ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical and economic consequences of first-year urinary tract infections, sepsis, and pneumonia in contemporary kidney transplantation practice

Abhijit S. Naik,¹ Vikas R. Dharnidharka,² Mark A. Schnitzler,³ Daniel C. Brennan,⁴ Dorry L. Segev,⁵ David Axelrod,⁶ Huiling Xiao,³ Lauren Kucirka,⁵ Jiajing Chen⁷ and Krista L. Lentine³

1 Division of Nephrology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

7 Center for Outcomes Research, Saint Louis University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

Keywords

economics, infections, kidney transplantation, medicare, registries.

Correspondence

Krista L. Lentine MD, PhD, Saint Louis University, Salus Center 4th Floor, 3545 Lafayette Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63104, USA. Tel.: (314) 977 9420; fax: (314) 977 1101; e-mail: lentinek@slu.edu

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflict of interests related to this work.

Received: 7 July 2015 Revision requested: 10 August 2015 Accepted: 30 October 2015 Published online: 9 December 2015

doi:10.1111/tri.12711

Summary

We examined United States Renal Data System registry records for Medicareinsured kidney transplant recipients in 2000-2011 to study the clinical and cost impacts of urinary tract infections (UTI), pneumonia, and sepsis in the first year post-transplant among a contemporary, national cohort. Infections were identified by billing diagnostic codes. Among 60 702 recipients, 45% experienced at least one study infection in the first year post-transplant, including UTI in 32%, pneumonia in 13%, and sepsis in 12%. Older recipient age, female sex, diabetic kidney failure, nonstandard criteria organs, sirolimus-based immunosuppression, and steroids at discharge were associated with increased risk of first-year infections. By time-varying, multivariate Cox regression, all study infections predicted increased first-year mortality, ranging from 41% (aHR 1.41, 95% CI 1.25-1.56) for UTI alone, 6- to 12-fold risk for pneumonia or sepsis alone, to 34-fold risk (aHR 34.38, 95% CI 30.35-38.95) for those with all three infections. Infections also significantly increased first-year costs, from \$17 691 (standard error (SE) \$591) marginal cost increase for UTI alone, to approximately \$40 000-\$50 000 (SE \$1054-1238) for pneumonia or sepsis alone, to \$134 773 (SE \$1876) for those with UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis. Clinical and economic impacts persisted in years 2-3 post-transplant. Early infections reflect important targets for management protocols to improve posttransplant outcomes and reduce costs of care.

Introduction

Advances in the clinical management of kidney transplant recipients have yielded substantial improvements in shortterm allograft survival in recent decades, mediated in part by reduction in the incidence of acute rejection [1]. While 50–60% of renal allograft recipients in the 1980s experienced at least one acute rejection episode, the current incidence of acute rejection in the first post-transplant year is <15% [1,2]. Unfortunately, lower rates of acute rejection have not been accompanied by a substantial increase in long-term graft survival [3]. The lack of improvement in long-term survival graft has been attributed in part to complications driven by potent immunosuppression, as well as by increasing comorbidity burden among recipients at the time of transplantation [4,5].

² Division of Nephrology, Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

³ Saint Louis University Center for Transplant Research, Saint Louis University Hospital, St. Louis, MO, USA

⁴ Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

⁵ Division of Abdominal Transplantation, Department of Surgery, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁶ Division of Abdominal Transplantation, Department of Surgery, Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, Hanover, NH, USA

Although prophylactic antimicrobial medications are commonly prescribed in the first 6-12 months after kidney transplantation [6,7], infections are a common complication in the early post-transplant period [8,9]. Infections clearly contribute to post-transplant morbidity, mortality, and costs, but estimates of these impacts in contemporary, national samples are lacking. Earlier analyses of United States Renal Data System (USRDS) registry data for transplant recipients in 1994-1997 found that a diagnosis of septicemia was associated with an average 6-year reduction in subsequent patient survival [10]. Based on data from the same period, Tveit et al. [11] identified a 64% increase in subsequent mortality after pneumonia hospitalization among transplanted patients. While some single-center studies and a meta-analysis report no impact of urinary tract infections (UTI) on patient and allograft survival [12-15], UTI diagnosis was associated with a 33% increase in death among a national sample in 1996-2000, and outpatient UTI was associated with increased risk of graft loss in that cohort [16].

In addition to survival implications, infections also increase the intensity and cost of post-transplant care. UTI, respiratory tract infections, and sepsis rank among the ten most common causes of re-hospitalization in the first and second years after kidney transplantation [17]. In a prior study of recipients in 1995–2001, Medicare costs in the first year post-transplant rose \$29 787 in those who developed sepsis and \$18 107 in those with pneumonia, and an additional \$10 964 in patients who had evidence of both infections [18]. Moreover, the cost impact of sepsis and pneumonia persisted beyond the first year post-transplantation [18,19]. The cost implications of UTI have not been previously addressed.

Importantly, these studies were performed in a prior era when induction, tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and sirolimus were not widely used and characteristics of transplant recipients differed somewhat from recipients in current practice [4,5]. Given the shift to more potent immunosuppressive therapies, increased average age, and medical complexity of recipients along with changes in practice patterns, we examined the clinical and economic implications of important first-year infections in a recent, national sample of kidney transplant recipients. Using USRDS data for Medicare-insured United States (U.S.) kidney transplant recipients that integrates national transplant registry with Medicare billing claims, we sought to assess the clinical correlates of UTI/pyelonephritis, pneumonia, and sepsis in the first year after transplant, three leading infectious complications captured in Medicare claims data, and to quantify associated impacts on posttransplant patient survival, graft survival, and Medicare expenditures.

Methods

Data source, study samples, and approvals

Study data were drawn from records of the USRDS, which integrate Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) records with Medicare billing claims. The primary study sample comprised recipients of first, singleorgan kidney transplants in the USA from 2000 to 2011 with Medicare as the primary payer at time of transplantation, and enrollment in both Medicare Parts A and B [19]. The similarities and differences of patients in the USRDS with and without Medicare as their primary payer have been described previously [20]. The study was approved by the Saint Louis University and Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Boards, and by the USRDS.

