injury. The toxicity of vancomycin is determined by its minimum concentration; therefore, proper dose adjustment of vancomycin in patients with renal insufficiency, either by adjusting the dose based on serum concentration or by regulating the dose as a whole, can minimize the potential for nephrotoxicity. For this reason, there is no contraindication for the use of vancomycin in patients with renal failure [12, 13].

There is evidence that linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia occurs in 13–30% of patients. In the present study, the prevalence of thrombocytopenia was 47.9%, which was higher compared to other studies. In a retrospective study at Belgian hospital centers conducted by Thirot et al., the prevalence of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia was reported to be 18.9%. In another study on 254 Chinese patients, the prevalence of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia was 27.2%. It is possible that the higher prevalence of thrombocytopenia in this study was due to the selection of patients with cardiovascular diseases as the study population, who are more prone to the development of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia (such as old age, antiplatelet medication use, and chronic kidney disease comorbidities) [2, 13, 14].

Among the antiplatelet and anticoagulant medications, only aspirin showed a statistically significant association with thrombocytopenia. The relationship between linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia and antiplatelet/anticoagulant use is not well studied. Choi et al. found a statistically significant correlation between aspirin and linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia [4]. In the mentioned study, the prevalence of aspirin usage in patients with and without linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia was 82.8% and 63.2%, respectively. The prevalence of linezolidinduced thrombocytopenia was higher among patients with cardiovascular diseases who had received low-dose aspirin. As a result, the prevalence of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia in our study population (patients with cardiovascular diseases) could be high, which requires closer monitoring of the risk of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia.

The relation between linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia and low CrCl has been discussed in many studies [15, 16]. Renal failure has been identified as one of the key risk factors for linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia. The morpholine ring of linezolid is mostly oxidized to form two inactive metabolites, and only 30% of the total dose may be excreted in urine as a parent

drug. Patients with renal impairment may accumulate two metabolites of linezolid. This correlation (correlation between renal failure and linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia) may result from the accumulation of the culprit metabolite of linezolid (metabolite that suppresses bone marrow cells) in patients with low CrCl [16]. However, in the present study, there was no statistically significant correlation between CrCl and linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia. It appears that our study population's higher prevalence of renal impairment (71.2% of patients had CrCl <60 mL/min) is responsible for this result. Moreover, the high prevalence of low CrCl in this study might explain the high prevalence of thrombocytopenia. In addition to considering vancomycininduced nephrotoxicity, it is also important to consider linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia, especially in patients with renal failure [13].

The duration of the treatment had a statistically significant correlation with the occurrence of linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia in our study, which is consistent with findings in other studies. According to Han et al.'s study, the duration of linezolid treatment is associated with linezolidinduced thrombocytopenia [17]. Furthermore, Thirot et al. reported a significant increase in the risk of thrombocytopenia with the use of linezolid for more than 7 days [2].

The current study found that 72.2% of patients had major drug interactions, which can lead to serious complications, especially in patients with cardiovascular disease. Linezolid is a medication with monoamine oxidase inhibitor (MAOI) properties that is able to prevent the metabolism of serotonin, catecholamines, and certain medications in the body. The lack of metabolism of norepinephrine and dopamine in these patients can lead to sympathetic storms, myocardial infarction, ventricular tachycardia, and hypertensive crises. However, its clinical importance has not been investigated. In the heart failure guidelines (AHA/ACC 2022), caution is advised for the use of inotropic and vasopressor agents in combination with MAOIs [5]. In particular, patients with cardiovascular diseases and those at risk of major drug interactions should be carefully monitored when taking linezolid.

Our study reported one case of the serotonin syndrome in a patient who received high dosages of citalopram and linezolid. There has been a report that citalopram and linezolid can cause serotonin syndrome, and citalopram is one of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) with a high prevalence of serotonin syndrome [18]. In addition, a patient who received linezolid and dobutamine developed recurrent ventricular tachycardia. Following the switch from linezolid to vancomycin, the irregular heartbeat improved unexpectedly. A hypothesis can be proposed that linezolid increases serum dobutamine concentration by inhibiting MAO. The clinical effect of linezolid and catecholamine has not been studied, and there is no report about its interaction in clinical studies. Researchers found that when dopamine was co-administered with MAOIs, the amount of dopamine required to elevate systolic blood pressure by 25 mmHg was reduced [19]. According to another study, linezolid administered in conjunction with phenylephrine increased maximum blood pressure by 24 mmHg in healthy volunteers [20]. In combination with linezolid, catecholamines should be initiated at a lower dose and patients should be monitored for an exaggerated hemodynamic response [21].

Conclusion

This study reported a higher prevalence of thrombocytopenia and potential drug interactions associated with linezolid use in patients with cardiovascular diseases. According to this study, physicians should be more cautious when prescribing linezolid for patients with cardiovascular diseases. This is not only due to the rational use of antibiotics but also due to the higher risk of adverse effects and drug interactions in this vulnerable population.

