RFVIFW

Tolerogenic dendritic cell therapy in organ transplantation

Aurélie Moreau^{1,2,3}, Brigitte Alliot-Licht^{1,2,3}, Maria-Cristina Cuturi^{1,2,3,*} & Gilles Blancho^{1,2,3,*}

1 INSERM UMR1064, Center for Research in Transplantation and Immunology, Nantes, France 2 CHU de Nantes, Institut de Transplantation Urologie Nephrologie (ITUN), Nantes, France 3 Université de Nantes, Nantes, France

Correspondence

Maria Cristina Cuturi MD, ITUN INSERM 1064, CHU Hotel Dieu, 30 bd Jean Monnet, 44093 Nantes, France. Tel.: 02 40 08 74 10; fax: 02 40 08 74 11; e-mail: maria-cristina.cuturi@ univ-nantes.fr

*Co-senior authors.

SUMMARY

Although the occurrence of acute rejection was significantly reduced and the allograft survival at 1 year was massively improved by the development of pharmacological immunosuppressive drugs, little progress has been made regarding long-term graft survival. Cell therapy appears to be an innovative and promising strategy to minimize the use of immunosuppression in transplantation and consequently increases long-term graft survival. The strength of cell therapy is that it will induce graft-specific tolerance and not a general immunosuppression of the patients. Several candidates, such as tolerogenic dendritic cells, have been gaining interest as an efficient means of promoting antigen-specific tolerance over recent years. Studies performed in rodent models have demonstrated the feasibility and efficacy of tolerogenic dendritic cells for the induction of tolerance in transplantation. In parallel, protocols to generate human tolerogenic dendritic cells in vitro have been defined, and some phase I clinical trials in autoimmune diseases have been recently performed to evaluate the safety of tolerogenic dendritic cell therapy. In this review, we will focus on the potential therapeutic interest of these cells in transplantation as well as their generation and characterization in humans. Finally, we will describe our current clinical trial using autologous tolerogenic dendritic cells in transplantation.

Transplant International 2017; 30: 754–764

Key words

autoimmune diseases, autologous tolerogenic dendritic cells, cell therapy, clinical trial, safety, transplantation

Received: 31 July 2016; Revision requested: 13 September 2016; Accepted: 9 November 2016; Published online: 19 December 2016

Acute and chronic graft rejection

Today, transplantation appears to be the most efficient treatment to replace the loss of kidney function in patients suffering from end-stage renal disease [1]. However, transplants can be rejected by the recipients. Two major immunological mechanisms occur during allograft rejection: the innate nonspecific reaction, with proinflammatory signals that play an important role, and the donor-specific adaptive response. In the context of allogeneic transplantation, donor antigen-presenting cells (APCs) migrate to the secondary lymphoid organs and induce alloreactive naive T cells to differentiate into effector T cells that in return migrate into the graft. In the meantime, the inflammation boosts and maintains the adaptive immune T-cell response.

The adaptive response results from the presentation of alloantigens by APCs, mainly DCs, and their allorecognition by recipient T cells. Three different pathways of allorecognition exist (direct, indirect, and semidirect) [2,3]. Following transplantation, donor DCs are induced to mature by proinflammatory signals such

as IL-1 β , TNF- α and CD40 and induced to migrate out of the graft to the secondary lymphoid organs, where they prime the host T cells via the direct pathway. In the indirect pathway, donor DCs disappear rapidly from the graft and migrate to the draining lymph nodes (LNs), where they die. Dying DCs may be a source of alloantigens for recipient APCs present in the draining secondary lymphoid organs that stimulate T cells. This pathway was recently highlighted by Celli and collaborators in a murine model of skin transplantation [4]. The third pathway is the semidirect allorecognition. In this pathway, recipient APCs acquire intact allogeneic MHC–peptide complex from donor APCs by direct cellto-cell contact or via release and uptake of small vesicles called exosomes, allowing $CD8⁺$ T-cell stimulation. The same recipient APCs are also able to stimulate $CD4^+$ T cells by presenting peptides of allogeneic MHC by self-MHC class II [5–8]. The direct pathway is considered the main mechanism that leads to early acute graft rejection, whereas the indirect pathway is more commonly implicated in chronic rejection. Because of their central position linking innate and acquired immune responses and controlling immunity and tolerance, DCs appear to be a key component of the modulation of graft rejection.

Immunosuppressive drugs and cell therapy

Up until now, the success of transplantation is because of the emergence of immunosuppression, allowing the control of the recipient immune response. With the generation of new immunosuppressive drug combinations, many clinical units now achieve 1-year graft survival rates of 90% [9]. Moreover, the percentage of 1 year kidney graft (from living donors) survival reaches 94.3% for patients transplanted during 2000–2001 in the USA [10] with lifelong standard immunosuppression using one calcineurin inhibitor (CNI; cyclosporine A or tacrolimus) and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) with or without initial transient induction with antithymoglobulin (ATG) or anti-IL2R mAb (basiliximab). Although significant improvement has been made in graft survival at 1 year, little progress has been obtained in long-term graft survival. In fact, long-term use of immunosuppressive (IS) drugs increases the risk of developing infections and cancer [11,12] and is also directly associated with serious nonimmune toxicities, such as nephrotoxicity [13], dyslipidemia [14], and cardiovascular diseases [15]. At 10 years post-transplantation, all graft biopsies exhibit CNI-induced nephrotoxicity, and approximately 60% of grafts present signs of chronic allograft nephropathy, the predominant cause of late graft loss after renal transplantation [16,17].

Therefore, reduction of the dependence on immunosuppressive drug therapy and the induction of donorspecific tolerance are the major objectives for transplantation research. Cell therapy appears to be an innovative strategy to induce the long-term acceptance of transplants [18]. For this reason, cell therapy using regulatory immune cells has been recently considered in transplantation. Many significant studies have focused on understanding the prospective value of targeting specific immune regulatory cells from either the myeloid or lymphoid lineages. For instance, the contribution of various types of regulatory T cells (Tregs) to transplantation tolerance has been demonstrated, and several clinical trials are currently evaluating the safety of CD4⁺ CD25+ Foxp3+ Tregs in kidney and liver transplantation [19]. Furthermore, several groups recently suggested that B cells might also be involved in tolerance. Indeed, the analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells has shown a B-cell signature from recipients that spontaneously accept their kidney transplants in the absence of immunosuppression (operational tolerance) [20–22]. Consequently, recent studies also highlighted the potential of regulatory B-cell therapy in transplantation in rodents [23–25]. Regarding myeloid cells, the safe administration of human regulatory macrophages in kidney transplantation was reported a few years ago by the team of Geissler [26]. On the other hand, the efficacy of myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC) therapy in transplantation was also demonstrated in different models of transplantation in mice [27–30]. In this review, we will focus on the protective potential of tolerogenic dendritic cells (TolDCs) in organ transplantation.