Infection event definitions

Diagnoses of key infections in the first year after transplant were identified by billing claims with corresponding ICD9-CM diagnosis codes for UTI/pyelonephritis, pneumonia, and sepsis (Appendix S1). Claims from a hospitalization include diagnoses associated with all physician encounters and procedures recorded during the course of the admission, as captured in Medicare Parts A and B. We required one inpatient claim or two outpatient claims on separate dates to define serious complications, as performed in previous studies of claims data to identify complications in the kidney transplant population [18,20–22]. Patients were categorized as having a single infection type alone or combinations over the first year post-transplant.

Outcome and covariate definitions

The primary clinical outcomes of interest were time to death and time to all-cause graft loss. Mortality was defined as death from any cause. Graft failure was defined as the earliest reported date of return to maintenance dialysis or "pre-emptive" re-transplantation. Patients were censored from survival analyses at the date of their last expected follow-up or end of study data (December 31, 2013).

The primary economic measure was actual payments for all healthcare services made by Medicare. Payments were evaluated during the first year, and then in the second through third year after transplantation. The cost analysis was limited to 3 years, as Medicare transplant benefits expire at 3 years except in people age \geq 65 years or in those with certain disabilities. Patient costs were included in analysis of an interval if (i) the recorded Medicare eligibility extended continuously from the beginning to the end of the period, or if (ii) Medicare eligibility ended in an interval because of death or graft loss. Monetary figures were adjusted to the prices in the year 2011 Medical Care Component of the Consumer Price Index [23].

Baseline recipient demographic and clinical characteristics, donor traits, and transplant factors were included as reported by transplant centers to the OPTN registry (Table 1). Immunosuppression information included induction regimen and maintenance agents prescribed at transplant discharge, but doses, drug levels, and use of immunosuppression after discharge were not available.

Statistical analyses

Data management and analysis were performed with sAs for Windows software, version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Distributions of baseline traits in the full study sample were summarized as proportions. We performed multivariate logistic regression to identify independent correlates (adjusted odds ratios, aOR) of first-year infection categories.

Associations of first-year infection events with subsequent mortality and all-cause graft loss risks (adjusted hazards ratio, aHR) were estimated with time-varying, multivariate Cox regression including adjustment for recipient, donor, and transplant clinical factors captured in the OPTN registry (as listed in Table 1). Time-varying models allow estimation of the relative risks of an outcome associated with post-transplant events (infections in this case), as previously illustrated in the transplant literature [24-26]. In the case of infection categories including multiple types, risk was estimated following the last diagnosis date in the group. Based on a priori and empirical evidence of lower clinical impact of UTI/pyelonephritis, this infection was considered as part of a combination category if it preceded or was concomitant with sepsis or pneumonia. The risk of subsequent death and graft loss associated with first-year infections was partitioned as within or after the first transplant anniversary.

The marginal cost impacts of first-year infection categories on costs in year 1 and in years 2-3 after transplant were computed by ordinary least squares (OLS) regression equations as: $E(Y) = \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_k X_k$, where E (Y) = Medicare payments within a period of interest, X_k = the value of a given predictor variable, and β_k = the marginal costs associated with a 1-unit change in a given variable after adjustment for other observed factors in the model. Thus, for binary variables such as infections, the β_k parameters quantify the marginal costs associated with the infection categories, adjusted for the recipient, donor, and transplant factors. Cost period models were also adjusted for the impact of death and graft failure within the period of interest, as previously described [27,28]. Predicted costs at year 1 and years 2-3 post-transplant based on first-year infection status were computed from the resulting multivariable regression equations, with values of covariates set to the sample averages.

Results

Frequency and clinical correlates of infections in the firstyear post-transplant

Among 60 702 eligible transplant recipients, 39.5% were women, 57.6% were white race, 30.2% African American, and 12.2% other races (Table 1). Transplants were donated from standard criteria deceased donors in 50.4%, other deceased donors in 23.1%, and living donors in 26.5%. Induction immunosuppression was administered in 67.1% of transplants across the study period; 78% of recipients received steroids at discharge, and tacrolimus with MMF was the most common maintenance immunosuppression regimen (administered to 61.9% of recipients). In the first year after transplantation, 44.7% (n = 27 139) developed any study infection including the following patterns over the year: UTI alone, 24.4%; pneumonia alone, 5.7%; sepsis alone, 4.1%; UTI and pneumonia, 2.4%; UTI and sepsis, 3.1%; pneumonia and sepsis, 3.3%; and UTI, pneumonia and sepsis, 1.7%. Overall, 32%, 13% and 12% of recipients were affected by UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis, respectively. Distributions of subcategories of infections identified in the first year post-transplant are provided in Appendix S2.

Compared with younger adults, recipients aged 45-59 years had an increased likelihood of developing any study infection (Table 1). Recipients age 60 years and older had a 61% higher adjusted likelihood of any first-year infection compared with recipients aged 18-30 years. Women had twice the odds of developing any infection compared with men, driven by more than twice the odds of infection categories that included UTI alone or in combination. Obese [body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m²] transplant recipients had an increased likelihood of developing a UTI alone (OR = 1.06), sepsis with UTI (OR 1.24), and sepsis alone (OR 1.12), but lower likelihood of pneumonia (OR 0.88). Recipient chronic obstructive pulmonary disease was associated with a 31% increased risk of any first-year infection (aOR 1.31) including twice the likelihood of pneumonia alone or with sepsis, while the presence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease was associated with 18% increased likelihood of any infection. Smoking was reported infrequently, perhaps due to the common requirement for smoking cessation as a criterion for transplant candidacy, and we did not detect significant associations of smoking with infection risk. Patients with diabetic endstage renal disease (ESRD) had increased likelihood of developing any study infection, driven by increased likelihood of all categories including sepsis. Recipients of preemptive transplants had 17% lower adjusted odds of developing a first-year infection (aOR 0.83) compared with

