ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Mesenchymal stromal cells and kidney transplantation: pretransplant infusion protects from graft dysfunction while fostering immunoregulation

Norberto Perico,^{1,2}* Federica Casiraghi,^{1,2}* Eliana Gotti,¹* Martino Introna,³ Marta Todeschini,^{1,2} Regiane Aparecida Cavinato, ^{1,2} Chiara Capelli, ³ Alessandro Rambaldi, ⁴ Paola Cassis, ^{1,2} Paola Rizzo, ¹ Monica Cortinovis,^{1,2} Marina Noris^{1,2} and Giuseppe Remuzzi^{1,2}

1 Department of Immunology and Transplantation, Azienda Ospedaliera – IRCCS – Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri", Bergamo, Italy

2 Transplant Research Center,"Chiara Cucchi de Alessandri e Gilberto Crespi" Ranica, Bergamo, Italy

Summary

3 Laboratory of Cell Therapy "G. Lanzani", Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy

4 Department of Hematology, Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy

Keywords

immunomodulation, living-related kidney transplantation, mesenchymal stromal cells, pretransplant cell infusion.

Correspondence

Giuseppe Remuzzi MD, FRCP, IRCCS – Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri", Centro Anna Maria Astori, Science and Technology Park, Kilometro Rosso, Via Stezzano 87, 24126 Bergamo, Italy. Tel.: +39 0354213404; fax: +39 035319331; e-mail: giuseppe.remuzzi@marionegri.it

Conflicts of interest

There is no conflict of interest for any of the authors of the manuscript.

*These authors contributed equally to this study.

Received: 17 December 2012 Revision requested: 28 January 2013 Accepted: 13 May 2013 Published online: 6 June 2013

doi:10.1111/tri.12132

Introduction

Notwithstanding promising preclinical [1–11] and early clinical [12] results with bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC), moving the concept of MSCbased therapy forward towards clinical application in solid organ transplantation should be critically assessed. There are few protocols of MSC-based therapy in organ trans-

Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC) have emerged as useful cell population for immunomodulation therapy in transplantation. Moving this concept towards clinical application, however, should be critically assessed by a tailor-made step-wise approach. Here, we report results of the second step of the multistep MSC-based clinical protocol in kidney transplantation. We examined in two living-related kidney transplant recipients whether: (i) pre-transplant (DAY-1) infusion of autologous MSC protected from the development of acute graft dysfunction previously reported in patients given MSC post-transplant, (ii) avoiding basiliximab in the induction regimen improved the MSC-induced Treg expansion previously reported with therapy including this anti-CD25-antibody. In patient 3, MSC treatment was uneventful and graft function remained normal during 1 year follow-up. In patient 4, acute cellular rejection occurred 2 weeks post-transplant. Both patients had excellent graft function at the last observation. Circulating memory CDS^+ T cells and donor-specific CDS^+ T-cell cytolytic response were reduced in MSC-treated patients, not in transplant controls not given MSC. CD4⁺FoxP3⁺Treg expansion was comparable in MSC-treated patients with or without basiliximab induction. Thus, pre-transplant MSC no longer negatively affect kidney graft at least to the point of impairing graft function, and maintained MSC-immunomodulatory properties. Induction therapy without basiliximab does not offer any advantage on CD4⁺FoxP3⁺Treg expansion (Clinical-Trials.gov number: NCT 00752479).

> plantation [13–18]. Our clinical MSC protocol in renal transplant recipients was conceived as a tailor-made stepwise approach every few patients to look for the unexpected and ultimately identify a definite protocol that allows to create favourable conditions for tolerance while avoiding unwanted effects. This strategy has been adopted because MSC-therapy in organ transplantation is a very innovative potentially useful approach to transplant tolerance, but still

in its infancy. Indeed, while initial results appear promising, there remain many open questions as to how these cells have to be administered and how they may function to modulate host immune response in vivo in clinical transplant setting. Along this line, our protocol was focussed to characterize the safety and tolerability of peri-transplant MSC infusion and define the biological/mechanistic effects of this cell therapy. Similar to the approach to the pathophysiology of a rare condition in few patients that may contribute to the understanding of other more common disorders, intensively studying few transplant patients given MSC would possibly enlighten the path to elucidate safety issues and mechanistic immunomodulatory pathways rather than jumping altogether on large trials before fundamental questions have been addressed. Admittedly, this reflects the opinion of the authors. Still we believe that playing with cells and potent biological agents for which there is uncertainty about safety and efficacy and that may have unexpected side effects justify cautiousness. Thus, we initially started with two living-related donor kidney recipients who were given ex vivo expanded, autologous, bone marrow-derived MSC at day 7 post-transplant, after induction therapy with basiliximab/low-dose thymoglobulin [15]. MSC infusion did promote on long-term a pro-tolerogenic environment characterized by lower memory/effector $CD8⁺$ T cells, expansion of $CD4⁺$ Tregs and reduction in donor-specific $CD8⁺$ T-cell cytotoxicity, compared with control kidney transplant recipients given the same induction therapy but not MSC. However, few days after cell infusion, both MSC-treated patients developed acute renal insufficiency. Histological and immunohistochemical analysis of graft infiltrating cells did exclude an acute cellular or humoral rejection, but intragraft recruitment of neutrophils together with MSC, as well as complement-C3 deposition were observed [15]. It was hypothesized that the subclinical inflammatory environment of the graft in the few days of postsurgery could have favoured the prevalent intragraft recruitment and activation of the infused MSC promoting a pro-inflammatory milieu with eventual acute renal dysfunction (engraftment syndrome), as reported by others with combined kidney and bone marrow transplantation [19]. Therefore, to gain insight into the clinical observation in these two patients given MSC post-transplantation, we moved back to a clinically relevant murine kidney transplant model, and found that a single administration of cells before (DAY-1) but not after renal transplantation avoided the acute deterioration of graft function, while maintaining the immunomodulatory effects associated with MSC treatment, including a marked Treg expansion [8].

