INVITED COMMENTARY

The role of tissue eosinophils after lung transplantation: back into business?

Geert M. Verleden 🕞

Department Repir Dis and Lung Transplantation Unit, University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium

*Correspondence

Geert M. Verleden MD, PhD, FERS, Department Respir Dis and Lung Transplantation Unit, University Hospital Gasthuisberg, 49, Herestraat, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium. Tel.: + 32 16 34 6802; fax: + 32 16 34 6803; e-mail: geert.verleden@uzleuven.be Transplant International 2021; 34: 59-61

Received: 14 October 2020; Accepted: 14 October 2020

Eosinophils are granulocytes, developing in the bone marrow. Differentiation and survival of these cells is dependent on interleukin (IL)-5 through the IL5R α [1]. Their half-life in the blood is around 1.8 days, and after migration to different organs, they contribute in remodeling, metabolic, and microbiome homeostasis, and may act as sentinels for infection (especially parasitic), fibrosis, and cancer [2].

The role of the eosinophil is very well known in allergic asthma, which is driven by T helper 2 cells, secreting IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 which leads to eosinophilic airways inflammation [3]. In nonallergic eosinophilic asthma, on the other hand, type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2) are responsible for eosinophilic inflammation, again through the production of the same type 2 chemokines, however, with some steroid resistance of the eosinophil [3].

Several authors have investigated the potential role of eosinophils in lung transplantation. Early data all pointed to a possible role in acute rejection. Dosanjh *et al.* [4] described an increased eosinophilic cationic protein (a marker of activated eosinophils) in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid of patients with acute rejection. Other investigators also demonstrated increased BAL eosinophilia, which seemed to be linked with acute rejection, although causality remained unclear [5–7]. Furthermore, Greenland *et al.* [7] demonstrated that BAL cell immunophenotyping, including eosinophilia, facilitated the diagnosis of lung allograft rejection. More recently, Frye *et al.* [8] showed that an increasing percentage of BAL eosinophils in surveillance bronchoscopies after lung transplantation increased the probability of biopsy-proven acute rejection. The presence of eosinophils in transbronchial biopsies after lung transplantation has long been recognized and is also associated with acute rejection (\geq A2) [9,10].

In the current issue of the journal, Darley *et al.* [11] publish a retrospective study where they revised the presence of eosinophils in transbronchial biopsies (taken in the first 2 years after lung transplantation) and found it to be a predictor of the development of chronic lung allograft dysfunction (CLAD) and reduced survival [11]. They reviewed 8887 biopsies from 1440 patients, transplanted between January 2001 and July 2018 with a median survival of 8.28 years. All biopsy reports were screened for the presence of "eosinophils." Whenever one biopsy report mentioned the presence of eosinophils, patients were categorized in the eosinophil group.

When no report mentioned the presence of eosinophils, patients were classified as "without eosinophils." The first biopsy showing tissue eosinophilia was taken at a median of 48.5 days post-transplant. Concurrent BAL eosinophilia (>2% eosinophils) was recorded, as well as the maximum peripheral blood eosinophil count in the 2 weeks prior to the biopsy together with the medication intake at the time of the biopsy. Multivariable cox proportional hazards analysis showed an increased risk of all-cause mortality with 51%, once eosinophils were detected in the biopsy. Furthermore, they found an independent association with the development of CLAD (HR 1.35). When CLAD was further phenotyped according to the recently published guidelines [12], the presence of eosinophils only showed a trend toward the development of RAS or the mixed phenotype. Concurrent peak peripheral blood and BAL eosinophilia were also significantly higher in the eosinophil group. The authors concluded that eosinophils in biopsies after lung transplantation should be specifically looked for as it may have an important prognostic role after lung transplantation.

The study is very interesting as it again demonstrates that results of transbronchial biopsies not only impact on survival but may also predict the development of CLAD, even when already detected as soon as 1.5 months (median) after transplantation.. In fact, there are not many biomarkers that really predict the development of CLAD, although several pathological causes for its development have been acknowledged, among them acute rejection and lymphocytic bronchiolitis [12]. In the present study, the patients with eosinophils in the biopsy also had more concurrent acute rejection (A grade), but this was significant in multivariate analysis. Now, we go a little bit deeper into the biopsies and specifically revealing the presence of tissue eosinophils seems to greatly impact the prognosis of the patients. On the other hand, we have no idea whether the presence of eosinophils on transbronchial biopsies, even in the absence of acute rejection, may prompt specific treatment. This will certainly need further investigation as to whether this would alter the prognosis.