Recipient characteristics Age (yrs) <18	ull sample V = 60 702) 6	Any Infection (<i>n</i> = 27 139) aOR	UTI alone $(n = 14 \ 817)$ aOR	Pneumonia alone (<i>n</i> = 3451) aOR	Sepsis alone (<i>n</i> = 2498) aOR	UTI & Pneumonia§ (<i>n</i> = 1456) aOR	UTI & Sepsis§ (<i>n</i> = 1884) aOR	Pneumonia & Sepsis (<i>n</i> = 1977) aOR	UTI, Pneumonia & Sepsis§ (<i>n</i> = 1056) aOR
Age (yrs) <18									
2	7 0 %	1 07		1 20*	1 20×	1 70*	0.87	1 76	VC 1
18 20	1.0%	Poference	8.50 Reference	Reference	Poference	Reference	0.0/ Reference	Poforonco	Poference
31-44	4.6%	0.95	0.92*	0.95	1.01	0.99	0.87	1.23	1.04
45-59 3(6.3%	1.10*	0.97	1.16*	1.28*	1.56†	1.03	1.69‡	1.99
≥60 2,	4.1%	1.61‡	1.28‡	1.77‡	1.66‡	2.83‡	1.60‡	2.92‡	4.17‡
Female sex	9.5%	2.05‡	2.58‡	1.09*	1.36‡	2.24‡	2.36‡	1.19*	2.00‡
Race									
White 5	7.6%	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
African American 3(0.2%	0.96*	0.99	*06.0	0.94	0.79†	0.93	0.88*	0.85*
Other 1.	2.2%	0.89 †	0.93*	0.86*	0.89	0.80*	0.86	0.83*	0.82
BMI (kg/m ²)									
>18.5	4.8%	1.12*	1.11*	1.24*	1.13	0.96	1.05	1.12	1.17
18.5–25 31	5.3%	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
25 to <30 3.	2.6%	0.95*	0.99	0.83‡	0.94	0.91	1.06	0.90	06.0
≥30 2.	7.4%	1.03	1.06*	0.88*	1.12*	1.04	1.24†	0.89	1.12
Cause of ESRD									
Diabetes 2:	3.9%	1.17‡	1.10*	1.14*	1.38‡	1.16	1.52‡	1.13	1.34*
Glomerulonephritis 18	8.4%	0.81‡	0.83‡	0.84*	0.80†	0.79*	0.78*	0.68‡	.69*0
Hypertension 2.	2.5%	0.91‡	0.96	0.91	0.92	0.84*	0.82*	0.73‡	0.83
Polycystic kidney disease	5.9%	0.79‡	0.91*	0.68‡	0.78*	0.52‡	0.85	0.57‡	0.48‡
Other 29	9.2%	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Pretransplant dialysis (mo)									
Pre-emptive	6.6%	0.83‡	0.86†	0.74*	0.80*	0.98	0.67*	0.81*	0.70
>0-24 2	1.6%	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
25–36 1.	3.5%	1.10*	1.08*	1.10	1.04	1.29*	1.14	1.12	1.32*
≥37 58	8.3%	1.20‡	1.14‡	1.18*	1.22†	1.22*	1.34‡	1.28†	1.81‡
Comorbidities									
ASCVD 1:	3.1%	1.18‡	1.09*	1.19†	1.20*	1.20*	1.18*	1.55‡	1.27*
COPD	1.1%	1.31*	1.00	2.22‡	0.96	1.64*	0.89	1.97‡	1.73*
Smoking	0.3%	1.03	0.96	0.82	0.99	1.43	1.72	1.27	1.39
Peak PRA (%)									
<10 70	0.9%	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
10–79 20	0.0%	1.07*	1.02	1.13*	1.22†	1.06	1.16*	1.13	1.12
	9.1%	1.17‡	1.01	1.29†	1.46‡	1.18	1.21*	1.78‡	1.52†

Naik et al.