These experimental findings did represent a gain of knowledge to further implement our clinical protocol with the aim to create favourable conditions for MSC-promoting immunomodulation and Treg expansion, avoiding the unwanted effect of acute deterioration of graft function associated with the prevalent intragraft localization of MSC when given at day 7 post-transplantation.

Moreover, our first two MSC-treated transplant recipients were given induction therapy which included the anti-IL-2-receptor (CD25) monoclonal antibody basiliximab [15]. Recent evidence in kidney transplant patients showed that basiliximab may cause a transient loss of CD25+ FoxP3⁺ Treg cells in the circulation [20]. Together these findings led us to eliminate basiliximab from the induction regimen used in previous step 1 with the aim to possibly maximize the expansion of CD25⁺FoxP3⁺Treg cells.

Therefore, in this study (step 2) in two additional livingrelated kidney transplant recipients, we sought to: (i) look for unwanted and unexpected events when autologous bone marrow-derived MSC are administered at DAY-1 pretransplantation, (ii) evaluate the induction therapy that would maximize the MSC-induced Treg expansion and immunomodulation in the setting of pretransplant cell infusion, (iii) get insights on the mechanisms underlying the promotion in vivo of a pro-tolerogenic environment, if any, by MSC-based therapy.

Patients and methods

Patients

A 37-year-old man (patient 3) on peritoneal dialysis caused by end-stage renal disease (ESRD) secondary to IgA nephropathy received a renal transplant from his father, mismatched for two HLA haplotypes (one mismatch on HLA-A and one on HLA-DR) (Table 1).

Table 1. Baseline patients' characteristics.

| | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Control group 1* RATG alone | Control group 2^* Bas/RATG |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Age | 37 | 34 | 56 ± 9 | $42 + 15$ |
| Gender (M/F) | М | М | 5/1 | 4/2 |
| HLA mismatches median (range) | \mathcal{P} | 3 | $4(3-5)$ | $2(0-4)$ |
| Cross-match | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| Anti-donor HLA Abs | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |

*Control group 1: kidney transplant recipients given induction therapy with RATG alone and not given MSC ($n = 6$). Control group 2: kidney transplant recipients given Bas/RATG induction therapy but not MSC $(n = 6)$

Data are mean \pm SD. HLA mismatches range was 0–3 for living-donors $(n = 3)$ and 3–4 for deceased donor $(n = 3)$.

RATG, rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin; Bas, basiliximab.

A consecutive 34-year-old man (patient 4) on ESRD secondary to medullary sponge disease received a preemptive renal transplant from his mother, mismatched for three HLA haplotypes (one on HLA-A, HLA-B and HLA-DR, respectively) (Table 1). Although negative for antidonor HLA-antibodies, he was positive for nondonor-specific anti-HLA DR4 antibodies.

Four to six months before transplantation both of them underwent right posterior superior iliac crest aspiration under local anaesthesia. MSC were isolated and ex vivo expanded according to Good-Manufacturing-Practice procedures (Cell Therapy Laboratory "G Lanzani", Ospedali Riuniti di Bergamo, authorization no. aM-189/2008 Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco, AIFA [21,22]). The day before kidney transplantation (DAY-1), autologous MSC were administered intravenously $(2.0 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/kg}$ body weight) after premedication with chlorphenamine and hydrocortisone. Immunophenotyping of peripheral blood T-cell subsets and monitoring of T-lymphocyte function were performed before and up to day 360 and 180 posttransplant, in patients 3 and 4, respectively. Written informed consent was obtained from recipients and living donors. All treatment protocols were approved by the Istituto Superiore di Sanita (ISS, Rome, Italy, authorization no. 45253(06)-PRE.21-882) and by the Institutional Review Board of the Ospedali Riuniti di Bergamo (authorization no. 352, March 18, 2008).

The patients received induction therapy with low-dose rabbit anti-thymocyte globulin (RATG) infusion (thymoglobulin, 0.5 mg/kg daily starting immediately pretransplantation up to day 6 post-transplant). Maintenance immunosuppression was with cyclosporine A (CsA, target trough blood levels of 300–400 ng/ml up to day 7 postsurgery, and 100–150 ng/ml at month 5 post-transplantation), mycophenolate mofetil (plasma trough mycophenolic acid levels $[23]$ of 0.5–1.5 μ g/ml), and steroids. Five hundred milligrams of methylprednisolone were administered before the first RATG infusion and continued for 2 more days post-transplantation (250 and 125 mg, respectively). Thereafter, oral prednisone (75 mg) was administered, which was progressively tapered and discontinued after day 7 postsurgery. As controls, historical kidney transplant recipients with a deceased donor ($n = 6$, Table 1) given induction therapy with low-dose RATG and the same maintenance immunosuppression were also considered. From these patients, PBMC samples taken before and at days 180 and 360 post-transplant were available. Donor cells for functional studies were, however, available only from one patient. Thus, as additional controls, six patients receiving a living-related $(n = 3)$ or deceased kidney $(n = 3)$ with comparable HLA mismatches (Table 1), but not MSC from whom donor cells were available were studied. They were given basiliximab and low-dose RATG and

© 2013 Steunstichting ESOT. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd 26 (2013) 867–878 869

the same maintenance immunosuppressive regimen of MSC-treated patients.