Dendritic cells and immune tolerance

Dendritic cells are a heterogeneous population classified, in humans, into different subsets, including conventional myeloid DCs (mDCs), plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), and Langerhans cells, based on their ontogeny, phenotypes, and functionality [31]. Many studies have shown that DCs are dual APCs capable of linking innate to adaptive immunity or inducing tolerance of specific antigens. Myeloid DCs and pDCs are activated following pathogen ligation to Toll-like receptor (TLR) or antigen (Ag) uptake. pDCs are the professional type 1 interferon (IFN)-producing cells during viral infection. Furthermore, these cells express TLR7 and TLR9, which recognize viral nucleic acids, leading to their production of a large amount of IFN [32]. On the other hand, mDCs can be resident or migratory. Lymphoid organs, such as the spleen, LNs, and thymus, contain resident mDCs that capture and present Ag to T cells. In peripheral tissues, mDCs are migratory cells. These APCs are specialized in Ag capture, processing, and presentation. Upon activation, they migrate to the LNs, where they prime T cells. It has been suggested that migratory DCs bring Ag to the LNs, where they could transfer the Ag to resident CD8⁺ DCs. These resident DCs would then efficiently present this Ag to T cells to induce T-cell priming [33]. In general, immune responses are mediated by DCs in a mature state under inflammatory conditions, whereas immune tolerance is induced by TolDCs with an immature phenotype.

Tolerogenic dendritic cells are essential for the maintenance of both central and peripheral tolerance. In mice, depletion of all subsets of DCs results in fatal autoimmunity [34]. Central tolerance is achieved through the negative selection of self-foreign Ag-reactive thymocytes and the induction of natural T regulatory cells (nTregs). nTregs are thymic-derived CD4⁺CD25⁺ Foxp3⁺ T cells that constitute $1-4%$ of peripheral T cells in young patients and approximately 1% in healthy elderly patients [35]. TolDCs play a significant role in the maintenance of peripheral tolerance against self-Ag in the steady state. Moreover, immune peripheral tolerance is defined by the ability to induce the reduction or complete inhibition of immunogenic responses against Ag in order to prevent over-reactivity of immunity to microbes, allergens, etc.

Tolerogenic dendritic cells are thought to exert their actions using different mechanisms. TolDCs can induce T-cell anergy and clonal deletion. T-cell anergy occurs because TolDCs lack co-stimulation molecules. In the presence of Ag but without CD80 and CD86, T cells become anergic and lose their ability to proliferate [36]. TolDCs can also induce naïve and memory T-cell apoptosis via the Fas/FasL pathway [37] and the expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) by TolDCs [38]. In addition, TolDCs have the capacity to induce tolerance in the peripheral tissue via the expansion or induction of several subtypes of regulatory lymphocytes, which are mainly classical induced CD4⁺CD25^{hi}Foxp3⁺ Tregs [39] and LAG-3⁺CD49b⁺CD25⁺Foxp3^{+/-} regulatory T-cell type 1 (Tr-1) [40] but are also $CD8⁺$ Tregs [41], regulatory B cells [42], and double-negative T cells $(DNT$ cells; $TCR\alpha\beta^+$, $CD3^+$, $CD4^ CD8^ NKRP1^-$) [43]. This last type of Tregs has been shown to produce IFN- γ , which was essential for tolerance induction in a

rat cardiac allotransplantation model using syngeneic TolDCs. Anti-IFN- γ treatment of recipient mice caused the abrogation of tolerance induction [43]. Tregs have been shown to be involved in the tolerance process and to prevent allogeneic skin graft rejection in mice [44]. In a pilot study analyzing human renal allograft infiltrates, Bestard et al. [45] have shown that more Tregs were present in donor-specific hyporesponder patients both in the peripheral blood and in renal infiltrates compared to normoresponders, suggesting that Tregs play an important role in kidney graft acceptance. While TolDCs drive the differentiation of Tregs, Tregs in return also modulate the phenotype and function of DCs. [46,47]. This tolerogenic feedback between TolDCs and Tregs is illustrated by the fact that (i) no or weak CD80/CD86 co-stimulation is required to induce Foxp3⁺ iTregs, whereas PD-L1 expression on the TolDC surface promotes the expansion of Tregs and (ii) IL-10 and TGF- β secreted by Tregs inhibit DC maturation and facilitate the maintenance of DCs in a tolerogenic state.

Finally, TolDCs promote central and peripheral tolerance via the expression of immunomodulatory molecules [e.g., PD-L1, PD-L2, heme-oxygenase-1 (HO-1), human leukocyte antigen-G (HLA-G), TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand, galectin-1, and DC-SIGN] and the production of IS factors such as IL-10, transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β), IDO, IL27, and nitric oxide (NO) [35,48–51]. These environmental factors determine the induction of tolerance. Expression of HO-1 was correlated with an immature state of TolDCs [52] and with the inhibition of allogenic T-cell proliferation [53]. In our own model of rat cardiac allotransplantation, the prolongation of allograft survival because of TolDC treatment was abrogated by the administration of a specific HO-1 inhibitor, demonstrating that HO-1 is involved in the prolongation of allograft survival mediated by TolDCs [54]. Moreover, TolDCs express Epstein–Barr virus-induced gene 3 (EBI3). This member of the IL-12 family also has a crucial role. In fact, in our in vivo model of tolerance induced by TolDCs, anti-EBI3 treatment of the recipient rats leads to the rejection of the heart transplant [43].