% au HLA mismatches 10.6% 0.9 Zero DR 10.6% 0.9 Zero DR 16.2% 0.9 Donor type 26.5% 0.1 Living 26.5% 0.1 SCD 50.4% Re ECD 17.6% 1.1 Donor tharacteristics 5.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Rade 45.4% Re White 74.4% Re Valica 74.4% 1.0 Ade (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Ade (yrs) 45.4% 1.0	aUK 0.92* 0.95* 0.82‡ Reference 1.17‡ 1.00 1.00 1.00 Reference	aUK 0.99 0.88 0.88 0.88	aUK					- (
HLA mismatches 10.6% 0.9 Zero DR 16.2% 0.9 Zero DR 16.2% 0.9 Donor type 26.5% 0.1 Living 26.5% 0.1 SCD 50.4% Re ECD 17.6% 1.1 DCD 57.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Rade 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.1	0.92* 0.95* 0.82‡ 1.17‡ 1.06 1.00‡ 1.00‡ 1.00	0.99 0.98 0.88‡		aUr	aUK	aUK	aUR	aUR
Zero A, B, and DR 10.6% 0.9 Zero DR 16.2% 0.9 Donor type 16.2% 0.9 Living 26.5% 0.8 SCD 26.5% 0.8 Bonor type 26.5% 0.8 SCD 17.6% 1.1 Doror characteristics 5.5% 1.1 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.1 Race 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.7	0.92 * 0.95 * 0.82 ‡ 1.17 ‡ 1.06 1.00 ‡ 1.00 ‡ 1.02 1.02	0.99 0.98 0.88‡ Doference						
Zero DR 16.2% 0.9 Donor type 16.2% 0.1 Living 26.5% 0.8 SCD 50.4% Re ECD 17.6% 1.1 DCD 5.5% 1.0 DCD 5.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Race 45.4% 1.0 White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.1	0.95 * 0.82‡ Reference 1.17‡ 1.06 1.00‡ 1.02 Reference	0.98 0.88‡ Boforonco	0.88*	0.89	0.95	0.83*	0.72†	0.87
Donor type 26.5% 0.8 Living 26.5% 0.8 SCD 50.4% Re ECD 17.6% 1.1 DDDor characteristics 5.5% 1.0 Domor characteristics 5.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Race 25.4% 1.0 White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.1 Othor 1.3% 1.1	0.82‡ Reference 1.17‡ 1.06 1.00\$ 1.02 Reference	0.88‡ Boforence	0.93	0.87*	1.04	1.04	0.79	0.84
Living 26.5% 0.8 SCD 50.4% Re ECD 17.6% 1.1 DCD 5.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Female 45.4% 1.0 Race 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re Othor 11.3% 1.1	0.82‡ Reference 1.17‡ 1.06 1.00 Reference	0.88‡ Beference						
SCD 50.4% Re ECD 17.6% 1. DCD 5.5% 1.0 Donor characteristics 5.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Female 45.4% 1.0 Race 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re Othor 14.3% 1.1	Reference 1.17‡ 1.06 1.00‡ 1.02 Reference	Roference	0.75‡	0.76	0.74†	0.80*	0.59	0.76*
ECD 17.6% 1. DCD 5.5% 1.0 Donor characteristics 5.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Female 45.4% 1.0 Race 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.1	1.17‡ 1.06 1.00‡ 1.02 Reference	ווכובובורכ	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
DCD 5.5% 1.0 Donor characteristics 5.5% 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Female 45.4% 1.0 Race 74.4% 1.0 White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.1	1.06 1.00‡ 1.02 Reference	1.08*	1.24†	1.23*	1.33†	1.27*	1.09	1.43†
Donor characteristics 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Female 45.4% 1.0 Race 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re Othor 14.3% 1.1	1.00‡ 1.02 Reference	0.95	1.20*	1.22*	1.49†	1.10	1.22*	1.24
Age (yrs), mean (SD) 38.1(15.6) 1.0 Female 45.4% 1.0 Race 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.0	1.00‡ 1.02 Reference							
Female 45.4% 1.0 Race 74.4% Re White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1.0 Othor 11.3% 1.0	1.02 Reference 1.10*	1.00	1.00*	1.01‡	1.01*	1.01*	1.01#	1.01†
Race White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1. Othor 11.2% 1.0	Reference 1 10+	1.01	0.99	1.06	1.01	1.13*	0.97	0.99
White 74.4% Re African American 14.3% 1. Othor 11.2% 1.0	Reference							
African American 14.3% 1.	1 10+	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Othor 11 30 11		1.03	1.13*	1.29‡	1.15	1.10	1.36‡	1.37†
	1.08*	1.02	1.08	1.12	1.27*	1.32†	1.17	0.96
Cytomegalovirus sero-pairing								
Donor –/Recipient – 13.1% Re	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Donor –/Recipient + 21.9% 1.(1.00	0.98	1.05	0.97	1.07	1.02	1.05	0.90
Donor +/Recipient + 40.8% 1.(1.01	1.00	1.01	0.98	1.04	1.10	1.12	0.85
Donor +/Recipient – 16.5% 1.(1.08*	0.98	1.16*	1.14	1.25*	1.20*	1.30*	1.28*
Induction								
No induction 32.9% Re	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Thymoglobulin 38.2% 0.9	0.96*	1.01	0.92*	0.87*	1.00	0.87*	0.92	0.79*
IL2R-Ab 28.5% 0.9	206.0	0.92*	0.91*	0.91	0.90	0.93	0.84	0.73†
OKT3 0.4% 0.5	0.96	0.78	0.88	0.94	0.73	1.59	1.29*	1.10
Steroids at discharge 77.7% 1.7	1.18‡	1.13‡	1.16*	1.15*	1.23*	1.48‡	1.37‡	1.30*
Maintenance ISx at discharge								
Tacrolimus and MMF 61.9% Re	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Sirolimus and CNI 5.0% 1.1	1.19‡	1.06	1.43‡	1.42†	1.23	1.33*	1.54‡	1.62†
Sirolimus without CNI 5.4% 1.2	1.29‡	1.01	1.65‡	1.55‡	1.42*	1.36*	2.35‡	1.77‡
Other 27.7% 1.(1.06*	0.95*	1.23‡	1.25‡	1.00	1.13*	1.31‡	1.22*
Year of transplant								
2000–2005 59.1% Re	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
2006–2011 40.9% 1.	1.13‡	1.16‡	0.98	0.99	1.11	1.28‡	1.22†	1.36‡

Naik et al.

© 2015 Steunstichting ESOT 29 (2016) 241-252

donation after cardiac death; ECD, expanded criteria donor; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; IL2R-Ab, interleukin-2 receptor antibodies; ISx, immunosuppression; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; PRA, panel reactive antibody; SCD, standard criteria donor; UTI, urinary tract infection. *P*-values: *P = 0.02–0.04; †P = 0.0001–0.01; ‡P < 0.0001.

245

§Composites including UTI consider UTI up to/concomitant with other events.

patients who were on dialysis for up to 2 years before transplant, while patients who received more than 3 years of dialysis had the highest odds of developing infectious complications.

Compared with recipients of standard criteria donor (SCD) allografts, recipients of expanded criteria donor (ECD) and donated after cardiac death (DCD) kidneys had increased odds of all infectious complications except for UTI, while recipients of live donor transplants had lower adjusted odds of any infectious complication (aOR 0.82). Use of female donors was associated with modestly higher odds of UTI with sepsis compared with male donors (aOR 1.13). Compared to patients with low-risk cytomegalovirus sero-pairing (donor(D) - /recipient (R) -), those with highrisk (D+/R-) sero-pairing had 8% higher risk for any infectious complication, and 16-30% increased risk of infections including pneumonia, or sepsis with another infection. Sirolimus-based maintenance therapy was associated with a 19-29% higher odds of any study infection compared to tacrolimus and MMF-based regimens, with appearance of somewhat higher risk when sirolimus was used without compared to with a calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) especially for pneumonia with sepsis (135% risk increase for sirolimus without CNI, and 54% risk increase for sirolimus with CNI, compared to reference of tacrolimus and MMF). Patients who received steroids at discharge had increased likelihood of any study infection and nearly all categories, with highest risk for combinations that included sepsis. After adjustment for maintenance immunosuppression and other recipient and transplant factors, thymoglobulin and interleukin-2 receptor antibody (IL2R-Ab) induction immunosuppression agents were associated with approximately 4-10% decreased likelihood of first-year infections compared with no induction. OKT3 was used in less 1% of this cohort, but was associated with significantly increased risk of pneumonia with sepsis. "Era effects" were also noted, with increased odds of any study infection (predominantly driven by UTI) for patients transplanted in 2006-2011 compared with those transplanted in 2000-2005.