This induction therapy has been introduced in our clinical practice in kidney transplantation since 2005 to minimize side effects associated with the standard dose of RATG [24]. Moreover, to avoid the risk of insufficient anti-rejection activity, we integrated this regimen of very low dose of RATG (approximately half the currently recommended doses for induction and one-third to onefourth of doses administered in the large majority of previous reports [25–27]) with basiliximab (20 mg day i.v. at day 0 and day 4 post-transplantation) with the rationale of inhibiting those lymphocytes eventually surviving low-dose RATG exposure. The dual induction regimen allows to achieve rapid and effective lymphocyte depletion while simultaneously allowing safe minimization of maintenance immunosuppression, especially when low dose RATG is started before patient referral to the surgical room [28]. With this perioperative minimal induction therapy, the rate of acute graft rejection was very low (4%) [28]. This is in line with recent findings with a similar regimen of dual antibody induction therapy with ATG and daclizumab [29].

MSC isolation and expansion

Mesenchymal stromal cells were processed and cultured as previously reported [21,22]. Cells were classified as MSC based on their ability to differentiate into bone, fat and cartilage, and by flow cytometric analysis (positive for CD44, CD29, CD73, HLA-ABC, CD90, and CD105, but negative for CD14, CD34, CD45 and HLA-DR) responding to defined criteria for MSC stated by the International Society of Cell Therapy [30]. The final product was characterized with respect to viability, purity and therapeutic potential. Detailed methods for MSC isolation and expansion and characterization are given in Data S1.

Immunophenotyping of peripheral blood lymphocytes

Blood mononuclear cells were stained with fluorochrome conjugated monoclonal antibodies against CD3, CD4, CD8, CD45RO, CD45RA, CD25 (clone MA251, which binds to a CD25 epitope different from that recognized by basiliximab), CD127 and FoxP3. Multicolour flow cytometry was used to identify T-cell subsets with standard techniques and equipment (FACSAria – BD Bioscience) [31].

Ex vivo functional immunological assays

Transplant patients were monitored before and every 6 months post-transplantation for alloimmune response

against donor and third-party antigens by cell-mediated lympholysis [15].

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Detailed methods for histological and immunohistochemical analysis are given in Data S1.

Statistical analyses

Variations in peripheral blood $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell counts, percentage and counts of T-cell subpopulations and CD8⁺ T-cell-mediated lysis from control kidney transplant recipients not given MSC as well as variation in peripheral T-cell counts and percentages between the two control groups were assessed by ANOVA. The statistical significance level was defined as $P < 0.05$.

Results

Clinical course

In patient 3, pretransplant infusion of MSC was uneventful. After kidney transplantation, renal function rapidly improved and normalized within 3 days (Fig. 1a). Thereafter, the graft function remained stable up to day 360 posttransplantation. At this time point, a 'protocol' biopsy showed no signs of acute rejection (Fig. 1b). The patient is in good health with stable graft function at the last available evaluation (day 540 post-Tx: serum creatinine 1.0 mg/dl; proteinuria 0.14 g/24 h).

Patient 4 also received pretransplant MSC infusion with no side effects. Renal function rapidly improved and normalized on day 3 post-transplant (serum creatinine 1.3 mg/dl). From day 14 onwards, a rapid progressive increase in serum creatinine was observed up to 2.3 mg/dl (Fig. 1c). Renal ultrasound showed normal structure and resistivity index (IR: 0.58). CsA trough levels were in the therapeutic range. A moderate increase in temperature (38 °C) was documented. Thoracic X-ray, viral blood tests and urine culture were negative. On day 17 post-transplant, a kidney biopsy was performed which showed moderate– severe acute cellular rejection (Fig. 1d). Intravenous pulses of methylprednisolone were started. After tapering, the corticosteroid was maintained at the daily dose of 8 mg. Renal function progressively improved and serum creatinine returned to normal value within 10 days (serum creatinine 1.3 mg/dl). At 12 months post-transplant, the patient was in good health with stable graft function (serum creatinine 1.25 mg/dl; proteinuria 0.11 g/24 h).

In the control groups not receiving MSC, at 180 posttransplantation serum creatinine levels were 1.58 ± 0.28 and 1.47 ± 0.40 mg/dl and proteinuria values were 0.27 ± 0.12 and 0.26 ± 0.16 g/24 h in patients given lowdose RATG or the combination of Bas/low-dose RATG, respectively. At 360 post-transplantation, serum creatinine levels were 1.45 ± 0.31 and 1.54 ± 0.34 mg/dl and proteinuria values were 0.26 ± 0.17 and 0.18 ± 0.19 g/ 24 h, respectively. No acute rejection episodes occurred in these control patients during the 1-year follow-up.

Histology and immunohistochemistry

The 1-year protocol biopsy from patient 3 showed very mild signs of CsA chronic nephrotoxicity including interstitial fibrosis, thickness of vessel wall as well as focal atrophic lesions of the tubular epithelium (Fig. 1b). Graft infiltrating CD44/CD105-double positive cells, considered as bona-fide MSC [15] were negligible (Fig. 1e). Early posttransplant biopsy of patient 4 showed moderate-interstitial fibroedema and severe lymphocyte infiltrate of perivascular interstitium and tubular epithelium, consistent with acute cellular rejection (Fig. 1d). Staining for C4d was negative. Intragraft CD4⁺, CD8⁺ T cells and CD20⁺ B cells were lower than in a control group of patients with acute cellular rejection, but higher than in transplant recipients without graft rejection (Fig. 1f). The number of granulocytes in the graft was negligible and comparable to control graft biopsies with acute cellular rejection (Fig. 1f). MSC were negligible in the graft of patient 4 (Fig. 1e).

Immunophenotyping of peripheral blood lymphocytes

In patients 3 and 4, and in control kidney transplant recipients, RATG induced profound CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell depletion in the peripheral blood (Fig. 2).