Ex vivo TolDC generation

Tolerogenic dendritic cells are classically generated ex vivo from blood monocytes $(CD14^+)$ in humans, from bone marrow precursors in rodents, or from CD34+ cells in nonhuman primates. Precursors are isolated by microbeads, cell sorter, or elutriation. TolDCs are generated ex vivo by culturing precursors with culture medium containing granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (mainly at a low dose) and eventually with IL-4, IL-10 (DC10), or TGF- β or drugs such as vitamin-D3, rapamycin, tacrolimus, or dexamethasone [55–58]. The active form of vitamin D (vitD3, 1,25(OH)₂D3) appears as a potent immunomodulatory agent. Indeed, tolerogenic DCs generated in the presence of vitD3 display the features of tolerogenic DCs described below [55,59]. About their effects on T cells, VitD3-treated DCs prevent Tcell priming and induce the apoptosis of effector T cells [59]. Interestingly, VitD3-treated DCs also promote antigen-specific regulatory T cells, leading to infectious tolerance through the re-education of proinflammatory mature DCs into DCs with regulatory properties by Tregs [60]. Moreover, other protocols, such as DC treatment with CD40, CD80, and CD86 antisense oligonucleotides $[61]$ or with an NF- κ B inhibitor [62], have also been reported. At the end of the culture period, DCs should display the following features to be recognized as tolerogenic cells: (i) the lack or low expression of cell surface markers of MHC-II, costimulatory molecules (CD80 and CD86), or activation markers (CD40), (ii) resistance to maturation stimuli (tested by pathogen and/or inflammatory signals, that is, lipopolysaccharides, CD40 ligand, DC maturation cocktail, TNF- α), (iii) the low potential of induction of allogenic T-cell proliferation in a mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR), (iv) the ability to produce IL-10 in response to stimulation, and (v) eventually, the ability to favor Treg proliferation [63]. In our laboratory, we generated mouse and human TolDCs with only a low dose of GM-CSF, as previously described by Lutz et al. [64]. For human injection, human TolDCs have to be produced using Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) in a clinical grade facility. The feasibility of generating ex vivo DCs with tolerogenic properties has now been proven. However, two major concerns exist about the use of TolDCs in transplantation: the inflammatory mediators and the immunosuppressive drugs. Indeed, organ transplantation induces inflammation in the graft microenvironment that could gradually lead to TolDC maturation [65]. To avoid this possibility, one strategy consists of the injection of stable semi-mature TolDCs that exhibit a semi-mature phenotype, remain stable in vivo following exposure to an inflammatory environment (compared to classical immature DCs), and are able to prolong organ graft survival [66,67]. On the other hand, IS treatment could affect the ability of TolDCs to induce tolerance. In fact, several studies performed in mice and humans showed that IS-modified DCs display higher tolerogenic features and are highly potent at prolonging graft survival (Table 1) [56,57,68–93]. Rapamycin-conditioned DCs are particularly studied because of their ability to favor the expansion of alloantigen $F\alpha p3^+$ Tregs and increase DC migration [57,94,95]. Conversely, DC migration is impaired in cyclosporin-conditioned DCs [81]. Moreover, the administration of IS drugs to rodents, such as cyclosporin [85,96,97], MMF [98], tacrolimus [82,99], or rapamycin [57], in combination with TolDCs does not impair TolDC efficiency.

Animal models with TolDCs in transplantation

The first experimental report suggesting that TolDCs might be used in the field of transplantation was published by Fu et al. [100]. They observed that the cardiac graft survival time was increased in mice that received donor DC progenitors. In vitro, these DCs induced alloantigen-specific T-cell anergy. Since these studies, DCs with tolerogenic properties have been explored extensively in small animal models and a meta-analysis has demonstrated their potency to prolong allograft survival in multiple transplantation models [101]. In rodents, donor-generated TolDCs, alone or with IS drugs, have been shown to prolong cardiac allograft survival [57,64,82,102–107]. However, Peche et al. [108] have demonstrated the superiority of recipient-derived DCs (nonpulsed) to prolong cardiac allograft survival in comparison with donor-derived DCs (nonpulsed) (for review, see [35,49,50,63,65,109]). Nonhuman primates (NHPs) provide attractive preclinical models for testing TolDCs in transplantation. Generation of DCs from the bone marrow or from monocytes with tolerogenic properties was previously shown in vitro [53,54,110,111], and, in 2013, Ezzelarab et al. [112] demonstrated that the infusion of regulatory DCs prolongs kidney allograft survival in a clinically relevant rhesus macaque model. In this study, DCs were isolated from donor blood monocytes, generated with VitD3 and IL-10 and infused intravenously 7 days before renal transplantation. All recipients received CTLA4-Ig (B7-CD28-blocking fusion molecule) to minimize the risk of host sensitization. Recently, the same team aimed to decipher the effect of CTLA4-Ig on the transcription factor Eomes in memory T cells in their model of NHP renal allograft. They showed that prolonged renal allograft survival is associated with Eomes^{low} CTLA4high donor-reactive CD8⁺ suppressive memory T cells [113].

Table 1. Effects of immunosuppressive (IS) drugs on dendritic cells.

Clinical trials with dendritic cells

Clinical protocols of immunogenic DCs have been tested in cancer therapy to obtain tumor antigen presentation, allowing clinically effective antitumor immunity (mature DCs vaccine clinical trial) [114–116]. Mature DC antigen-loaded antiviral immunotherapy was also used in a phase I clinical trial [117].

Conversely, in autoimmune diseases or transplantation settings, dendritic cells pushed toward their tolerizing capabilities were tested. Since the beginning of this century, the capacity of human DCs to induce Ag-specific tolerance in vivo has been reported in healthy volunteers [118,119]. In two healthy subjects injected with immature DCs pulsed with keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) and influenza matrix peptide (MP), Dhodapkar et al. [118] demonstrated the inhibition of MP-specific- $CD8⁺$ T-cell function and the appearance of MP-specific IL-10-producing cells. These data made obvious the feasibility of inhibition of T-cell function with cell therapy (negative cellular vaccines) in humans. TolDCs were also tested against autoimmunity (induction of tolerance toward self-antigens). Autologous TolDCs have been administered intradermally in patients with juvenile type I diabetes [120] and intraperitoneally in patients suffering from Crohn's disease [121] without any toxicities reported. In another clinical trial, no

adverse effects were observed in patients suffering from rheumatoid arthritis and who received intradermal TolDCs modified with NF-KB inhibitor and pulsed with citrullinated peptides [122]. Moreover, recently, a clinical trial using an intra-articular route of TolDC administration in the context of rheumatoid and inflammatory arthritis therapy was published [123]. Thus far, there have been no reports of clinical trials using TolDCs in transplantation, even if studies performed in rodents ensured the efficient use of TolDCs in this context. The goal today is to transfer these results to humans. To this end, our team is part of a European consortium (the ONE Study). In our center, we are currently evaluating the safety and feasibility (phase I/II clinical trial) of cell therapy using autologous TolDCs, named ATDCs (autologous tolerogenic DCs), in living-donor kidney transplantation (NCT02252055) [124]. Thomson et al. [125] also recently proposed to perform a phase I/II safety study in which the effect of donor-derived DCregs combined with conventional immunosuppression on kidney rejection will be studied.

Following cell therapy, the issue of weaning immunosuppression concerns only patients with no clinical alloimmune response, such as acute or chronic rejection, no DSA, no active infection, no proteinuria, and conserved graft histology. Also, there is no absolute biological assessment of true tolerance; the identification of concerned patients would be assessed by a monitoring of the immune response including leukocyte profiling as described by Streitz et al. [126], DSA monitoring, and IFNY ELISPOT analysis in patients receiving TolDC therapy. Further trials will be necessary to precise the protocol of ATDC administration and the protocol of immunosuppression. Subsequently, patients requesting reduced immunosuppression, such as those with past history of cancer, could be also considered.

Origin, dose, and route of administration of human TolDCs as cell-based medical products in kidney transplant

Prior to TolDC injection in humans, the parameters of the GMP preparation of the cells have to be considered. For example, the optimal cell culture conditions must be reached with adequate cytokines and medium, clinical grade reagents, and closed culture systems, as well as standardization and quality controls. Furthermore, GMP preparation should be performed with the simplest protocol to avoid various factors of contamination.