This study further supports previous publications from our own group. We indeed demonstrated an increased presence of tissue eosinophilia (as well in airways, parenchyma, and blood vessels) in end-stage CLAD lungs, which was more pronounced in RAS compared to bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) [13]. We also showed that BAL and blood eosinophilia are both a predictor of survival in patients already diagnosed with RAS [14]. In the present study, the authors could not demonstrate that tissue eosinophilia specifically predicts the development of RAS, although there was a clear trend, neither was there an association with the presence of de novo donor-specific antibodies. This may be because of the low number of patients with RAS and mixed phenotype (only 29), which is a clear limitation of the study. Another limitation is the fact that the presence of eosinophils was only looked at in the reports of the biopsies, whereas the biopsies were not revised. A revision of all biopsies might have further strengthened the present results. The question remains, however, about the causality of this tissue eosinophilia (besides the already mentioned increased A rejection grade). Most patients probably were on corticosteroid treatment, which is highly effective to treat eosinophilic lung diseases. Whether the present eosinophilia is T helper 2 or rather ILC2 induced is unknown, and will be important to further elucidate in order to be able to effectively treat this condition. Even drugs may induce eosinophilic lung disease, and this was also carefully evaluated in this study and did not seem to have a major influence. Irrespective of the cause of the tissue eosinophilia, this study adds important new insights in the lung transplant field.

Although the proof of the pudding would be a prospective trial, this retrospective study clearly indicates that pathologists should be advised to extensively look for the presence of eosinophils in any lung transplant biopsy, as it has major impact on the prognosis of our patients. To that respect, the authors should be congratulated for the tremendous effort they have put in this study to share these very important findings with us.

Funding

The authors have declared no funding.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Rotheberg ME, Hogan SP. The eosinophil. Annu Rev Immunol 2006; 24: 147.
- Jaconsen EA, Helmers RA, Lee JJ, Lee NA. The expanding role(s) of eosinophils in health and disease. *Blood* 2012; **120**: 3882.
- Brusselle GG, Maes T, Bracke KR. Eosinophilic airway inflammation in nonallergic asthma. *Nat Med* 2013; 19: 977.
- Dosanjh AK, Elashoff D, Kawalek A, Moss RB, Esrig S. Activation of eosdinophils in the airways of lung transplant patients. *Chest* 1997; 112: 1180.
- Bewig B, Stewart S, Bottcher H, et al. Eosinophilic alveolitis in BAL after lung transplantation. *Transpl Int* 1999; 12: 266.
- Tikkanen J, Lemstrom K, Halme M, et al. Cytological monitoring of peripheral blood, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, and transbronchial biopsy specimens during acute rejection and

cytomegalovirus infection in lung and heart-lung allograft recipients. *Clin Transplant* 2001; **15**: 77.

- Greenland JR, Jewell NP, Gottschall M, et al. Bronchoalveolar lavage cell immunophenotyping facilitates diagnosis of lung allograft rejection. Am J Transplant 2014; 14: 831.
- Frye BJ, Gaspimayr M, Hettich I, et al. Surveillance bronchoscopy for the care of lung transplant recipients: a retrospective single center analysis. *Transplant Proc* 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.transproceed.2020.08.011. Online ahead of print.
- 9. Yousen SA. Graft eosinophilia in lung transplant. *Human Pathol* 1992; 23: 1172.
- Stewart S, Fishbein MC, Snell GI, et al. Revision of the working formulation for te standardization of nomenclature in the diagnosis of acute rejection. J Heart Lung Transplant 2007; 26: 1229.
- 11. Darley D, Ma J, Hwang D, et al. Eosinophils in transbronchial biopsies:

a predictor of chronic lung allograft dysfunction and reduced survival after lung transplantation – a retrospective single-center cohort study. *Transpl Int* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/tri.13760. Online ahead of print.

- 12. Verleden GM, Glanville AR, Lease ED, et al. Chronic lung allograft dysfunction: definition, diagnostic criteria and approaches to treatment. A consensus report from the pulmonary council of the ISHLT. J Heart Lung Transplant 2019; **38**: 493.
- Vandermeulen E, Lammertyn E, Verleden SE, et al. Immunological diversity in phenotypes of chronic lung allograft dysfunction: a comprehensive immunohistochemical study. *Transplant Int* 2017; **30**: 134.
- Verleden SE, Ruttens D, Vandermeulen E, et al. Predictors of survival in restrictive chronic allograft dysfunction after lung transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant 2016; 35: 1078.