In patient 3, percentage and counts of memory/effector CD8⁺CD45RO⁺RA⁻ T cells markedly decreased within day 7 post-transplant and remained lower than pretransplant values thereafter (Fig. 3a and b). In patient 4, the percentage of CD8⁺CD45RO⁺RA⁻ T cells was reduced at day 7 post-transplant as compared with pretransplant values, remained stable thereafter up to day 180 with the exception of a transient increase at day 14 and further decreased at day 360 (Fig. 3a). $CD8+CD45RO+RA$ ⁻ T-cell counts during the whole post-transplant period were lower than pretransplant values (Fig. 3b). Conversely, in control patients given induction therapy with low-dose RATG alone, percentages and counts of CD8⁺CD45RO⁺RA⁻ T cells significantly increased at days 180 and 360 post-transplant as compared with pretransplant values (Fig. 3a and b). In control patients given Bas/low-dose RATG, the percentages and counts of CD8⁺CD45RO⁺RA⁻ T cells up to day 360 post-transplant was comparable with pretransplant values (Fig. 3a and b).

The percentage of CD4⁺CD25^{high}FoxP3⁺CD127⁻ regulatory T cells (Treg) was mildly reduced in patient 3,

Figure 1 Post-transplant course of graft function and histologic and immunohistochemic analysis of kidney graft biopsies from patients given pretransplant MSC infusion. (a) Profile of serum creatinine levels during the 1 year follow-up and (b) a representative image of Gomori's trichrome staining on protocol kidney graft biopsy (original magnification 200x) of patient 3 are shown. (c) Profile of serum creatinine levels during the 6 months follow-up and (d) a representative image of H&E staining on kidney graft biopsy taken at day 17 post-transplant (original magnification 200x) of patient 4 are shown. Measured GFR was 62.5 ml/min/1.73 m² at day 540 post-transplant in patient 3 and 51.06 ml/min/1.73 m² at 6 months posttransplant in patient 4. Panel (e) reports intragraft CD105 and CD44 double positive cell counts in patients 3 and 4 and in sections of normal renal tissue from patients undergoing nephrectomy for renal carcinoma. The total number of double-positive cells counted in 3 mm² (corresponding to the area of about 30 high-power fields) is reported. Panel (f) reports counts of intragraft cell infiltrate and score of C3 complement deposition in patients 3 and 4. As controls, renal biopsies from patients with acute graft rejection ($n = 3$) within 15–100 days postoperatively, and patients ($n = 3$) undergoing per-protocol biopsy at 1 year post-transplant were analyzed in parallel (means \pm SD). For both immunofluorescence and immunoperoxidase analyses the number of positive cells were counted in at least 20–30 high power fields. Complement deposition was scored for intensity (absent, faint, moderate, intense: 0–3) in at least 20–30 high power fields. MSC, mesenchymal stromal cells.

Figure 2 Profile of repopulating CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell counts. Absolute number of CD4⁺ (a) and CD8⁺ (b) T cells in peripheral blood of patient 3 (open diamonds), patient 3 (black diamonds) and control patients given RATG alone (grey histograms) or Bas/RATG (white histograms) not given MSC from baseline to 360 days post-transplant. Data are mean \pm SE. *P < 0.05 versus pretransplant. At days 180 and 360 post-transplant, CD4+ T-cell counts remained lower than post-transplant values, whereas CD8⁺ T cells approached pretransplant values both in patients given MSC and in the control groups not receiving the cell therapy.

remained unchanged in patient 4 till 180 days post-transplant and decrease at day 360 as compared with pretransplant value during the respective observation period (Fig. 3c). In both patients, Treg counts in the post-transplant period were lower than pretransplant except a marked increase in patient 4 at day 14 (Fig. 3d). In control patients given low-dose RATG alone, the percentage of Tregs at days 180 and 360 post-transplantation was comparable with pre-transplant value (Fig. 3c), whereas Treg cell numbers were significantly reduced (Fig. 3d). In the additional control group given Bas/low-dose RATG, a transient decrease in the percentage and counts of Treg up to day 30 post-transplant was documented, with complete recovery to pretransplant value thereafter (Fig. 3c and d). Thus, the ratio of percentage of Treg/memory-effector CD8+ T cells was higher in patient 3, but not in patient 4 given MSC than in control recipients (Fig. 3e), whereas higher ratio of cell number of Treg/memory-effector CD8⁺ T cells was found in both patients (Fig. 3f).

Effect of current induction regimen without basiliximab on $FoxP3$ ⁺ T-cell profile

We compared the peripheral blood profile of CD4⁺CD25^{high}FoxP3⁺CD127⁻ Treg in patients 3 and 4 given MSC and the induction therapy that avoids basiliximab with that in our previous MSC-treated patients 1 and 2 who received both basiliximab and low-dose RATG as induction regimen [15]. Patient 3 but not 4 showed an initial decline in CD4⁺CD25^{high}FoxP3⁺CD127⁻ Treg, less marked then that found in patients 1 and 2 (Fig. 4a). However, in all patients the Treg count recovered to pretransplant values between days 30 and 180 after transplantation and remained unchanged thereafter.

As basiliximab has been shown to down-regulate the expression of CD25 on Treg in vivo in renal transplant

patients [32], we also evaluated percentages of total FoxP3 expressing $CD4^+$ T cells in MSC-treated patients. Total FoxP3 expressing $CD4^+$ T cells underwent a significant expansion during the first 30 days post-transplant in all MSC patients but one (patient 1). At days 180 and 360, the level of CD4⁺ Foxp3⁺ Treg was similar among MSC-treated and control patient group (Fig. 4b).