Associations of first-year infections with death and allograft loss

Median post-transplant follow-up of the cohort was 4.5 years. Patient survival at 5 years post-transplant was 73.3% and 83.1% among deceased donor and living donor allograft recipients, respectively. All the first-year study infections were associated with increased risk of death within the first year post-transplant. Relative risks of death compared to no infection ranged from 41% risk increase with UTI alone (aHR 1.41, 95% CI 1.25–1.56), sixfold risk with pneumonia alone (aHR 6.23, 95% CI 5.54–7.02), to

nearly 12-fold risk with sepsis alone (aHR 11.79, 95% CI 10.61-13.12) (Fig. 1, Appendix S3). Adjusted mortality risk was highest in those who developed more than one study infection in the first year, with >9 times the risk of death in those with UTI and pneumonia (aHR 9.61, 95% CI 8.15-11.33) or UTI and sepsis (aHR 9.27, 95% CI 8.03-10.71) compared to recipients without a study infection. Patients who developed pneumonia and sepsis in the first year had 31 times the adjusted mortality of those without study infections (aHR 31.37, 95% CI 28.51-34.50), while risk was increased 34-fold in those with UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis (aHR 34.38, 95% CI 30.35-38.95). Significant mortality risks associated with first-year infections persisted at a lower level beyond the first transplant anniversary, from a modest 16% later risk for those with UTI alone, to almost 3 times the risk of later death in those with combined UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis (aHR 2.85, 95% CI 2.54-3.20). After adjustment for the impact of infections, pre-emptive transplantation, use of thymoglobulin or IL2R-Ab induction therapy, and steroids use were associated with lower mortality risk, while advancing age, underweight BMI, ESRD due to diabetes, high levels of sensitization [panel reactive antibody (PRA) ≥80%], receipt of an ECD allograft, and sirolimus-based immunosuppression (with and without associated with increased mortality CNIs) were (Appendix S3). The first-year infection categories were associated with similar patterns of increased risk of allcause graft loss during the first year after transplantation, and multiple infections had the greatest impacts (Appendix S4).

First-year infections and healthcare expenditures

After adjustment for baseline recipient, donor and transplant factors, as well as for death or graft failure events in the period, all of the study infection categories had significant impacts on first-year costs, ranging from a \$17 691 marginal cost increase for UTI alone, \$39 593 for pneumonia alone, and \$53 965 for sepsis alone (Table 2). Marginal cost associations were higher for those who experienced more than one infection in the first year. First-year infections were also associated with significant downstream cost effects in years 2–3 after transplant, ranging from \$8372 for UTI alone to \$36 000–\$38 000 for pneumonia with sepsis, or for combined UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis. Associations of other baseline recipient, donor, and transplant factors with post-transplant costs in the first year and in years 2–3 post-transplant are provided in Appendix S5.

Total predicted costs in the first year post-transplant rose from \$61 909 in those with no infections to the following levels according to infection categories: UTI alone, \$79 600; pneumonia alone, \$101 502; sepsis alone, \$115 874; UTI and pneumonia, \$122 525; and UTI and



Figure 1 Adjusted associations of first-year infections with risk of death after transplantation. Adjusted for all recipient, donor, and transplant factors in Table 1. Please see Appendix 2 for complete survival regression results. *Composites including UTI consider UTI up to/concomitant with other events.

sepsis, \$127 104 (Fig. 2). Total predicted first-year costs increased to \$185 151 for those who experienced pneumonia and sepsis, and climbed to \$196 682 for those who developed UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis in year one. Total expenditures in years 2–3 post-transplant were also higher after first-year infections, although differences were smaller than observed for first-year costs, ranging from \$48 235 in those with no infections, to \$56 607 in those with first-year UTI alone, to \$84 000–\$86 000 in those with combined first-year pneumonia and sepsis, or UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis (Fig. 2).

Discussion

While long-term graft survival after kidney transplant has continued to improve in recent decades [29], the improvement has not been commensurate with reductions in the

© 2015 Steunstichting ESOT 29 (2016) 241-252

risk of acute rejection. Given the dramatic reduction in early immunological graft failure, efforts to reduce nonimmune complications of transplantation including infections have become an important goal in optimizing post-transplant care. Previous registry-based studies have demonstrated adverse clinical and economic impacts of some post-transplant infections [16,18]. However, the patients examined in those cohorts were transplanted 15–20 years ago. In the current era, transplant recipients are older on average and have higher comorbidity burdens[4,5]; moreover, clinical practice has evolved to include more common use of induction and potent maintenance immunosuppressive therapies [30].

We examined USRDS registry data for Medicare-insured kidney transplant recipients in 2000–2011 to quantify the clinical and economic impacts of first-year UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis in a contemporary national sample, and

Table 2. Adjusted associations of first-year infections with marginal costs in the first year, and in years 2-3 after transplantation.*

	1-year costs (including Tx) Parameter estimate, \$ per period	2- to 3-years costs Parameter estimate, \$ per period
Infection events		
UTI alone	17 691‡	8372‡
Pneumonia alone	39 593‡	15 247‡
Sepsis alone	53 965‡	20 676‡
UTI + Pneumonia	60 615‡	25 171‡
UTI + Sepsis	65 195‡	28 191‡
Pneumonia + Sepsis	123 242‡	38 053‡
UTI + Pneumonia + Sepsis	134 773‡	36 055‡

*Adjusted for all recipient, donor, and transplant factors in Table 1. Please see Appendix 4 for complete cost regression results. *P*-values compared to no infection: $t^P < 0.0001$.