In our center, we derived human ATDCs from monocytes (CD14⁺) enriched via the leukapheresis of peripheral blood by elutriation. In humans, blood monocytes are the most common source of DCs, and elutriation is a purification technique adapted for GMP facilities. Indeed, elutriation allows the separation of untouched cells based on their size and their density, avoiding the risk of extra contaminant components (i.e., beads). In contrast to most human DC differentiation protocols, where cells are derived in the presence of different cytokines or IS drugs [35,63], ATDCs have been obtained following culture with GM-CSF only. This simple protocol is in accordance with previous rodent and human protocols, where the efficacy of generated TolDCs was demonstrated [64,127–129]. Accordingly, ATDCs display an immature phenotype, preserved upon TLR triggering. This resistance to maturation stimuli suggests that ATDCs will not become immunogenic once they are injected into patients. In transplantation, the issue of whether DCs should be derived from the donor or from the recipient must be taken into consideration. In transplant animal models, most studies explored the effects of donor TolDCs injected at least 1 week before transplantation [50]. In humans, we have chosen to administer unpulsed autologous cells in accordance with our results in rodents [43,108,129–131]. Furthermore, the absence of alloantigen appears to be a safer approach in a context of a clinical trial. The major benefits of using recipientderived DCs compared with donor-derived DCs are (i) the lower risk of donor sensitization because of the presence of contaminant cell products and (ii) the lack of destruction of the injected cells by non-self-recognition [132]. In the ATDC manufacturing process, contaminant cells, mainly B cells, T cells, and basophils, are estimated to be lower than 3% [63]. Furthermore, autologous TolDCs do not require activation or pulsing to be efficient at migrating to the LN and presenting antigen to T cells. Based on our results in rodents [129], we postulate that injected autologous unpulsed human ATDCs are able to migrate to the graft, where they capture and process donor-derived Ags, leading to Ag-specific regulation. In contrast, it has been demonstrated that donor-derived TolDCs quickly die after in vivo injection, suggesting that these cells are unable to directly regulate the immune response [104]. Furthermore, autologous TolDCs are suitable from either living or deceased donors and could be prepared as soon as the patient is waiting for a transplant and preserved frozen. The manufacturing process for ATDC generation fits perfectly with the ONE Study clinical trial, as we validated that ATDCs derived from monocytes of healthy volunteers and patients with renal dysfunction share similar phenotypes and in vitro functions.

Regarding the route of delivery, different methods have been used in humans. TolDCs have been administered intraperitoneally in patients suffering from Crohn's disease [121], via arthroscopic injection for patients suffering from rheumatoid and inflammatory arthritis [123] and intradermally in type I diabetes patients [120]. All of these routes of administration were well tolerated without any signs of toxicity. Experiments performed in mice have shown that compared with subcutaneous injection, the optimal route of administration of dexamethasone/lipopolysaccharidetreated BMDCs in the context of cardiac transplantation is intravenous delivery [103]. In nonhuman primates, recent studies reported the safety of TolDCs after their intravenous administration [110,133]. Finally, another issue is to define the time of cell administration. Based on our reports in rodents [43,108,129–131], autologous TolDCs must be injected at the time of the graft to take up donor Ag in the graft. Taking all of these concerns together, patients from the ONE Study ATDC trial received a single intravenous injection of TolDCs (one million/kg body weight) the day before kidney transplantation. These patients also receive minimized IS drugs (tacrolimus, MMF, and prednisolone). At the

time of the phase I/II ONE Study ATDC trial, no toxicity associated with the cell infusion was reported.

Conclusion

The first clinical trials using autologous TolDCs in patients suffering from arthritis, diabetes, or Crohn's disease suggest that the use of these cells appears to be a safe therapy in autoimmune diseases. Furthermore, increased populations of regulatory cells have also been highlighted in the blood of rheumatoid arthritis and type 1 diabetes patients. Our experiments performed in rodents demonstrated that autologous TolDC therapy could efficiently protect from graft rejection, alone or in combination with IS drugs. In the ONE Study ATDC trial, we are testing the safety of ATDC therapy in transplantation in combination with tacrolimus, prednisolone, and MMF. Once the safety status of ATDC therapy is confirmed, further clinical trials will focus on the optimal conditions required to potentiate the protective ability of ATDCs. It will thus be possible to consider some modifications in the pharmacological IS regimen or in the cell injection time (for instance, to wait until a decrease in the immunosuppressive treatment).

Funding

The work performed in the laboratory was funded by Fondation Progreffe, IMBIO-DC, DHU Oncogreffe, The ONE Study (FP7-260687), and BIODRIM (FP7-305147) European Union 7th Framework Programs. This work was also supported by funds from IHU-CESTI (Investissement d'Avenir ANR-10-IBHU-005, Region Pays de la Loire and Nantes Metropole) and the Labex IGO project (no. ANR-11-LABX-0016-01).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Oniscu GC, Brown H, Forsythe JL. Impact of cadaveric renal transplantation on survival in patients listed for transplantation. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005; 16: 1859.
- 2. Ochando JC, Krieger NR, Bromberg JS. Direct versus indirect allorecognition:
visualization of dendritic cell visualization of dendritic cell distribution and interactions during rejection and tolerization. Am J Transplant 2006; 6: 2488.
- 3. Afzali B, Lombardi G, Lechler RI. Pathways of major histocompatibility complex allorecognition. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2008; 13: 438.
- 4. Celli S, Albert ML, Bousso P. Visualizing the innate and adaptive immune responses underlying allograft rejection by two-photon microscopy. Nat Med 2011; 17: 744.
- 5. Liu Q, Rojas-Canales DM, Divito SJ, et al. Donor dendritic cell-derived exosomes promote allograft-targeting immune response. J Clin Invest 2016; 126: 2805.
- 6. Thery C, Duban L, Segura E, Veron P, Lantz O, Amigorena S. Indirect activation of naive CD4+ T cells by dendritic cell-derived exosomes. Nat Immunol 2002; 3: 1156.
- 7. Herrera OB, Golshayan D, Tibbott R, et al. A novel pathway of alloantigen presentation by dendritic cells. J Immunol 2004; 173: 4828.
- 8. Smyth LA, Herrera OB, Golshayan D, Lombardi G, Lechler RI. A novel pathway of antigen presentation by
dendritic and endothelial cells: dendritic and implications for allorecognition and infectious diseases. Transplantation 2006; 82: S15.
- 9. Brown K, Phillips RE, Wong W. What have we learnt from experimental renal transplantation? Nephron Exp Nephrol 2010; 115: e9.
- 10. Port FK, Dykstra DM, Merion RM, Wolfe RA. Organ donation and transplantation trends in the USA, 2003. Am J Transplant 2004; 4(Suppl. 9): 7.
- 11. Dantal J, Hourmant M, Cantarovich D, et al. Effect of long-term immunosuppression in kidney-graft recipients on cancer incidence: randomised comparison of two cyclosporin regimens. Lancet 1998; 351: 623.
- 12. Rama I, Grinyo JM. Malignancy after renal transplantation: the role of immunosuppression. Nat Rev Nephrol 2010; 6: 511.
- 13. Naesens M, Kuypers DR, Sarwal M. Calcineurin inhibitor nephrotoxicity. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 4: 481.
- 14. Mathis AS, Dave N, Knipp GT, Friedman GS. Drug-related dyslipidemia after renal transplantation. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2004; 61: 565; quiz 86–7.
- 15. Kendrick E. Cardiovascular disease and the renal transplant recipient. Am J Kidney Dis 2001; 38: S36.
- 16. Nankivell BJ, Borrows RJ, Fung CL, O'Connell PJ, Allen RD, Chapman JR. The natural history of chronic allograft nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2003; 349: 2326.
- 17. Pascual M, Theruvath T, Kawai T, Tolkoff-Rubin N, Cosimi AB. Strategies to improve long-term outcomes after renal transplantation. N Engl J Med 2002; 346: 580.
- 18. Bluestone JA, Thomson AW, Shevach EM, Weiner HL. What does the future hold for cell-based tolerogenic therapy? Nat Rev Immunol 2007; 7: 650.
- 19. Tang Q, Bluestone JA. Regulatory Tcell therapy in transplantation: moving to the clinic. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2013; 3: 11.
- 20. Newell KA, Asare A, Kirk AD, et al. Identification of a B cell signature associated with renal transplant tolerance in humans. J Clin Invest 2010; 120: 1836.
- 21. Pallier A, Hillion S, Danger R, et al. Patients with drug-free long-term graft function display increased numbers of peripheral B cells with a memory and inhibitory phenotype. Kidney Int 2010; 78: 503.
- 22. Sagoo P, Perucha E, Sawitzki B, et al. Development of a cross-platform