Ex vivo immunologic functional assay

In patient 3, the cytolytic function of $CD8⁺$ T cells was completely abrogated in response to donor antigens and reduced against third-party antigens (Fig. 5). In patient 4, the CD8+ T-cell-mediated lympholysis against donor and third-party cells was completely abrogated at day 180 posttransplant. At day 360, the anti-donor $CD8⁺$ T-cell-mediated lympholysis still remained undetectable whereas antithird party response recovered to pretransplant values. In the patient given induction therapy with low-dose RATG alone, the anti-donor and anti-third party cytolytic response at days 180 and 360 post-transplant were similar to pretransplant levels, with a marked increase in the antithird party response at day 180 (Fig. 5). In the control group of patients given Bas/low-dose RATG, the $CD8⁺$ Tcell cytolytic response toward donor antigens was transiently reduced at day 180 post-transplant as compared to pretransplant values and did not significantly change in response to third-party antigens (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The main purposes of the study were to: (i) establish whether DAY-1 pretransplant infusion of autologous bone marrow-derived MSC as compared to our previous protocol of MSC treatment at day 7 post-transplant in the context of kidney transplantation protects from cell-induced

Figure 3 Profile of memory and regulatory T cells in the peripheral blood. Percentages (within total CD8⁺ T cells) (a) and cell numbers (b) of memory CD45RO⁺RA⁻ T cells and percentages (within CD4⁺CD25^{high} T cells) (c) and cell number (d) of regulatory FoxP3⁺CD127⁻ cells from patient 3 (open diamonds) and 4 (black diamonds) and from control patients given RATG alone (grey histograms) or Bas/RATG (white histograms) not given MSC from baseline to 360 days post-transplant. Panels (e) and (f) represent ratios of either percentages or cell number of CD4*CD25^{high} FoxP3*CD127⁻ T cells memory CD45RO⁺RA⁻CD8⁺T cells from patient 3 (open diamonds) and 4 (black diamonds) and from control patients given RATG alone (grey histograms) or Bas/RATG (white histograms) not given MSC from baseline to 360 days post-transplant. Data are means \pm SEM. *P < 0.05 versus pre-tx; °P < 0.05 versus Bas/RATG patients.

impairment of graft function and (ii) evaluate the effect on circulating Treg of the induction regimen without basiliximab as compared with our previous induction therapy including this anti-CD25 antibody in MSC-treated patients.

Pre-transplant MSC infusion protects from posttransplant cell-induced graft dysfunction

None of the two patients developed cell-mediated impairment of graft function after pretransplant MSC infusion. In the first patient (3) the cell treatment was uneventful and graft function remained normal during the 1 year follow-up

post-transplantation. These findings translated to clinics a recent observation in a murine model of kidney transplantation that the time of MSC infusion in respect to the allograft dictates the possibility to develop early graft dysfunction as a consequence of preferential intragraft localization of infused cells [8]. Thus, in mice given MSC the day before kidney transplantation, the cells mainly localized into the spleen. None of the animals developed kidney graft dysfunction. At variance, post-transplant MSC infusion resulted in preferential homing of cells into the grafts, associated with graft dysfunction. This observation is consistent with previously published data of preferential MSC homing to the site of

Figure 4 Profile of CD4⁺CD25^{high}Foxp3⁺CD127⁻ Tregs and of total FoxP3-expressing CD4⁺ T cells in the peripheral blood of MSC-treated patients. Percentage of regulatory FoxP3⁺CD127⁻ cells within CD4⁺CD25^{high} T cells (a) and of FoxP3⁺ cells within CD4⁺ T cells (b) from patient 3 (open diamonds) and patient 3 (black diamonds) given MSC and induction therapy with low-RATG alone compared with that of our previous MSC-treated kidney transplant patients 1 and 2 who received basiliximab/low-RATG as induction therapy. Grey and white histograms are percentages of CD4+Foxp3+ T cells from control patients given RATG alone or combined Bas/RATG, respectively. Follow-up is from baseline (pre-tx) to day 360 post-transplantation.

Figure 5 CD8⁺ T-cell function by T-cell-mediated lympholysis assay. Cell-mediated lympholysis as percentage of specific lysis at 50:1 effector-target ratio against donor and third party antigens in patient 3 (open diamonds) and 4 (black diamonds) in a control patient given RATG alone (grey histograms) or patients given combined Bas/RATG (white histograms) on PBMC taken pre-transplant (pre) and at days 180 and 360 post-transplantation. Data are means \pm SEM. *P < 0.05 versus pre.

tissue damage in experimental models of stroked brains [33], tumours [34], ischemic myocardium [35], and acute renal failure [36]. Experimental evidence in rodent models of acute renal injury has shown increased production in the kidney of hyaluronic acid (HA), the ligand for CD44 molecule expressed on MSC cell surface [36,37]. Therefore, we would like to suggest that in patients 3 and 4, the infusion of MSC pretransplantation in an environment not yet hosting a kidney graft with subclinical inflammatory tissue injury, as it occurs few days post-surgery, might lead to preferential cell recruitment into lymphoid organs, because of lack of the intragraft HA chemotactic signal.

The second patient (4), given MSC the day before kidney transplantation, had acute renal dysfunction 14–17 days postsurgery, and the graft biopsy showed evidence of acute cellular rejection. Higher HLA haplotype mismatches in patient 4 than in 3 can possibly explain the occurrence of rejection in the former. Although based on findings in a single MSC-treated patient, there is also the possibility that autologous MSC may have low capacity to control host immune response in the context of high alloreactive environment. Of note, recent evidence in a large-cohort of living-related kidney transplants has shown that the use of autologous MSC alone compared with anti-IL-2-receptor antibody induction therapy resulted in lower incidence of acute rejection at 6 month post-transplant [17]. Similarly to our patient 3, but at variance to patient 4, in this largecohort of transplant recipients given MSC alone, HLA mismatching was on average lower than 3 [17]. Thus, with high HLA haplotype mismatches, adequate induction therapy including basiliximab could be of value to help the development of immunomodulatory function of MSC in the early post-transplant period, limiting the risk of acute graft rejection.