observed several key findings. Consistent with prior singlecenter reports [8,9,31], nearly 45% of the national cohort experienced at least one of these infection events in the first year after transplantation, including UTI in 32%, pneumonia in 13%, and sepsis in 12%. Older recipients, women, those with diabetic renal failure, recipients of nonstandard donor organs, and those who received sirolimus-based immunosuppression or steroids at discharge were more likely to develop first-year infections. All study infections were associated with increased risk of subsequent death in the first year, ranging from 41% risk increase with UTI alone, 6- to 12-fold risk with pneumonia or sepsis alone, to 34-fold risk after all three infections. Finally, all of the study infections significantly increased first-year post-transplant costs, ranging from a \$17 691 marginal cost increase for UTI alone, \$39 593 for pneumonia alone, and \$53 965 for sepsis alone, to \$134 773 for those with UTI, pneumonia and sepsis in the first year. Clinical and economic impacts persisted beyond the first anniversary of the transplant.

Identification of UTI as a particularly common early posttransplant infection is consistent with prior studies [8,9,32]. Interestingly, we observed increased likelihood of UTI events in more recent years. This finding may reflect the rising incorporation of prophylactic stenting over selective ureteral stenting in surgical protocols. A recent Cochrane review concluded that while use of prophylactic ureteral stenting has reduced the incidence of major urologic complications after kidney transplantation, the practice has been associated with increased risk of UTI [33]. Some single-center studies have questioned whether UTI significantly affects long-term outcomes [12–14], but using a large national cohort, we were able to demonstrate that first-year UTI adversely impacts subsequent patient and graft survival. Bacteriuria and UTI in kidney transplant recipients have been associated with elevated local and systematic cytokine levels [34,35], which may in part mediate detrimental consequences for graft and patient survival. While the relative impacts of UTI on death and graft loss are lower than the consequences of pneumonia or sepsis at an individual case level, the high frequency of UTI produces large population-level consequences, making UTI an important target for prevention especially in those at high risk [32].

Not surprisingly, pneumonia and sepsis were associated with large increases in patient mortality and all-cause graft loss, and the risk was extremely high among patients who experienced combined events in the first year. In addition to patient factors including older age and diabetic ESRD, risks of these infections were correlated with longer pretransplant dialysis duration, use of nonstandard organs, maintenance steroids, and early use of sirolimus-based maintenance therapy. Sirolimus-based immunosuppression has been associated with an increased risk of infectious complications in a prior single-center retrospective study and in a randomized controlled trial [8,36], while other randomized trials (not powered for assessment of complications) have reported numerically higher although statistically similar infection rates in patients receiving sirolimus compared with other maintenance regimens [37-39]. Interestingly, we also observed associations of induction therapy with lower infection risk. Induction therapy can allow for reduction in cumulative post-transplant immunosuppression (e.g., lower antimetabolite dosing) which may explain the lower likelihood of early post-transplant infections. Targeting prophylactic and management strategies to groups at highest risk of post-transplant infections, including older recipients, women, and patients with diabetes, may help reduce the incidence of first-year infections, and associated clinical and economic consequences. Strategies warranting evaluation include early ureteral stent removal, identification and management of bladder dysfunction, diabetes control, and extended use of prophylactic antimicrobial therapies for patients at increased risk of post-transplant infections [6,40,41].

Post-transplant immunologic and non-immunologic complications are associated with significant and substantial increases in healthcare expenditures. Our study provides updated estimates of the cost impacts of pneumonia and sepsis generated by Kutinova *et al.* for patients transplanted in the late 1990s [18], and adds new estimates of the cost implications of UTI. The economic implications are similar for mild infectious conditions (UTI alone) and the cost of acute rejection not requiring antibody therapy in the first year post-transplant (\$14 122 per case) [27]. Rejection requiring intravenous cell depleting antibody treatment incurs higher costs (\$22 407 per case), but is less expensive than treatment of pneumonia or sepsis [27]. Finally, estimated costs of humoral rejection requiring



Total predicted year 1 costs according to first-year infection status





Figure 2 Total predicted period costs according to first-year infection status for an average transplant recipient. *Composites including UTI consider UTI up to/concomitant with other events.

intensive therapies including high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin and rituximab exceed \$50 000–\$100 000 per case [42], similar to costs of sepsis or multiple infections. Although the costs per case appear similar for rejection and infections of graded severity, the total economic burden appears substantially higher for infections as the incidence of UTI (32%), pneumonia (13%), and sepsis (12%) far exceed incidence of acute rejection without antibody treatment (6.9%) or with antibody treatment (2.5%) in the first year [27], and humoral rejection (0.7–1.9% of compatible transplants) [43]. Moreover, the economic impact of post-transplant infection complications is expected to increase with the greater use of immunosuppression to prevent rejection in highly sensitized patients who are prioritized in the new allocation system [4,44].

prioritized in the new allocation system

The economic impacts of early infectious complications are particularly relevant for contemporary transplant programs given the lack of reimbursement under global insurance contracts.

Finally, we found that the clinical and economic consequences of early UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis persist beyond the first year post-transplant. Such "downstream effects" of early complications have been previously been demonstrated for infections and events such as acute rejection [18,27]. Hence, strategies that reduce the burden of early infectious complications have the potential to improve patient survival, allograft survival, and costs of care beyond the first transplant anniversary.