biomarker signature to detect renal transplant tolerance in humans. J Clin Invest 2010; 120: 1848.

- 23. Durand J, Huchet V, Merieau E, et al. Regulatory B cells with a partial defect in CD40 signaling and overexpressing granzyme B transfer allograft tolerance in rodents. J Immunol 2015; 195: 5035.
- 24. Le Texier L, Thebault P, Lavault A, et al. Long-term allograft tolerance is characterized by the accumulation of B cells exhibiting an inhibited profile. Am J Transplant 2011; 11: 429.
- 25. Moreau A, Blair PA, Chai JG, et al.
Transitional-2 B cells acquire Transitional-2 regulatory function during tolerance induction and contribute to allograft survival. Eur J Immunol 2015; 45: 843.
- 26. Hutchinson JA, Riquelme P, Sawitzki B, et al. Cutting Edge: immunological consequences and trafficking of human regulatory macrophages administered to renal transplant recipients. J Immunol 2011; 187: 2072.
- 27. Chou HS, Hsieh CC, Charles R, et al. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells protect islet transplants by B7-H1 mediated enhancement of T regulatory cells. Transplantation 2012; 93: 272.
- 28. Drujont L, Carretero-Iglesia L, Bouchet-Delbos L, et al. Evaluation of the therapeutic potential of bone marrow-derived myeloid suppressor cell (MDSC) adoptive transfer in mouse models of autoimmunity and allograft rejection. PLoS One 2014; 9: e100013.
- 29. Carretero-Iglesia L, Bouchet-Delbos L, Louvet C, et al. Comparative study of the immunoregulatory capacity of in vitro generated tolerogenic dendritic cells, suppressor macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells Transplantation 2016; 100: 2079.
- 30. Marigo I, Bosio E, Solito S, et al. Tumor-induced tolerance and immune suppression depend on the C/EBPbeta transcription factor. Immunity 2010; 32: 790.
- 31. Steinman RM, Idoyaga J. Features of the dendritic cell lineage. Immunol Rev 2010; 234: 5.
- 32. Gilliet M, Cao W, Liu YJ. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells: sensing nucleic acids in viral infection and autoimmune diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 2008; 8: 594.
- 33. Allan RS, Waithman J, Bedoui S, et al. Migratory dendritic cells transfer antigen to a lymph node-resident dendritic cell population for efficient CTL priming. Immunity 2006; 25: 153.
- 34. Ohnmacht C, Pullner A, King SB, et al. Constitutive ablation of dendritic cells breaks self-tolerance of CD4 T cells and results in spontaneous fatal

autoimmunity. J Exp Med 2009; 206: 549.