Impact on Treg profile of basiliximab-free induction therapy

The α -chain of the IL-2 receptor, known as CD25, is not solely expressed on activated/effector T cells, but also on Treg constitutively expressing the CD4⁺CD25^{high} phenotype [38]. Specific cell markers for Tregs also include the transcription factor forkhead-box-P3 (FoxP3) [39] and more recently the down-regulation of the IL-7 receptor (CD127) [39–41]. Thus, the question was raised whether avoiding the anti-CD25 antibody basiliximab in the current induction regimen would better favour the emergence of Treg in the circulation after cell-therapy than with induction therapy including basiliximab as we adopted in our previous two MSC-treated kidney transplant patients [15]. Here we found no major difference in the profile of circulating CD4+ CD25highFoxP3+ CD127 or CD4+ FoxP3+ T cells in the present two patients given pretransplant MSC under the induction therapy that avoids basiliximab compared to the previous two patients receiving post-transplant MSC in the setting of combined basiliximab/low-RATG induction regimen [15]. This is in line with recent observation in liver transplant recipients that in vivo CD25 blockade with basiliximab did not lead to Treg changes as the proportion of FoxP3+ cells among CD4⁺ T cells and the level of FoxP3 expression were unaffected [42]. Moreover, others have shown *in vitro* that in the presence of basiliximab, CD4+ CD25highFoxP3+ cells were reduced because of the down-regulation of CD25 expression but the suppressive function of CD4⁺CD25⁻FoxP3⁺ T cells was maintained [43]. Together these findings indicate that CD25 molecule is not essential for in vivo maintenance of human Treg in the peripheral blood, and that basiliximab is unlikely to negatively influence strategies involving Treg to promote tolerance after organ transplantation as the MSC-based therapy.

In this study, we also wanted to gain insight into the in vivo effect of pretransplant MSC infusion on T-cell subsets and function after peritransplant T-cell depletion with low-dose RATG induction therapy that avoids basiliximab. We found that in both patient 3 and (albeit less markedly) in patient 4, but not in transplant recipients given low-RATG alone or combined with basiliximab and not MSC, the percentage of memory/effector $CD8⁺$ T cells within the overall CD8+ T-cell population in peripheral blood decreased post-transplantation. At the 1 year follow-up of the two patients, memory/effector $CD8⁺$ T cells remained lower than pretransplant values. These findings are reminiscent of changes in memory/effector $CDS⁺$ T-cell profile in the initial two kidney transplant recipients given MSC at day 7 post-transplantation in the context of step 1 protocol [15]. The expansion of memory T cells that escape deletion after lymphoablation represents a major barrier to transplant tolerance [44]. With the limitation of few patients studied, overall our findings indicate that, at variance with current T-cell depleting induction therapy with RATG or Alemtuzumab, autologous MSC enable to control memory/ effector $CD8⁺$ T-cell proliferation long-lasting independently of whether a pre- or post-transplant cell infusion protocol is adopted. The mechanism(s) responsible for the MSC -mediated suppression of memory $CD8⁺$ T-cell proliferation remains ill defined. A possible role of MSCproduced TGF- β [45,46], which antagonizes the effect of IL-15 – a cytokine relevant to memory $CD8⁺$ T-cell expansion $[47]$ – is proposed.

Figure 6 Clinical protocol of MSC-treated kidney transplant recipients implemented at our centre. The clinical MSC-based protocol on living-related kidney transplant recipients was conceived as a tailored-made step-wise gain of knowledge every two patients to eventually identify a definite protocol that allows to create favourable conditions for tolerance avoiding unwanted effects. Here are depicted the initial protocol (a) of post-transplant MSC infusion in patients 1 and 2 with induction therapy of basiliximab and low-RATG, the protocol (b) adopted thereafter in patient CM and DA of pretransplant MSC infusion with induction therapy of low-RATG alone. The study protocols are shown with major clinical and immunologic outcomes. The next up-dated protocol (c) to be tested in additional two patients is also reported. Bas, basiliximab.

Evidence from experimental models of solid organ transplantation suggests that the mechanisms of MSC-induced tolerance also include Tregs [4,6,8,9]. Here we found that the number of $CD4^+CD25^{\text{high}}$ Foxp3⁺CD127⁻ Treg in the peripheral blood of MSC-treated patients slowly expanded after a marked reduction because of the depleting action of the induction therapy, although the effect was only marginally higher than in control groups. Given the inconsistent effect on Treg count in the two patients receiving MSC, and the very small difference in the Treg profile as compared to controls, we advice caution to conclude for a robust impact of MSC treatment on Treg expansion, at least in peripheral blood of kidney transplant recipients. Nevertheless, MSC therapy did result in a clear increase in the ratio of Treg/memory $CD8⁺$ T-cell count, suggesting a unique skewing toward regulation of host immune response. Indeed, as previously documented in patients undergoing post-transplant MSC infusion [15], the change in the memory/effector $CDS⁺$ T-cell profile was associated with a profound and persistent reduction in donor-specific CD8+ T-cell cytolytic activity. These effects were not seen in kidney transplant recipients given low-RATG alone or combined with basiliximab induction therapy without MSC. Thus, MSC may have an additional effect beyond classical immunosuppressants of promoting inhibition of memory CD8⁺ T-cell function that persists with time.

We acknowledge the many limitations of this preliminary work in few patients, that however has helped to get more insights on some of the open questions dealing with therapeutic administration of MSC on kidney transplant patients. Our findings also highlights that the time is probably not yet ripe for large clinical trials with MSC on organ transplantation.

In summary, in the second step of the multi-step clinical protocol under consideration here we documented that: (i) pretransplant (DAY-1) infusion of MSC provides a safety advantage over the protocol of post-transplant (day 7) cell administration, in that no longer associates with cellinduced impaired graft function, while maintains MSC immunomodulatory properties; (ii) induction therapy without basiliximab does not further expand CD4⁺FoxP3⁺ Treg pool as compared to the induction therapy with basiliximab, while exposing patients to the possibility of acute rejection early post-transplant [48]. Therefore, as further implementation of knowledge, we plan as next step a clinical protocol of pretransplant infusion of autologous bone marrow-derived MSC with basiliximab/low-RATG exactly as in step 1 (Fig. 6) where no patients had acute rejection.