Limitations of the current study include use of billing claims as surrogate measures for diagnoses. Laboratory values (such as blood counts) and diagnostic test results (such as cultures and chest X-rays) were not available to adjudicate the clinical outcomes in our study. While coding errors are possible, the use of claims data provides the sole option for long-term, nationally representative data collection given that infection events are not tracked in the national registry. Medicare billing structure does not allow resolution of additional procedures relevant to infection risk from the transplant surgery procedure (e.g., placement of stents, drains, and catheters). We also lacked information on some potential risk factors such as prior urologic surgery, use of maintenance immunosuppression over time, drug levels, and use of co-medications. In addition, kidney transplant recipients who have Medicare as their primary insurer may differ systematically from those who use other reimbursement systems. However, Medicare claims are particularly relevant to research among kidney transplant recipients because, unlike the eligibility requirements of age >65 or disability in the general population, renal allograft recipients are offered disease-specific Medicare entitlement and Medicare is the largest single insurer in this population. As a result, Medicare billing claims have been used to study a variety of complications after kidney transplantation [18,20-22,45,46]. We recently applied the coding algorithms used in the current study to investigate UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis after ABO-incompatible live donor transplantation [22]. Regarding our costs regression approach, alternatives to our OLS models, such as regressions estimating the determinants of the natural log of Medicare payments, may be more efficient but also may produce biased estimates and are difficult to interpret. Because we have access to cost data for very large samples, we employ the unbiased estimator. Our past work has demonstrated nearly identical results with OLS cost regression and regressions on the natural log of Medicare payments [47], and OLS has become our standard in analyses of the economic impact of complications in transplantation [27,28].

In conclusion, UTI, pneumonia, and sepsis in the first year post-transplant are associated with increased risks of death, allograft loss, and Medicare spending in contemporary transplant practice. The consequences appear to be greatest for patients who experience multiple types of infections. Overall, UTI remains the most common first-year infection, and the likelihood of post-transplant UTI appears to have increased over recent years. Patients at particularly increased risk of first-year infections include older recipients, women, those with diabetic renal failure, recipients of nonstandard donor organs, and those managed with steroids and with sirolimus-based immunosuppression. Development of management strategies to minimize posttransplant infectious complications without an increase in the risk of immunological graft failure is an important priority. Given the large population-level impact of UTI, evaluation of the efficacy of antimicrobial prophylaxis and risk factor management including ureteral stent protocols are especially warranted.

Authorship

ASN, VRD, MAS, DCB, DLS, DA and LK: Participated in study design, interpretation, and writing of the paper. HX and JC: Participated in data analysis and manuscript preparation. KLL: Participated in study design, acquisition of data and regulatory approvals, data analysis, and writing of the paper.

Funding

Support provided to the authors' institutions by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK).

Acknowledgements

The data reported here have been supplied by the United States Renal Data System (USRDS). The interpretation and reporting of these data are the responsibility of the authors and in no way should be seen as an official policy or interpretation of the United States government. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) R0-1DK102981. An abstract describing portions of this work was presented at the 2015 American Transplant Congress in Philadelphia, PA, May 3, 2015.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Appendix S1. Billing claim codes used for identification of first-year infections.

Appendix S2. Distributions of subcategories of infections identified in the first year post-transplant.

Appendix S3. Adjusted associations of first-year infections and other baseline factors with risk of death after transplantation.

Appendix S4. Adjusted associations of first-year infections and other baseline factors with risk of all-cause graft loss.

Appendix S5. Adjusted associations of first year infections and other baseline factors with marginal costs in the first year, and in years 2–3 after transplantation.

References

- Nankivell BJ, Alexander SI. Rejection of the kidney allograft. N Engl J Med 2010; 363: 1451.
- Lentine KL, Gheorghian A, Axelrod D, Kalsekar A, L'Italien G, Schnitzler MA. The implications of acute rejection for allograft survival in contemporary U.S. kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 2012; 94: 369.
- 3. Lodhi SA, Lamb KE, Meier-Kriesche HU. Solid organ allograft survival improvement in the United States: the longterm does not mirror the dramatic short-term success. *Am J Transplant* 2011; **11**: 1226.
- 4. Matas AJ, Smith JM, Skeans MA, *et al.* OPTN/SRTR 2013 Annual Data Report: kidney. *Am J Transplant* 2015; **15** (Suppl 2): 1.
- Lentine KL, Hurst FP, Jindal RM, *et al.* Cardiovascular risk assessment among potential kidney transplant candidates: approaches and controversies. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010; 55: 152.
- 6. Horwedel TA, Bowman LJ, Saab G, Brennan DC. Benefits of sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim prophylaxis on rates of sepsis after kidney transplant. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2014; **16**: 261.
- U.S. Renal Data System (USRDS). Annual Data Report. Bethesda, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Altas of ESRD, Transplantation, Figure 7.30, 2010. Available at: http:// www.usrds.org/2010/pdf/V2_07.pdf Accessed September 1, 2015.
- Alangaden GJ, Thyagarajan R, Gruber SA, *et al.* Infectious complications after kidney transplantation: current epidemiology and associated risk factors. *Clin Transplant* 2006; 20: 401.
- Pourmand G, Salem S, Mehrsai A, Taherimahmoudi M, Ebrahimi R, Pourmand M. Infectious complications after kidney transplantation: a single-center experience. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2007; 9: 302.
- Abbott KC, Oliver JD III, Hypolite I, *et al.* Hospitalizations for bacterial septicemia after renal transplantation in the united states. *Am J Nephrol* 2001; **21**: 120.
- 11. Tveit DJ, Hypolite IO, Poropatich RK, *et al.* Hospitalizations for bacterial pneumonia after renal transplantation in the United States. *J Nephrol* 2002; **15**: 255.
- Ariza-Heredia EJ, Beam EN, Lesnick TG, Cosio FG, Kremers WK, Razonable RR. Impact of urinary tract infection on allograft function after kidney transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2014; 28: 683.
- Fiorante S, Fernandez-Ruiz M, Lopez-Medrano F, *et al.* Acute graft pyelonephritis in renal transplant recipients: incidence, risk factors and long-term outcome. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011; 26: 1065.
- 14. Papasotiriou M, Savvidaki E, Kalliakmani P, *et al.* Predisposing factors to the development of urinary tract infections in renal transplant recipients and the impact on the long-term graft function. *Ren Fail* 2011; **33**: 405.