- 35. Ezzelarab M, Thomson AW. Tolerogenic dendritic cells and their role in transplantation. Semin Immunol 2011; 23: 252.
- 36. Schwartz RH. T cell clonal anergy. Curr Opin Immunol 1997; 9: 351.
- 37. Lu L, Qian S, Hershberger PA, Rudert WA, Lynch DH, Thomson AW. Fas ligand (CD95L) and B7 expression on dendritic cells provide counterregulatory signals for T cell survival and proliferation. J Immunol 1997; 158: 5676.
- 38. Mellor AL, Baban B, Chandler P, et al. Cutting edge: induced indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase expression in dendritic cell subsets suppresses T cell clonal expansion. J Immunol 2003; 171: 1652.
- 39. Huang H, Dawicki W, Zhang X, Town J, Gordon JR. Tolerogenic dendritic cells induce CD4+ CD25hiFoxp3+ regulatory T cell differentiation from CD4+ CD25-/loFoxp3- effector T cells. J Immunol 2010; 185: 5003.
- 40. Gagliani N, Magnani CF, Huber S, et al. Coexpression of CD49b and LAG-3 identifies human and mouse T regulatory type 1 cells. Nat Med 2013; 19: 739.
- 41. Hsu SM, Mathew R, Taylor AW, Stein-Streilein J. Ex-vivo tolerogenic F4/80(+) antigen-presenting cells (APC) induce efferent CD8(+) regulatory T cell-dependent suppression of experimental autoimmune uveitis. Clin Exp Immunol 2014; 176: 37.
- 42. Qian L, Qian C, Chen Y, et al. Regulatory dendritic cells program B cells to differentiate into CD19hiFcgammaIIbhi regulatory B cells through IFN-beta and CD40L. Blood 2012; 120: 581.
- 43. Hill M, Thebault P, Segovia M, et al.
Cell therapy with autologous Cell therapy with tolerogenic dendritic cells induces allograft tolerance through interferongamma and epstein-barr virus-induced gene 3. Am J Transplant 2011; 11: 2036.
- 44. Kingsley CI, Karim M, Bushell AR, Wood KJ. CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells prevent graft rejection: CTLA-4- and IL-10-dependent immunoregulation of alloresponses. J Immunol 2002; 168: 1080.
- 45. Bestard O, Cruzado JM, Mestre M, et al. Achieving donor-specific hyporesponsiveness is associated with FOXP3+ regulatory T cell recruitment in human renal allograft infiltrates. J Immunol 2007; 179: 4901.
- 46. Mahnke K, Johnson TS, Ring S, Enk AH. Tolerogenic dendritic cells and regulatory T cells: a two-way relationship. J Dermatol Sci 2007; 46: 159.
- 47. Kornete M, Piccirillo CA. Functional crosstalk between dendritic cells and Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells in the maintenance of immune tolerance. Front Immunol 2012; 3: 165.
- 48. Ilarregui JM, Croci DO, Bianco GA, et al. Tolerogenic signals delivered by dendritic cells to T cells through a galectin-1-driven immunoregulatory circuit involving interleukin 27 and interleukin 10. Nat Immunol 2009; 10: 981.
- 49. Li H, Shi B. Tolerogenic dendritic cells
and their applications in applications in transplantation. Cell Mol Immunol 2015; 12: 24.
- 50. Morelli AE, Thomson AW. Tolerogenic dendritic cells and the quest for transplant tolerance. Nat Rev Immunol 2007; 7: 610.
- 51. Remy S, Blancou P, Tesson L, et al. Carbon monoxide inhibits TLRinduced dendritic cell immunogenicity. J Immunol 2009; 182: 1877.
- 52. Chauveau C, Remy S, Royer PJ, et al. Heme oxygenase-1 expression inhibits dendritic cell maturation and proinflammatory function but conserves IL-10 expression. Blood 2005; 106: 1694.
- 53. Moreau A, Chiffoleau E, Beriou G, et al. Superiority of bone marrowderived dendritic cells over monocytederived ones for the expansion of regulatory T cells in the macaque. Transplantation 2008; 85: 1351.
- 54. Moreau A, Hill M, Thebault P, et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells actively inhibit T cells through heme oxygenase-1 in rodents and in nonhuman primates. FASEB J 2009; 23: 3070.
- 55. Penna G, Adorini L. 1 Alpha, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 inhibits differentiation, maturation, activation, and survival of dendritic cells leading to impaired alloreactive T cell activation. J Immunol 2000; 164: 2405.
- 56. Woltman AM, de Fijter JW, Kamerling SW, Paul LC, Daha MR, van Kooten C. The effect of calcineurin inhibitors and corticosteroids on the differentiation of human dendritic cells. Eur J Immunol 2000; 30: 1807.
- 57. Turnquist HR, Raimondi G, Zahorchak AF, Fischer RT, Wang Z, Thomson AW. Rapamycin-conditioned dendritic cells are poor stimulators of allogeneic CD4+ T cells, but enrich for antigenspecific Foxp3+ T regulatory cells and promote organ transplant tolerance. J Immunol 2007; 178: 7018.
- 58. Naranjo-Gomez M, Raich-Regue D, Onate C, et al. Comparative study of clinical grade human tolerogenic dendritic cells. J Transl Med 2011; 9: 89.
- 59. Nikolic T, Roep BO. Regulatory multitasking of tolerogenic dendritic cells – lessons taken from vitamin d3 treated tolerogenic dendritic cells. Front Immunol 2013; 4: 113.
- 60. Kleijwegt FS, Laban S, Duinkerken G, et al. Transfer of regulatory properties from tolerogenic to proinflammatory dendritic cells via induced autoreactive regulatory T cells. J Immunol 2011; 187: 6357.
- 61. Machen J, Harnaha J, Lakomy R, Styche A, Trucco M, Giannoukakis N. Antisense oligonucleotides down-
regulating costimulation confer regulating costimulation c
diabetes-preventive properties diabetes-preventive properties to nonobese diabetic mouse dendritic cells. J Immunol 2004; 173: 4331.
- 62. Martin E, Capini C, Duggan E, et al. Antigen-specific suppression established arthritis in mice by dendritic cells deficient in NF-kappaB. Arthritis Rheum 2007; 56: 2255.
- 63. Moreau A, Varey E, Beriou G, et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells and negative vaccination in transplantation: from rodents to clinical trials. Front Immunol 2012; 3: 218.
- 64. Lutz MB, Suri RM, Niimi M, et al. Immature dendritic cells generated with low doses of GM-CSF in the absence of IL-4 are maturation resistant and prolong allograft survival in vivo. Eur J Immunol 2000; 30: 1813.
- 65. Xia MJ, Shan J, Li YP, et al. Adoptive transfusion of tolerogenic dendritic cells prolongs the survival of liver allograft: a systematic review. J Evid Based Med 2014; 7: 135.
- 66. Lutz MB. Therapeutic potential of semi-mature dendritic cells for tolerance induction. Front Immunol 2012; 3: 123.
- 67. Abe M, Wang Z, de Creus A, Thomson AW. Plasmacytoid dendritic cell precursors induce allogeneic T-cell hyporesponsiveness and prolong heart graft survival. Am J Transplant 2005; 5: 1808.
- 68. Imai A, Sahara H, Tamura Y, et al. Inhibition of endogenous MHC class II-restricted antigen presentation by tacrolimus (FK506) via FKBP51. Eur J Immunol 2007; 37: 1730.
- 69. Matsue H, Yang C, Matsue K, Edelbaum D, Mummert M, Takashima A. Contrasting impacts of immunosuppressive agents (rapamycin, FK506, cyclosporin A, and dexamethasone) on bidirectional dendritic cell-T cell interaction during antigen presentation. J Immunol 2002; 169: 3555.
- 70. Lee YR, Yang IH, Lee YH, et al. Cyclosporin A and tacrolimus, but not rapamycin, inhibit MHC-restricted

antigen presentation pathways in dendritic cells. *Blood* 2005; 105: 3951.
Morelli AE Antonysamy MA