Authorship

NP, FC and GR: participated in all stages of the study, made interpretation of the study findings, prepared the first

draft of the report and the final manuscript. FC, MT, RAC and MC: performed immunophenotyping and functional immunological assays. MN: participated in research design and data interpretation. MI and CC: performed MSC isolation and characterization. EG, AR and GR: were in charge of patient care and monitoring. PC and PR: performed immunohistochemic analysis. GR: supervised all the study.

Funding

This study has been partially supported by grants from Fondazione ART per la Ricerca sui Trapianti (Milan, Italy) and from "Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) 5 per mille" to the AGIMM group (AIRC-Gruppo Italiano Malattie Mieloproliferative), "Associazione Italiana contro le Leucemie, Linfomi e Mieloma (AIL), Bergamo-Sezione Paolo Belli", and Piano Regionale Sangue-Lombardia.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr Mario Bontempelli for the lymphocyte subset profiling in the peripheral blood and Dr Aurelio Sonzogni and Mr Franco Marchetti for histologic processing and analysis of biopsy specimens. We deeply thank Dr Giuseppe Locatelli and Dr Giovanni Rota for performing kidney transplantation, as well as Drs Piero Ruggenenti, Maddalena Marasa and Valentina Portalupi who monitored patients before and after kidney transplantation. The authors are members of the Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Solid Organ Transplantation (MISOT) study group, www. misot.de. Regiane Aparecida Cavinato is a recipient of a fellowship from Fondazione ART. Paola Rizzo is a recipient of a fellowship from Fondazione Aiuti per la Ricerca sulle Malattie Rare (ARMR).

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article: Data S1. Materials and methods.