Limitations

The retrospective nature of the study limited the ability to comprehensively evaluate certain clinical conditions, such as serotonin syndrome prevalence. There were also limitations including the lack of data recording, such as baseline platelet and serum creatinine levels. In addition, some diagnoses are uncertain in some files. Another significant limitation of the current study is the small sample size, which restricts generalizability. To enhance the robustness and applicability of future studies, it is recommended that a larger sample size and a prospective approach be used.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by ethics committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Dentan C, Forestier E, Roustit M, Boisset S, Chanoine S, Epaulard O, et al. Assessment of linezolid prescriptions in three French hospitals. *Eur J Clin Microbiol* & *Infect Dis* (2017) 36:1133–41. doi:10.1007/s10096-017-2900-4

2. Thirot H, Briquet C, Frippiat F, Jacobs F, Holemans X, Henrard S, et al. Clinical use and adverse drug reactions of linezolid: a retrospective study in four Belgian hospital centers. *Antibiotics* (2021) 10(5):530. doi:10.3390/antibiotics10050530

3. Edinoff AN, Swinford CR, Odisho AS, Burroughs CR, Stark CW, Raslan WA, et al. Clinically relevant drug interactions with monoamine oxidase inhibitors. *Health Psychol Res* (2022) 10(4):39576. doi:10.52965/001c.39576

4. Choi GW, Lee JY, Chang MJ, Kim YK, Cho Y, Yu YM, et al. Risk factors for linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia in patients without haemato-oncologic diseases. *Basic & Clin Pharmacol & Toxicol* (2019) 124(2):228–34. doi:10.1111/bcpt.13123

5. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation* (2022) 145(17):e895–e1032. doi:10.1161/cir. 00000000001063

6. Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, Bongiorni MG, Casalta J-P, Del Zotti F, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: the task force for the management of infective endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur Heart J* (2015) 36(44): 3075–128. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/chv319

7. Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, Bartlett JG, Campbell GD, Dean NC, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* (2007) 44(Suppl. ment_2):S27–S72. doi:10.1086/511159

8. Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, Muscedere J, Sweeney DA, Palmer LB, et al. Management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia: 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society. *Clin Infect Dis* (2016) 63(5): e61–e111. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw353

9. Cuker A, Gimotty PA, Crowther MA, Warkentin TE. Predictive value of the 4Ts scoring system for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood* (2012) 120(20):4160–7. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-07-443051

10. Shi C, Xia J, Ye J, Xie Y, Jin W, Zhang W, et al. Effect of renal function on the risk of thrombocytopaenia in patients receiving linezolid therapy: a systematic

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank to Dr. Bashir Mosayyebi and Dr. Amir Hossein Goudarzian for their valuable assistance that significantly contributed to the revision of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

review and meta-analysis. Br J Clin Pharmacol (2022) 88(2):464–75. doi:10.1111/bcp.14965

11. Drug decision support with UpToDate lexidrug 2022. Available from: https:// www.uptodate.com/home/drugs-drug-interaction (Accessed September, 2022).

12. Davies SW, Guidry CA, Petroze RT, Hranjec T, Sawyer RG. Vancomycin and nephrotoxicity; just another myth? *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* (2013) 75(5):830–5. doi:10.1097/ta.0b013e3182a74b70

13. Lima LS, Brito ECA, Mattos K, Parisotto EB, Perdomo RT, Weber SS. A retrospective cohort study to screen linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia in adult patients hospitalized in the Midwestern Region of Brazil. *Hematol Transfus Cel Ther* (2020) 42:230–7. doi:10.1016/j.htct.2019.07.004

14. Chen C, Guo D-H, Cao X, Cai Y, Xu Y, Zhu M, et al. Risk factors for thrombocytopenia in adult Chinese patients receiving linezolid therapy. *Curr Ther Res* (2012) 73(6):195–206. doi:10.1016/j.curtheres.2012.07.002

15. Souza E, Crass RL, Felton J, Hanaya K, Pai MP. Accumulation of major linezolid metabolites in patients with renal impairment. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* (2020) 64(5). doi:10.1128/aac.00027-20

16. Morata L, De la Calle C, Gómez-Cerquera J, Manzanedo L, Casals G, Brunet M, et al. Risk factors associated with high linezolid trough plasma concentrations. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* (2016) 17(9):1183–7. doi:10.1080/14656566.2016. 1182154

17. Han X, Wang J, Zan X, Peng L, Nie X. Risk factors for linezolid-induced thrombocytopenia in adult inpatients. *Int J Clin Pharm* (2022) 44:330–8. doi:10. 1007/s11096-021-01342-y

18. Gatti M, Raschi E, De Ponti F. Serotonin syndrome by drug interactions with linezolid: clues from pharmacovigilance-pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analysis. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* (2021) 77:233–9. doi:10.1007/s00228-020-02990-1

19. Horwitz D, Goldberg L, Sjoerdsma A. Increased blood pressure responses to dopamine and norepinephrine produced by monoamine oxidase inhibitors in man. *The J Lab Clin Med* (1960) 56:747–53.

20. Hendershot PE, Antal EJ, Welshman IR, Batts DH, Hopkins NK. Linezolid: pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic evaluation of coadministration with pseudoephedrine HCl, phenylpropanolamine HCl, and dextromethorphan HBr. *The J Clin Pharmacol* (2001) 41(5):563–72. doi:10.1177/00912700122010302

21. Lovett ME, Estrada M, Sargel C, Tobias JD. Augmentation of the inotropic effects of dopamine following linezolid administration in an infant. J Intensive Care Med (2016) 31(7):490–2. doi:10.1177/0885066615627777