- 71. Morelli AE, Antonysamy Takayama T, et al. Microchimerism, donor dendritic cells, and alloimmune reactivity in recipients of Flt3 ligand-
mobilized hemopoietic cells: hemopoietic modulation by tacrolimus. J Immunol 2000; 165: 226.
- 72. Orange DE, Blachere NE, Fak J, et al. Dendritic cells loaded with FK506 kill T cells in an antigen-specific manner and prevent autoimmunity in vivo. eLife 2013; 2: e00105.
- 73. Shimizu K, Fujii S, Fujimoto K, Kawa K, Yamada A, Kawano F. Tacrolimus (FK506) treatment of CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells promote the development of dendritic cells that drive CD4+ T cells toward Th2 responses. J Leukoc Biol 2000; 68: 633.
- 74. Sun J, Ren Y, Yang Y, Yang J, Xie R, Fan H. [A preliminary study on the biological characteristics and function of tolerogenic dendritic cells induced by tacrolimus]. Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi 2014; 35: 533.
- 75. Ren Y, Yang Y, Yang J, Xie R, Fan H. Tolerogenic dendritic cells modified by tacrolimus suppress CD4(+) T-cell proliferation and inhibit collageninduced arthritis in mice. Int Immunopharmacol 2014; 21: 247.
- 76. Duperrier K, Velten FW, Bohlender J, Demory A, Metharom P, Goerdt S. Immunosuppressive agents mediate reduced allostimulatory properties of myeloid-derived dendritic cells despite induction of divergent molecular phenotypes. Mol Immunol 2005; 42: 1531.
- 77. Tiefenthaler M, Hofer S, Ebner S, et al. In vitro treatment of dendritic cells with tacrolimus: impaired T-cell activation and IP-10 expression. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2004; 19: 553.
- 78. Monti P, Mercalli A, Leone BE, Valerio DC, Allavena P, Piemonti L. Rapamycin impairs antigen uptake of human dendritic cells. Transplantation 2003; 75: 137.
- 79. Cos J, Villalba T, Parra R, et al. FK506 in the maturation of dendritic cells. Haematologica 2002; 87: 679; discussion 87.
- 80. Szabo G, Gavala C, Mandrekar P. Tacrolimus and cyclosporine A inhibit allostimulatory capacity and cytokine
production of human myeloid production of human dendritic cells. J Investig Med 2001; 49: 442.
- 81. Chen T, Guo J, Yang M, et al. Cyclosporin A impairs dendritic cell migration by regulating chemokine receptor expression and inhibiting

cyclooxygenase-2 expression. Blood 2004; 103: 413.

- 82. Taner T, Hackstein H, Wang Z, Morelli AE, Thomson AW. Rapamycin-treated, alloantigen-pulsed host dendritic cells induce ag-specific T cell regulation and prolong graft survival. Am J Transplant 2005; 5: 228.
- 83. Ohtani M, Nagai S, Kondo S, et al. Mammalian target of rapamycin and glycogen synthase kinase 3 differentially regulate lipopolysaccharide-induced interleukin-12 production in dendritic cells. Blood 2008; 112: 635.
- 84. Macedo C, Turnquist HR, Castillo-Rama M, et al. Rapamycin augments human DC IL-12p70 and IL-27 secretion to promote allogeneic Type 1 polarization modulated by NK cells. Am J Transplant 2013; 13: 2322.
- 85. Horibe EK, Sacks J, Unadkat J, et al. Rapamycin-conditioned, alloantigenpulsed dendritic cells promote indefinite survival of vascularized skin allografts in association with T regulatory cell expansion. Transpl Immunol 2008; 18: 307.
- 86. Hackstein H, Taner T, Zahorchak AF, et al. Rapamycin inhibits IL-4 – induced dendritic cell maturation in vitro and dendritic cell mobilization and function in vivo. Blood 2003; 101: 4457.
- 87. Han CH, Li HF, Wang YX, et al. [The influence of mycophenolate mofetil upon the maturation and allostimulatory activity of cultured dendritic cell progenitors and the effects of tolerance induction in allograft recipients]. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 2005; 85: 1327.
- 88. Mehling A, Grabbe S, Voskort M, Schwarz T, Luger TA, Beissert S. Mycophenolate mofetil impairs the maturation and function of murine dendritic cells. *J Immunol* 2000; 165: 2374.
- 89. Colic M, Stojic-Vukanic Z, Pavlovic B, Jandric D, Stefanoska I. Mycophenolate mofetil inhibits differentiation, maturation and allostimulatory function of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Clin Exp Immunol 2003; 134: 63.
- 90. Li X, Dou KF, Liu HL, Zhang FQ, Cai L. [Immune tolerance induced by IL-10 and methylprednisolone modified dendritic cells in vitro]. Xi Bao Yu Fen Zi Mian Yi Xue Za Zhi 2007; 23: 436.
- 91. Luther C, Adamopoulou E, Stoeckle C, et al. Prednisolone treatment induces tolerogenic dendritic cells and a regulatory milieu in myasthenia gravis patients. J Immunol 2009; 183: 841.
- 92. Vanderheyde N, Verhasselt V, Goldman M, Willems F. Inhibition of human dendritic cell functions by

methylprednisolone. Transplantation 1999; 67: 1342.