- Green H, Rahamimov R, Gafter U, Leibovitci L, Paul M. Antibiotic prophylaxis for urinary tract infections in renal transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2011; 13: 441.
- Abbott KC, Swanson SJ, Richter ER, *et al.* Late urinary tract infection after renal transplantation in the United States. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004; 44: 353.
- Schnitzler MA, Skeans MA, Axelrod DA, *et al.* OPTN/SRTR 2013 Annual Data Report: economics. *Am J Transplant* 2015; 15(Suppl 2): 1.
- Kutinova A, Woodward RS, Ricci JF, Brennan DC. The incidence and costs of sepsis and pneumonia before and after renal transplantation in the United States. *Am J Transplant* 2006; 6: 129.
- 19. Whiting JF, Woodward RS, Zavala EY, *et al.* Economic cost of expanded criteria donors in cadaveric renal transplantation: analysis of Medicare payments.[see comment]. *Transplantation* 2000; **70**: 755.
- Kasiske BL, Snyder JJ, Gilbertson D, Matas AJ. Diabetes mellitus after kidney transplantation in the United States. *Am J Transplant* 2003; 3: 178.
- Kasiske BL, Snyder JJ, Gilbertson DT, Wang C. Cancer after kidney transplantation in the United States. *Am J Transplant* 2004; 4: 905.
- 22. Lentine KL, Axelrod D, Klein C, *et al.* Early clinical complications after ABO-incompatible live-donor kidney transplantation: a national study of Medicare-insured recipients. *Transplantation* 2014; **98**: 54.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics. Consumer Price Index Databases. Available at: http://www.bls.gov/cpi/data.htm. Accessed May 15, 2015.
- 24. Glanton CW, Kao TC, Cruess D, Agodoa LY, Abbott KC. Impact of renal transplantation on survival in end-stage renal disease patients with elevated body mass index. *Kidney Int* 2003; 63: 647.
- Lentine KL, Xiao H, Brennan DC, *et al.* The impact of kidney transplantation on heart failure risk varies with candidate body mass index. *Am Heart J* 2009; 158: 972.
- 26. Salvalaggio PR, Dzebisashvili N, Pinsky B, *et al.* Incremental value of the pancreas allograft to the survival of simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplant recipients. *Diabetes Care* 2009; **32**: 600.
- 27. Gheorghian A, Schnitzler MA, Axelrod DA, Kalsekar A, L'Italien G, Lentine KL. The implications of acute rejection and reduced allograft function on health care expenditures in contemporary US kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 2012; **94**: 241.
- Schnitzler MA, Johnston K, Axelrod D, Gheorghian A, Lentine KL. Associations of renal function at 1-year after kidney transplantation with subsequent return to dialysis, mortality, and healthcare costs. *Transplantation* 2011; **91**: 1347.
- Lamb KE, Lodhi S, Meier-Kriesche HU. Long-term renal allograft survival in the United States: a critical reappraisal. *Am J Transplant* 2011; 11: 450.

- United States Renal Data System. Chapter 6:Transplantation. Available at: http://www.usrds.org/2014/download/V2_Ch_ 06_Transplantation_14.pdf. Accessed May 15, 2015. 2014.
- Kosmadakis G, Daikos GL, Pavlopoulou ID, *et al.* Infectious complications in the first year post renal transplantation. *Transpl Proc* 2013; **45**: 1579.
- Dharnidharka VR, Agodoa LY, Abbott KC. Risk factors for hospitalization for bacterial or viral infection in renal transplant recipients–an analysis of USRDS data. *Am J Transplant* 2007; 7: 653.
- 33. Wilson CH, Rix DA, Manas DM. Routine intraoperative ureteric stenting for kidney transplant recipients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; **6**: CD004925.
- 34. Sadeghi M, Daniel V, Naujokat C, Wiesel M, Hergesell O, Opelz G. Strong inflammatory cytokine response in male and strong anti-inflammatory response in female kidney transplant recipients with urinary tract infection. *Transpl Int* 2005; 18: 177.
- 35. Ciszek M, Paczek L, Bartlomiejczyk I, Mucha K. Urine cytokines profile in renal transplant patients with asymptomatic bacteriuria. *Transplantation* 2006; **81**: 1653.
- 36. Groth CG, Backman L, Morales JM, et al. Sirolimus (rapamycin)-based therapy in human renal transplantation: similar efficacy and different toxicity compared with cyclosporine. Sirolimus European Renal Transplant Study Group. *Transplantation* 1999; 67: 1036.
- Ekberg H, Tedesco-Silva H, Demirbas A, *et al.* Reduced exposure to calcineurin inhibitors in renal transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 357: 2562.
- Flechner SM, Glyda M, Cockfield S, *et al.* The ORION study: comparison of two sirolimus-based regimens versus tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil in renal allograft recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2011; 11: 1633.

- 39. Guerra G, Ciancio G, Gaynor JJ, *et al.* Randomized trial of immunosuppressive regimens in renal transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; **22**: 1758.
- Dharnidharka VR, Araya CE, Wadsworth CS, McKinney MC, Howard RJ. Assessing the value of ureteral stent placement in pediatric kidney transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2008; 85: 986.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Transplant Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the care of kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2009; 9(Suppl. 3): S1.
- Tanriover B, Wright SE, Foster SV, *et al.* High-dose intravenous immunoglobulin and rituximab treatment for antibody-mediated rejection after kidney transplantation: a cost analysis. *Transpl Proc* 2008; **40**: 3393.
- Orandi BJ, Chow EH, Hsu A, *et al.* Quantifying renal allograft loss following early antibody-mediated rejection. *Am J Transplant* 2015; 15: 489.
- Israni AK, Salkowski N, Gustafson S, *et al.* New national allocation policy for deceased donor kidneys in the United States and possible effect on patient outcomes. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2014; 25: 1842.
- 45. Lentine KL, Rocca Rey LA, Kolli S, *et al.* Variations in the risk for cerebrovascular events after kidney transplant compared with experience on the waiting list and after graft failure. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008; **3**: 1090.
- Lentine KL, Brennan DC, Schnitzler MA. Incidence and predictors of myocardial infarction after kidney transplantation. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005; 16: 496.
- Woodward RS, Schnitzler MA, Baty J, *et al.* Incidence and cost of new onset diabetes mellitus among U.S. wait-listed and transplanted renal allograft recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2003; **3**: 590.