References

- 1. Bartholomew A, Sturgeon C, Siatskas M, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells suppress lymphocyte proliferation in vitro and prolong skin graft survival in vivo. Exp Hematol 2002; 30: 42.
- 2. Inoue S, Popp FC, Koehl GE, et al. Immunomodulatory effects of mesenchymal stem cells in a rat organ transplant model. Transplantation 2006; 81: 1589.
- 3. Zhou HP, Yi DH, Yu SQ, et al. Administration of donorderived mesenchymal stem cells can prolong the survival of rat cardiac allograft. Transplant Proc 2006; 38: 3046.
- 4. Casiraghi F, Azzollini N, Cassis P, et al. Pretransplant infusion of mesenchymal stem cells prolongs the survival of a semiallogeneic heart transplant through the generation of regulatory T cells. J Immunol 2008; 181: 3933.
- 5. Popp FC, Eggenhofer E, Renner P, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells can induce long-term acceptance of solid organ allografts in synergy with low-dose mycophenolate. Transpl Immunol 2008; 20: 55.
- 6. Ge W, Jiang J, Baroja ML, et al. Infusion of mesenchymal stem cells and rapamycin synergize to attenuate alloimmune responses and promote cardiac allograft tolerance. Am J Transplant 2009; 9: 1760.
- 7. Ge W, Jiang J, Arp J, Liu W, Garcia B, Wang H. Regulatory T-cell generation and kidney allograft tolerance induced by mesenchymal stem cells associated with indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase expression. Transplantation 2010; 90: 1312.
- 8. Casiraghi F, Azzollini N, Todeschini M, et al. Localization of mesenchymal stromal cells dictates their immune or proinflammatory effects in kidney transplantation. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 2373.
- 9. Wang Y, Zhang A, Ye Z, Xie H, Zheng S. Bone marrowderived mesenchymal stem cells inhibit acute rejection of rat liver allografts in association with regulatory T-cell expansion. Transplant Proc 2009; 41: 4352.
- 10. Ding Y, Xu D, Feng G, Bushell A, Muschel RJ, Wood KJ. Mesenchymal stem cells prevent the rejection of fully allogenic islet grafts by the immunosuppressive activity of matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9. Diabetes 2009; 58: 1797.
- 11. Berman DM, Willman MA, Han D, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells enhance allogeneic islet engraftment in nonhuman primates. Diabetes 2010; 59: 2558.
- 12. Le Blanc K, Frassoni F, Ball L, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of steroid-resistant, severe, acute graft-versushost disease: a phase II study. Lancet 2008; 371: 1579.
- 13. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT 00734396.
- 14. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT 01429038.
- 15. Perico N, Casiraghi F, Introna M, et al. Autologous mesenchymal stromal cells and kidney transplantation: a pilot study of safety and clinical feasibility. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011; 6: 412.
- 16. Popp FC, Fillenberg B, Eggenhofer E, et al. Safety and feasibility of third-party multipotent adult progenitor cells for immunomodulation therapy after liver transplantation – a phase I study (MISOT-I). J Transl Med 2011; 9: 124.
- 17. Tan J, Wu W, Xu X, et al. Induction therapy with autologous mesenchymal stem cells in living-related kidney transplants: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2012; 307: 1169.
- 18. Peng Y, Ke M, Xu L, et al. Donor-derived mesenchymal stem cells combined with low-dose tacrolimus prevent acute rejection after renal transplantation: a clinical pilot study. Transplantation 2013; 95: 161.
- 19. Farris AB, Taheri D, Kawai T, et al. Acute renal endothelial injury during marrow recovery in a cohort of combined kidney and bone marrow allografts. Am J Transplant 2011; 11: 1464.
- 20. Bluestone JA, Liu W, Yabu JM, et al. The effect of costimulatory and interleukin 2 receptor blockade on regulatory T cells in renal transplantation. Am J Transplant 2008; 8: 2086.
- 21. Capelli C, Domenghini M, Borleri G, et al. Human platelet lysate allows expansion and clinical grade production of mesenchymal stromal cells from small samples of bone marrow aspirates or marrow filter washouts. Bone Marrow Transplant 2007; 40: 785.
- 22. Capelli C, Salvade A, Pedrini O, et al. The washouts of discarded bone marrow collection bags and filters are a very abundant source of hMSCs. Cytotherapy 2009; 11: 403.
- 23. Baldelli S, Merlini S, Perico N, et al. C-440T/T-331C polymorphisms in the UGT1A9 gene affect the pharmacokinetics of mycophenolic acid in kidney transplantation. Pharmacogenomics 2007; 8: 1127.
- 24. Ruggenenti P, Codreanu I, Cravedi P, Perna A, Gotti E, Remuzzi G. Basiliximab combined with low-dose rabbit antihuman thymocyte globulin: a possible further step toward effective and minimally toxic T cell-targeted therapy in kidney transplantation. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006; 1: 546.
- 25. Brennan DC, Daller JA, Lake KD, Cibrik D, Del Castillo D. Rabbir antithymocyte globulin versus basiliximab in renal transplantation. New Engl J Med 2006; 335: 1967.
- 26. Gaber AO, First MR, Tesi RJ, et al. Results of the doubleblind, randomized, multicenter, phase III clinical trial of thymoglobulin versus Atgam in the treatment of acute graft rejection episodes after renal transplantation. Transplantation 1998; 66: 29.
- 27. Brennan DC, Flavin K, Lowell JA, et al. A randomized, double-blind comparison of thymoglobulin versus Atgam for induction immunosuppressive therapy in adult renal transplant recipients. Transplantation 1999; 67: 1011.
- 28. Gennarini A, Cravedi P, Marasa M, et al. Perioperative minimal induction therapy: a further step toward more effective immunosuppression in transplantation. J Transplant 2012; 2012: 426042.
- 29. Ciancio G, Gaynor JJ, Sageshima J, et al. Randomized trial of dual antibody induction therapy with steroid avoidance in renal transplantation. Transplantation 2011; 92: 1348.
- 30. Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, et al. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. Cytotherapy 2006; 8: 315.
- 31. Noris M, Casiraghi F, Todeschini M, et al. Regulatory T cells and T cell depletion: role of immunosuppressive drugs. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007; 18: 1007.
- 32. Wang Z, Shi BY, Qian YY, Cai M, Wang Q. Short-term anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody administration down-regulated CD25 expression without eliminating the neogenetic functional regulatory T cells in kidney transplantation. Clin Exp Immunol 2009; 155: 496.
- 33. Li Y, Chen J, Wang L, Zhang L, Lu M, Chopp M. Intracerebral transplantation of bone marrow stromal cells in a 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett 2001; 316: 67.
- 34. Spaeth E, Klopp A, Dembinski J, Andreeff M, Marini F. Inflammation and tumor microenvironments: defining the migratory itinerary of mesenchymal stem cells. Gene Ther 2008; 15: 730.
- 35. Kawada H, Fujita J, Kinjo K, et al. Nonhematopoietic mesenchymal stem cells can be mobilized and differentiate into cardiomyocytes after myocardial infarction. Blood 2004; 104: 3581.
- 36. Herrera MB, Bussolati B, Bruno S, et al. Exogenous mesenchymal stem cells localize to the kidney by means of CD44 following acute tubular injury. Kidney Int 2007; 72: 430.
- 37. Goransson V, Johnsson C, Jacobson A, Heldin P, Hallgren R, Hansell P. Renal hyaluronan accumulation and hyaluronan synthase expression after ischaemia-reperfusion injury in the rat. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2004; 19: 823.
- 38. Baecher-Allan C, Brown JA, Freeman GJ, Hafler DA. CD4+CD25 high regulatory cells in human peripheral blood. J Immunol 2001; 167: 1245.
- 39. Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY. Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol 2003; 4: 330.
- 40. Liu W, Putnam AL, Xu-Yu Z, et al. CD127 expression inversely correlates with FoxP3 and suppressive function of human CD4+ T reg cells. J Exp Med 2006; 203: 1701.
- 41. Seddiki N, Santner-Nanan B, Martinson J, et al. Expression of interleukin (IL)-2 and IL-7 receptors discriminates between human regulatory and activated T cells. J Exp Med 2006; 203: 1693.
- 42. de Goer de Herve MG, Gonzales E, Hendel-Chavez H, et al. CD25 appears non essential for human peripheral T(reg) maintenance in vivo. PLoS ONE2010; 5: e11784.
- 43. Vondran FW, Timrott K, Tross J, et al. Impact of basiliximab on regulatory T-cells early after kidney transplantation: down-regulation of CD25 by receptor modulation. Transpl Int 2010; 23: 514.
- 44. Valujskikh A, Li XC. Frontiers in nephrology: T cell memory as a barrier to transplant tolerance. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007; 18: 2252.
- 45. English K, Ryan JM, Tobin L, Murphy MJ, Barry FP, Mahon BP. Cell contact, prostaglandin E(2) and transforming growth factor beta 1 play non-redundant roles in human mesenchymal stem cell induction of CD4+CD25(High) forkhead box P3+ regulatory T cells. Clin Exp Immunol 2009; 156: 149.
- 46. Di Nicola M, Carlo-Stella C, Magni M, et al. Human bone marrow stromal cells suppress T-lymphocyte proliferation induced by cellular or nonspecific mitogenic stimuli. Blood 2002; 99: 3838.
- 47. Williams KM, Hakim FT, Gress RE. T cell immune reconstitution following lymphodepletion. Semin Immunol 2007; 19: 318.
- 48. Vincenti F, de Andres A, Becker T, et al. Interleukin-2 receptor antagonist induction in modern immunosuppression regimens for renal transplant recipients. Transpl Int 2006; 19: 446.