- 93. Rozkova D, Horvath R, Bartunkova J, Spisek R. Glucocorticoids severely impair differentiation and antigen presenting function of dendritic cells despite upregulation of Toll-like receptors. Clin Immunol 2006; 120: 260.
- 94. Battaglia M, Stabilini A, Roncarolo MG. Rapamycin selectively expands CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells. Blood 2005; 105: 4743.
- 95. Sordi V, Bianchi G, Buracchi C, et al. Differential effects of immunosuppressive drugs on chemokine receptor CCR7 in human monocyte-derived dendritic cells: selective upregulation by rapamycin. Transplantation 2006; 82: 826.
- 96. Ikeguchi R, Sacks JM, Unadkat JV, et al. Long-term survival of limb allografts induced by pharmacologically conditioned, donor alloantigen-pulsed dendritic cells without maintenance immunosuppression. Transplantation 2008; 85: 237.
- 97. Sacks JM, Kuo YR, Taieb A, et al. Prolongation of composite tissue allograft survival by immature recipient dendritic cells pulsed with donor antigen and transient low-dose immunosuppression. Plast Reconstr Surg 2008; 121: 37.
- 98. Adorini L, Penna G, Giarratana N, Uskokovic M. Tolerogenic dendritic cells induced by vitamin D receptor ligands enhance regulatory T cells inhibiting allograft rejection and autoimmune diseases. J Cell Biochem 2003; 88: 227.
- 99. Eun SC, Baek RM, Park CG. Prolongation of the rat composite tissue allograft survival by the combination of tolerogenic immature dendritic cells and short-term treatment with FK506. Transplant Proc 2013; 45: 1792.
- 100. Fu F, Li Y, Qian S, et al. Costimulatory
molecule-deficient dendritic cell molecule-deficient progenitors (MHC class II+, CD80dim, CD86-) prolong cardiac allograft survival in nonimmunosuppressed recipients. Transplantation 1996; 62: 659.
- 101. Zhou Y, Shan J, Guo Y, et al. Effects of adoptive transfer of tolerogenic dendritic cells on allograft survival in organ transplantation models: an overview of systematic reviews. J Immunol Res 2016; 2016: 5730674.
- 102. Bonham CA, Peng L, Liang X, et al. Marked prolongation of cardiac allograft survival by dendritic cells genetically engineered with NF-kappa B oligodeoxyribonucleotide decoys and adenoviral vectors encoding CTLA4-Ig. J Immunol 2002; 169: 3382.
- 103. Emmer PM, van der Vlag J, Adema GJ, Hilbrands LB. Dendritic cells activated by lipopolysaccharide after
dexamethasone treatment induce dexamethasone donor-specific allograft hyporesponsiveness. Transplantation 2006; 81: 1451.
- 104. Divito SJ, Wang Z, Shufesky WJ, et al. Endogenous dendritic cells mediate the effects of intravenously injected therapeutic immunosuppressive dendritic cells in transplantation. Blood 2010; 116: 2694.
- 105. Min WP, Gorczynski R, Huang XY, et al. Dendritic cells genetically engineered to express Fas ligand induce donor-specific hyporesponsiveness and prolong allograft survival. J Immunol 2000; 164: 161.
- 106. Garrod KR, Chang CK, Liu FC, Brennan TV, Foster RD, Kang SM. Targeted lymphoid homing of dendritic cells is required for prolongation of allograft survival. J Immunol 2006; 177: 863.
- 107. Li M, Zhang X, Zheng X, et al. Immune modulation and tolerance induction by RelB-silenced dendritic cells through RNA interference. J Immunol 2007; 178: 5480.
- 108. Peche H, Trinite B, Martinet B, Cuturi MC. Prolongation of heart allograft survival by immature dendritic cells generated from recipient type bone marrow progenitors. Am J Transplant 2005; 5: 255.
- 109. Moreau A, Varey E, Bouchet-Delbos L, Cuturi M-C. Cell therapy using tolerogenic dendritic cells in transplantation. Transplant Res 2012; 1: 13.
- 110. Zahorchak AF, Kean LS, Tokita D, et al. Infusion of stably immature monocyte-derived dendritic cells plus CTLA4Ig modulates alloimmune reactivity in rhesus macaques. Transplantation 2007; 84: 196.
- 111. Ashton-Chess J, Blancho G. An in vitro evaluation of the potential suitability of peripheral blood CD14 (+) and bone marrow CD34(+) derived dendritic cells for a tolerance inducing regimen in the primate. J Immunol Methods 2005; 297: 237.
- 112. Ezzelarab MB, Zahorchak AF, Lu L, et al. Regulatory dendritic cell infusion prolongs kidney allograft survival in nonhuman primates. Am J Transplant 2013; 13: 1989.
- 113. Ezzelarab MB, Lu L, Guo H, et al. Eomesodermin(lo) CTLA4(hi) alloreactive CD8+ memory T Cells are associated with prolonged renal transplant survival induced by regulatory dendritic cell infusion in CTLA4 immunoglobulintreated nonhuman primates. Transplantation 2016; 100: 91.
- 114. Correale P, Campoccia G, Tsang KY, et al. Recruitment of dendritic cells and enhanced antigen-specific immune reactivity in cancer patients treated with hr-GM-CSF (Molgramostim) and hr-IL-2. results from a phase Ib clinical trial. Eur J Cancer 2001; 37: 892.
- 115. Redman BG, Chang AE, Whitfield J, et al. Phase Ib trial assessing autologous, tumor-pulsed dendritic cells as a vaccine administered with or without IL-2 in patients with metastatic melanoma. J Immunother 2008; 31: 591.
- 116. Butterfield LH. Dendritic cells in cancer immunotherapy clinical trials: are we making progress? Front Immunol 2013; 4: 454.
- 117. Gowans EJ, Roberts S, Jones K, et al. A phase I clinical trial of dendritic cell immunotherapy in HCV-infected individuals. J Hepatol 2010; 53: 599.
- 118. Dhodapkar MV, Steinman RM, Krasovsky J, Munz C, Bhardwaj N. Antigen-specific inhibition of effector T cell function in humans after injection of immature dendritic cells. J Exp Med 2001; 193: 233.
- 119. Dhodapkar MV, Steinman RM. Antigen-bearing immature dendritic cells induce peptide-specific CD8(+) regulatory T cells in vivo in humans. Blood 2002; 100: 174.
- 120. Giannoukakis N, Phillips B, Finegold D, Harnaha J, Trucco M. Phase I (safety) study of autologous tolerogenic dendritic cells in type 1 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care 2011; 34: 2026.
- 121. Jauregui-Amezaga A, Cabezon R, Ramirez-Morros A, et al. Intraperitoneal administration of autologous tolerogenic dendritic cells for refractory Crohn's disease: a phase I study. J Crohns Colitis 2015; 9: 1071.
- 122. Benham H, Nel HJ, Law SC, et al. Citrullinated peptide dendritic cell immunotherapy in HLA risk genotypepositive rheumatoid arthritis patients. Sci Transl Med 2015; 7: 290ra87.
- 123. Bell GM, Anderson AE, Diboll J, et al. Autologous tolerogenic dendritic cells for rheumatoid and inflammatory arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2016; 0: 1.
- 124. Geissler EK. The ONE Study compares cell therapy products in organ transplantation: introduction to a review series on suppressive monocytederived cells. Transplant Res 2012; 1: 11.
- 125. Thomson AW, Zahorchak AF, Ezzelarab MB, Butterfield LH, Lakkis FG, Metes DM. Prospective clinical testing of regulatory dendritic cells in organ transplantation. Front Immunol 2016; 7: 15.
- 126. Streitz M, Miloud T, Kapinsky M, et al. Standardization of whole blood

immune phenotype monitoring for clinical trials: panels and methods from the ONE study. Transplant Res 2013; 2: 17.

- 127. Chitta S, Santambrogio L, Stern LJ. GMCSF in the absence of other cytokines sustains human dendritic cell precursors with T cell regulatory activity and capacity to differentiate
into functional dendritic cells. functional dendritic cells. Immunol Lett 2008; 116: 41.
- 128. Segovia M, Cuturi MC, Hill M. Preparation of mouse bone marrow-
derived dendritic cells with dendritic cells

immunoregulatory properties. Methods Mol Biol 2011; 677: 161.

- 129. Segovia M, Louvet C, Charnet P, et al. Autologous dendritic cells prolong allograft survival through Tmem176bdependent antigen cross-presentation. Am J Transplant 2014; 14: 1021.
- 130. Baas MC, Kuhn C, Valette F, et al. Combining autologous dendritic cell therapy with CD3 antibodies promotes regulatory T cells and permanent islet allograft acceptance. J Immunol 2014; 193: 4696.
- 131. Beriou G, Peche H, Guillonneau C, Merieau E, Cuturi MC. Donor-specific

allograft tolerance by administration of recipient-derived immature dendritic cells and suboptimal immunosuppression. Transplantation 2005; 79: 969.

- 132. Yu G, Xu X, Vu MD, Kilpatrick ED, Li XC. NK cells promote transplant tolerance by killing donor antigen-presenting cells. J Exp Med 2006; 203: 1851.
- 133. Moreau A VC, Segovia M, Devaux M, et al. Generation and in vivo evaluation of IL-10-treated dendritic cells in a non-human primate model of AAV-based gene transfer. Mol Ther Methods Clin Devel 2014; 1: 14028.